Tulsa Metropolitan Area
Planning Commission

## Owner and Applicant Information

Applicant: Mark B. Capron, Wallce Design Collective
Property Owner: Junior Achievement of Greater Tulsa Inc.

## Property Location

Northwest of the Northwest corner of East 41st Street South and South Highway 169

Tract Size: $\pm 2.41$ acres

## Location within the City of Tulsa

(shown with City Council districts)


## Elected Representatives

City Council: District 5, Grant Miller
County Commission: District 2, Kelly Dunkerley

## Public Notice Required

Mailed Notice to 300' radius - min. 10 days in advance

## Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends approval.

## PUD-230-9 Staff Report

Hearing Date: April 17, 2024
Prepared by: Dylan Siers
dsiers@cityoftulsa.org
918-596-7584

## Request Summary

Minor Amendment to reduce parking requirement.

## Zoning

Existing Zoning: Office - Low (OL)/PUD-230
Existing Overlays: None

## Use

Current Use: Junior Achievement of Oklahoma

## Comprehensive Plan Considerations

## Land Use

Land Use Plan: Employment
Small Area Plans: None
Development Era: Late Automobile

## Transportation

Major Street \& Highway Plan: N/A
planitulsa Street Type: N/A
Transit: N/A
Existing Bike/Ped Facilities: N/A
Planned Bike/Ped Facilities: N/A

## Environment

Flood Area: FEMA 500 Year Floodplain
Tree Canopy Coverage: 10-20\%
Parks \& Open Space: N/A

## Detailed Staff Recommendation

The applicant is proposing a minor amendment to PUD-230 to decrease the minimum parking requirements.

The PUD currently has a parking requirement of 3.5 spaces per 1,000 sf. The applicant is proposing to reduce these from 3.5 to 2.6 spaces per $1,000 \mathrm{sf}$.

## Parking Requirement

## Current PUD

Proposed Amendment
2.6 spaces per 1,000 sf.

The proposed parking ratio still exceeds the parking ratios for schools outlined in the Tulsa Zoning Code. The reduction in parking will allow an expansion of the existing facility while still providing adequate on-site parking for the existing use.

Staff has reviewed the request and determined:

1) PUD-230-9 is consistent with the provisions for administration and procedures of a PUD in section $30.010-\mathrm{H}$.
2) PUD-230-9 does not represent a significant departure from the approved development standards in the PUD and is considered a minor amendment to PUD-230.
3) All remaining development standards defined in PUD-230 and subsequent amendments shall remain in effect.

With consideration given to the factors listed herein, staff recommends approval of the application.

## Comprehensive Plan Considerations

## Land Use Plan

The subject property is designated as employment.
Employment is intended to accommodate offices, warehousing and storage, manufacturing and assembly, and industrial processes. The Industrial Site Suitability map corresponds to the Employment land use designation and indicates where uses that are potentially incompatible with sensitive land uses are best suited to locate. This directs industrial uses to particular areas of the city while discouraging industrial in close proximity to Neighborhood areas.

## Surrounding Properties:

| Location | Existing Zoning/Overlay | Existing Land Use Designation | Existing Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North | OL/PUD-230 | Employment | Office |
| East | RS-3 | None | Ramp |
| South | CS | Employment | Commercial |
| West | AG | Neighborhood | Offices |

## Small Area Plans

The subject properties are not within a small area plan.

## Development Era

The subject property is in an area developed during the Late Automobile Era (1950s-present), which has grown since the mainstreaming of automobile-centric lifestyles, with a high degree of separation between residential and nonresidential uses, and low levels of street connectivity. In these areas, transportation is nearly exclusively concentrated on the mile-by-mile arterial grid, and major streets are often both transportation corridors and destination corridors, which can lead to traffic congestion. Nonresidential uses are predominantly located at the intersections of major arterial streets. Priorities in these areas include commercial revitalization, placemaking, community gathering opportunities, conservation of natural areas, a high degree of privacy, one-stop shopping, and commuting routes.

## Transportation

Major Street \& Highway Plan: N/A

## Comprehensive Plan Street Designation: N/A

Transit: N/A

Existing Bike/Ped Facilities: N/A

## Planned Bike/Ped Facilities: N/A

Arterial Traffic per Lane: N/A

## Environmental Considerations

Flood Area: The subject properties FEMA 500 year floodplain.
Tree Canopy Coverage: Tree canopy in the area is $10-20 \%$ The area would benefit from additional trees, which also capture and treat runoff before it enters the river.

Parks \& Open Space: N/A

## Exhibits

Case map
Aerial (small scale)
Aerial (large scale)
Tulsa Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map
Applicant Exhibits





## Part of Lot 1, Block 1 <br> Bishop Acres and <br> Lot 3, Block 1 <br> Tuscany Point

## Proposed PUD Minor Amendment PUD 230

## Part of Development Area 'B'

Junior Achievement of Oklahoma is planning on expanding the existing building. The students that use in the assembly areas typically arrive by school bus. Additional parking is not necessary. Therefore, we propose to amend the PUD as follows:

The current proposed concept site plan is attached.
Parking Requirements: $\quad \underline{\underline{\text { Current PUD }}} \quad \underline{\underline{3.5} \text { spaces per } 1,000 \mathrm{SF}} \quad \underline{\underline{\text { Proposed Amendment }}}$

All other provisions of the PUD shall remain in effect as previously approved by the City of Tulsa.



