# CITY BOARD OF NDJUSTMENT <br> MINUTES of Meeting No. 592 <br> Tuesday, August 13, 1991, 1:00 p.m. <br> City Council Room, Plaza Level Tulsa Civic Center 

| MEMBERS PRESENT | MEMBERS ABSENT | STAFF PRESENT | OTIERS PRESENT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Bolzle, Chalrman | Doversplke | Gardner | Jackere, Legal |
| Chappelle | White | Jones | Department |
| Fuller |  | Moore | Hubbard, Protective |
|  |  | Inspections |  |
|  |  |  | Parnell, Code |
|  |  | Enforcement |  |

The notice and agenda of sald meeting were posted in the Offlce of the City Clerk on Monday, August 12, 1991, at 9:03 a.m., as well as in the Reception Area of the $1 N C O G$ offices.

After declaring a quorum present, Chalrman Bolzle called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m.

## MINUTES:

On MOTION of CHAPPELLE, the Board voted 2-0-1 (Bolzle, Chappelle, "aye"; no "nays"; Fuller, "abstaining"; Doverspike, White, "absent") to APPROVE the Minutes of July 23, 1991.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Case No. 15745
ActIon Reguested:
Special Exception to permit a home occupation (trucking business) in a residential district - Section 404.B. SPECIAL EXCEPTION USES IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS, REQUIREMENTS - Use Un! $\dagger 23$.

Varlance to waive the all-weather surfacing requirements for unenclosed off-street parking areas - Section 1303.D. DESIGN STANDARDS FOR OFF-STREET PAFKING AREAS - Use Unit 23.

Varlance to waive the screening requirements along lot ilnes in common with an R District - Section 1303.E. DESIGN STANDARDS FOR OFF-STREET PAPKING AREAS - Use Unit 23.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Charles Herrington, 522 South 193rd East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, was represented by Kenneth Toodd, 2727 East 21 st Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who explained that the proposed home occupation will be located on a 2 1/2-acre tract contalning a small dwelling and space for parking dump trucks. He stated that his cllent has one famlly member that assists him in the business, which consists of driving the trucks and making repairs. Mr. Todd informed that the parking area and a small private offlce are behlnd a privacy fence and are not visible from the street. Photographs (Exhlbit A-1) were submitted. He pointed out that there is a salvage operation, a construction business and an auction company located in the area.

Mr. Todd requested that Mr. Herrington be allowed to contlnue to park his trucks on a gravel surface behlnd the fence. He stated that the Inoperable automoblles which have accumulated on the lot wlll be removed; however, the old dump trucks will be kept for repalr parts.

## Coments and Questlons:

Mr. Chappelle asked Mr. Todd if his cllent wlll have employees, and he replled that Mr . Herrington and hls son operate the business.

Mr. Bolzle asked if all repair work is completed behlnd the fence, and Mr. Todd answered in the affirmative.

In response to Mr. Fuller, Mr. Todd stated that two operable dump trucks wlll be stored on the property, and two lnoperable trucks wlll be retalned for parts.

## Protestants:

Dolores Green, 524 South 193rd East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that she llves next door to the property in question, and is not opposed to the dump trucks being parked on the lot, but does object to the salvage cars. She informed that Mr. Herrington had promised to move the cars two months ago, and no improvement has been made since that time. Ms. Green stated that the applicant repairs his trucks in the front yard, and that the partial privacy fence, which was Installed approx!mately three weeks ago, does not adequately screen the business.

Mr. Fuller inquired as to the number of Inoperable vehlcles on the lot, and Ms. Green stated that there are approximately 30 Junk cars stored on the property. She further noted that the appllcant must have another employee, since his son does not appear to be old enough to drive a dump truck.

In response to Mr. Fuller, Mr. Gardner advised that the salvage yard to the north of the subject property was in operation prlor to the current zoning requirements, and is nonconforming.

Mary Ann Walker, 526 South 193rd East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that she is not opposed to the dump trucks, but requested that the applicant be required to nove the salvage vehicles.

## Interested Parties:

Joyce Colman, 444 South 193rd Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that she lives next door to the property in question, and is not opposed to the application.

## Applicant's Rebuttal:

Mr. Todd stated that he is not sure why the Junk cars have not been removed, but they will be moved if the appllcation is approved. He explained that the work performed in front of the residence was a one-tlme occurrence that was a mistake and wlll not be repeated. Mr. Todd stated that the $8^{\prime}$ fence is adequate to screen the dump trucks.

Case No. 15745 (contlnued)
Additlonal Coments:
Mr. Fuller stated that the appllcatlon could be approved, per Home Occupation Guldellnes, and subject to renoval of the salvage cars, with no repalrs being made in front of the dwelling.

Candy Parnell, Code Enforcement, Informed that Mr. Herrington was Issued the first notlce on January 29, 1991, and there has not been a signiflcant improvement in the appearance of the property since that tlme.

Mr. Bolzle asked If the complalnt was speciflcally in regard to the salvage cars, and Ms. Parnell answered in the afflrmative. She stated that the subject property was inspected again on June 28, 1991, and at that time extensive notor repairs were in progress (Exhlbit A-1). Ms. Parnell stated that these repalrs were beling conducted in front of the dwelling, and a citation was issued.

Mr. Chappelle pointed out that one of the requirements of the Home Occupation is that it is not obvlous a business is belng operated on the site, and it would be impossible to conceal this type of operation.

Mr. Gardner advised that the Home Occupation Guidelines state that the business must be conducted withln the princlpal bullding or a customary accessory bullding, and thls buslness ls not belng conducted inside a bullding.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of CHAPPELLE, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Doverspike, White "absent") to DENY a Speclal Exceptlon to permit a home occupation (trucking business) In a residential district - Section 404.B. SPECIAL EXCEPTION USES IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS, REQUIREMENTS - Use Unlt 23; to DENY a Varlance to walve the all-weather surfacing requirements for unenclosed off-street parking areas - Sectlon 1303.D. DESIGN STANDARDS FOR OFF-STREET PAPKING AREAS - Use UnIt 23; and to DENY a Varlance to walve the screening requirements along lot Ilnes In common with an R Distrlct - Sectlon 1303.E. DESIGN STANDARDS FOR OFF-STREET PAFKING AREAS - Use Unit 23; flnding that the proposed home occupatlon does not comply with the Home Occupation Guldellnes; on the followlng described property:

The north 99' of the south 198' of the E/2, $\mathrm{S} / 2, \mathrm{~N} / 2$, $\mathrm{NE} / 4$, SE/4, Section 1, T-19-N, R-14-E, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15729
Actlon Requested:
Speclal Exception to permit a Use Unit 5 (residentlal treatment center) In a residential district - Sectlon 401. PRINCIPAL USES PERMITTED IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use UnIt 5, located 1414 South Galveston.

## Presentat Ion:

THe applicant, Rader Institute, 744 West 9th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, was represented by Larry Blankenshlp, Oklahoma City, who submitted a copy of the Board of Adjustment presentation (Exhlblt B-2) and a packet (Exhlbit B-3) explaining the proposed activities of the medical center. He submitted photographs (Exhlbit B-4) of portions of the bullding that have deterlorated and need repairs to restore It to its original beauty. Mr. Blankenshlp informed that, In a recent survey of the neighborhood, it was found that only one resident of the area was opposed to the use. A letter (Exhlbit B-5) explaining the use was submitted.

In response to Mr. Bolzle, Veronica Jeffus explained that the Radar Institute is a national health care corporation, which speciallzes in the treatment of eating disorders, and the Tulsa location has been In existence for five years. She stated that the present treatment center, currently located in the Tulsa Reglonal Medical Center, wlll be moved to the McBlrney mansion. Ms. Jeffus informed that all patlents are ambulatory, and have been walking from the hospltal to River Parks every day since the program began. She stated that there have been no neighborhood complaints concerning the patients. Ms. Jeffus informed that the program will consist of a maximum of 20 women between the ages of 18 and 40 , and from 12 to 14 staff members wlll work in three shlfts. A brochure (Exhlbit G-1) was submitted.

Mr. Bolzle asked if the patlents wlll have automoblles, and Ms. Jeffus replied that this is discouraged.

In response to Mr. Bolzle, Ms. Jeffus stated that the typical length of stay is approximately 28 days, with visitation permitted on Sunday between 1:00 p.m. and 3:00 p.m.

Mr. Chappelle asked If out-patient services wlll be provided, and Ms. Jeffus replied that this service is provided at another location.

Mr. Fuller inquired as to exterior changes to the bullding, and Ms. Jeffus stated that there will be no changes except for minor repairs and Improvements.

Mr. Gardner asked if additional parking spaces wlll be required for the proposed use, and Ms. Jeffus stated that the existing 16 spaces wlll provide ample parking.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of CHOPELLE, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Doverspike, White "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception to permit a Use Unit 5 (residential treatment center) In a residential district Section 401. PRINCIPAL USES PERMIITED IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unlt 5; subject to the treatment being limited to anorexia, bullmia, compulsive 'overeating and depression; subject to the number of

Case No. 15729 (contInued)
patlents being limited to 20 ambulatory individuals (primarlly women) who are not sulcidal and do not pose a threat to others; subject to no exterlor alterations of the mansion's exterior, landscaping or historic open space; subject to no changes in the parking areas or Ingress and egress; and subject to visiting hours belng llmited to Sunday only; finding the use, as presented, to be compatible with the surrounding area and in harmony with the spirlt and intent of the Code; on the following described property:

Lots 2 - 20, except the north 201 of Lot 20, Block 12, a resubdivision of Blocks 4, 5 and 12 of Chliders Heights Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Okiahoma.

## NEW APPLICATIONS

Case No. 15779

## Actlon Requested:

Appeal from the decision of the Code Enforcement officer that the existing use is a sexually-orlented buslness - Sectlon 1605. APPEALS FROM AN ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICIAL - Use UnIt 14, located 1 North Lewls.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Scott Troy, 707 South Houston, Sulte 407, Tulsa, Ok lahoma, was represented by Richard $\mathrm{Bright}, 1501$ Kansas City Place, 1200 Maln Street, Kansas City, Mlssourl. Mr. Bright explalned that the Code Enforcement officer made a determination at one given time that the Whittler Book Store was a sexually-orlented business, but did not make a return visit to confirm that the buslness was still operating in the same manner before Issulng a zoning violation. He polnted out that the business was sexually-orlented when it began operation approximately 20 years ago, but since 1988, when the business was reviewed by the Board, there have been substantial changes in the merchandlse for sale. Photographs (Exhlbit $\mathrm{C}-1$ ) and a layout (Exhlbit C-3) of the bookstore were submitted. Mr. Bright stated that the store stocks a full line of magazines, Ingerle, motorcycle gear, hard back books and novelty ltems. He further noted that the windows are not covered and the interlor of the store is in full view of those passing by.

## Comrents and Questions:

Mr. Jackere asked If the windows were uncovered on June 5th when the cltation was issued by the Code Enforcement officer, and Mr. Bright stated that the front window on the right side of the bullding has been open continuously, and the operator is proposing to uncover the west window.

In response to Mr. Fuller, Mr. Brlght stated that there are no fllms sold in the store which show sexual contact or reveal sexual conduct.

Mr. Jackere asked if the merchandise arrangement has been changed since June 5th, and Mr. Bright stated that the photographs show the appearance of the store at that tlme.

Case No. 15779 (contInued)
Mr. Gardner asked if there is an age limit for customers, and Mr. Bright replled that individuals of any age can enter the store, which was the case on June 5th.

Referrlng to the photographs submitted, Mr. Bright explalned that the merchandise conslsts of a hardback book sectlon, magazlnes (ARA stocked monthly), paperbacks, novelty ltems, underwear, body lotlons, palnts and newspapers.

Mr. Jackere asked Mr. Bright if he would agree that the materlal In the old Playboy and Hustler magazlnes dlsplayed in the bookstore are sexually orlented according to the current Code, and he answered in the afflrmatlve. Mr. Jackere stated that thls is one of the Issues belng considered today, and Mr. Bright stated that he thlnks that the definltion of a sexually-orlented buslness is when there are activitles whlch concern themselves with fondling or touchlng of the publc reglon, buttocks or female breasts, or sexual acts. He polnted out that thls type of actlvity ls not found In the magazines. Mr. Jackere advised that the showlng of speclfled anatomlcal areas is a Code violation. Mr. Bright Informed that, if the Board determines that magazlnes such as Penthouse or Playboy contaln sexually-orlented materla!, they must also make the determination that this type of merchandise constitutes a substantlal part of the buslness, which is not true.

Condy Parnell, Code Enforcement, stated that during an Inspection of the property on August 7, 1991, It appeared that the dlsplay of reading materlal In the store was belng altered. She informed that one shelf was bare and boxes were on the floor. Ms. Parnell stated that index cards with a number, a physical descrlptlon and sexual preference, were displayed on a bulletln board, with instructions to leave information for contactling these individuals with the person at the desk.

In summary, Jackere polnted out that the operation of the store could have changed subsequent to the lssuance of the notice of violation on June 5, 1991; however, thls appeal is speclflcally directed at the order whlch was Issued by Ms. Parnell at the time of inspection. He pointed out that any changes since that time may give rise to another notlce, but thls application is to consider a violation which occurred on or before June 5, 1991. Mr. Jackere advlsed that any Information concerning the status of the bookstore at thls time is irrelevant, and the Board should only consider what type of merchandlse was on sale when Ms. Parnell made her inltlal Inspection.

Ms. Parnell stated that she Issued a notlce of vlolation after a pollce report stated that the bookstore was in vlolatlon of the Code. Photographs (Exhlblt C-2) were submitted.

Scott Walton, Tulsa Pollce Department, stated that he visited the Whittler Bookstore on May 18, 1991, and found that approximately 75 percent of the merchandise for sale was sexually-orlented. He informed that sexually-orlented Items were displayed in the novelty sectlon. Mr. Walton stated that the arcade booths, whlch he had seen in the bookstore prlor to May 18th, have been removed.

Case No. 15779 (cont finued)
Mr. Jackere asked Mr. Walton if he observed the magazine section, and he replled that most of the magazlnes for sale were hard-core, and much more explicit than Playboy or Penthouse. He stated that nonobjectlonable reading materlal was scattered randomly throughout the magazine display, and the sexually-orlented materlal was much more expensive than the other magazines.

Mr. Bolzle asked Ms. Parnell when she made the photographs that were previously presented, and she stated that they were made when she visited the bookstore on August 9th.

## Interested Partles:

Gary Watts, 1564 South GIllette, Tulsa, Oklahoma, councllor for District 4, stated that he has not visited the bookstore, but that It is located very near a school. He polnted out that groups of school chlldren have been observed looklng through the store windows.

## Appllcant's Rebuttal:

Mr. Bright stated that magazines sold in the store do not depict sexual contact.

Mr. Jackere asked Mr. Bright if, In his oplnion, any one type of magazine sold in the store is sexually-orlented as defined by the Code, and he answered In the affirmative. In response to Mr. Jackere, Mr. Bright stated that the sexually orlented magazines constitute approximately 10 percent of the total inventory, with the magazInes, novelty Items and videos making up approximately 25 percent of the store revenue.

## AddItlonal Conments:

Mr. Bolzle stated that the InvestigatIng pollce officer has stated that he considered over 50 percent of the bookstore Inventory to be sexually-oriented materlal on May 18, 1991.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of FULLER, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Doversplke, White "absent") to DENY the appeal and UPHOLD the decision of the Code Enforcement officer in determining that the existing use is a sexually-orlented business Section 1605. APPEALS FROM AN ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICIAL - Use Unit 14; finding that the establlshment has a substantlal amount of merchandise for sale which has an emphasis on deplcting specifled anatomlcal areas; and finding that the use is detrimental to the area and violates the spirlt and Intent of the Code: on the following described property:

Lot 13, Block 4, East Highland Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Action Requested:
Appeal from the decision of the Code Enforcement offlcer that the existing use is a sexually-orlented business - Section 1605. APPEALS FROM AN RDMINISTRATIVE OFFICIAL - Use UnIt 14, located 814 South Sheridan.

## Presentatlon:

The appllcant, Scott Troy, 707 South Houston, Sulte 407, Tulsa, Ok lahoma, was represented by Rlchard Bright, 1501 Kansas City Place, 1200 Maln Street, Kansas City, Mlssourl. He stated that the store has been In operatlon approximately 17 years, and that he is not sure what type of materlal was offered for sale on June 5, 1991 when It was Inspected by the Code Enforcement offlcer. Mr. BrIght stated that there has been a substantlal change in the inventory and the Issue is whether or not the vioiation is continuing.

## Protestants:

In response to Mr. Bolzle, Mr. Bright stated that there were substantial changes in the materials offered for sale after the business was cited In 1988, and additional changes since the more recent June 5, 1991 cltation.

Mr. Bolzle advised that It Is the opinion of Mr. Jackere that the Board's decision should be based upon the type of merchandise that was offered for sale at the time of the violation.

Mr. Bright suggested that the Board also determine if this is a continuing violation.

Mr. Jackere disagreed with Mr. Bright's suggestion.
Mr. Bolzle asked If a portion of the store was previously designated for adult materials, and Mr. Bright answered In the afflrmative. He stated that the adult inventory has been changed substantially, and the store is now more llke a gift or novelty store. A store layout (Exhibit D-1) was submitted.

Comments and Questlons:
Mr. Jackere pointed out that the Code Enforcement offlcer found that the store contalned a substantial amount of sexually orlented material at the time of Inspection, and the evidence presented concerning the change in Inventory after that time is Irrelevant. Mr. Jackere asked Mr. Bright if he is willling to concede that on June 5, 1991, and days Immedlately preced!ng that date, the store In question was In violatlon of the Zonlng Code, and he answered In the affIrmative.

Case No. 15780 (contlnued)
Mr. Jackere advised that counsel for the appllcant has admitted that the business in question was sexually-orlented prior to and on June 5. 1991.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of FULLER, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller. "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Doverspike, White "absent") to DENY the appeal and LPHOLD the decision of the Code Enforcement officer that the existing use is a sexually-orlented business - Section 1605. APPEALS FROM AN ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICIAL Use Unlt 14; flnding that the store contalned a substantlal amount of sexually orlented materlal at the time of Inspectlon; and finding the use to be detrimental to the neighborhood and In violation of Zoning Code; on the followling described property:

West $165^{\prime}$ of the east $180^{\prime}$ of Tract 59, Less the north 200' thereof, Glenhaven Addition to the CIty and County of Tulsa, Oklahoma and belng located in a CS zoned district, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 15793

## ActIon Requested:

Appeal from the decision of the Code Enforcement Officer that the existling use is a sexually-orlented business - Sectlon 1605. APPEALS FROM AN ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICIAL - Use Unit 12, located Southeast corner of Tecumseh and Kingston.

Appeal from the decIsion of the Code Enforcement Offlcer that the exlsting use is within 500' of an R zoned district, church, publlc or private park and wlthin $1000^{\prime}$ of another sexually-orlented business Section 1605. APPEALS FROM AN ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICIAL - Use Unit 12.

Varlance of the required 500' spacing between a sexually-orlented business and an $R$ zoned district, church, prlvate or public park, or within 1000' of another sexually-orlented business - Section 705. LOCATION OF SEXUALLY-ORIENTED BUSINESSES - Use UnIt 12.

## Corments and Questlons:

Mr. Jones informed that Staff has recelved a letter (Exhibit E-1) from the applicant, Marcus Wright, requesting withdrawal of the application.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of CHAPFELLE, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Doverspike, White "absent") to WITHDRAM Case No. 15793, as requested by the applicant.

## ActIon Reguested:

Varlance of the required $10^{\prime}$ side yard to $0^{\prime}$ to permit an addltion to an exlsting dwelling - Section 403. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 6, located 447 South 53rd West Avenue.

## Presentat Ion:

The applicant, Delbert Collins, 6709 West Cameron, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is the owner of the subject property, and submitted a plot plan (Exhlbit $\mathrm{F}-1$ ) and photographs (Exhlbit $\mathrm{F}-2$ ) of the dwelllng. Mr. Collins explalned that the house was constructed very near the property llne and the proposed addltion wlll allgn with the existling wall.

## Conments and Questions:

Ms. Hubbard clarifled that the appllcant has removed an old room that encroached into the required setback, and the new addition will allgn with the exlsting house.

In response to Mr. Bolzle's question concerning gutterling, the applicant explalned that he owns the property to the north and, since there is a shared drlveway between the two residences, water runoff should not be a problem.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of FULLER, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Doverspike, White "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance of the required 10' slde yard to $0^{\prime}$ to permit an addltion to an existing dwelling - Section 403. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use UnIt 6; per plot plan submitted; subject to the Installation of guttering to prevent dralnage to the abutting property; finding that the existing dwelling was constructed prlor to the adoption of the current Zonlng Code and the addltion will allgn with the existing bullding wall; and finding that the granting of the varlance request will not be detrimental to the nelghborhood, or violate the splrit and intent of the Code; on the following described property:

South 52' of the west 145' of Lot 5, Block 2, Smith's Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Action Requested:

Special Exception to permit Use Unit 14 uses, shopping goods and services, in an IM zoned district - Section 901. PRINCIPAL USES PERHITED IN INDUSTRIAL DISTRICTS - Use UnIt 14, located SW/c Apache Street and Lewls Avenue.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Virglnla Wlllams, 3180 East 33rd Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, was represented by Ed Bullard, 7760 East 87th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who requested permission to continue operation of his buslness in an exlsting 3000 sq ft bullding at the above stated location.

## Cownents and Questions:

Mr. Bolzle inquired as to the type of business being operated on the property, and Mr. Bullard stated that merchandise for sale In one portion of the store conslsts of jewelry, souvenirs, toys and glft ltems. He Informed that the remalning portion of the store is used for resale ltems, such as furnlture and appllances. He polnted out that the bullding has not been used for Industrlal purposes.

In response to Mr. Bolzle, Mr. Bullard stated that the store wlll be open from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. and that some merchandlse ls stored outside the bullding.

Candy Parnell, Code Enforcement, Informed that she recelved a complaint about the trash and debris behind the bullding, and it was discovered durling the Investigation that the property was not zoned for the exlsting use.

## Protestants:

Cleo Wlllams, stated that she ls opposed to the condition of the property. She polnted out that the owner, Virginia Williams, has permitted the outside storage of materlals on the tract, and the property has been an eyesore In the communlty. She stated that merchandise for sale is also left outside the bullding.

Mr. Bolzle pointed out that the appllcation covers a large portion of property to the west of the buslness in questlon, and requested that the legal description be amended to Include only the bullding on the southwest corner of Apache Street and Lewls Avenue.

Gertrude Jones, 2230 North Xanthus Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that the buliding has had several occupants during the years, and requested that the junk be removed from the property.

Mr. Bolzle asked Ms. Jones if she objects to the buslness descrlbed by the appllcant, and she replled that she ls not opposed to the buslness If all merchandise ls kept Inside the bullding.

Thelma Turner, 2445 North Yorktown, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that Junk is stored around the bullding and asked that all trash be removed and all materlals be stored inside the bullding.

Case No. 15795 (contlnued)
Mr. Fuller asked Ms. Turner if she objects to the resale shop being contalned Inside the büliding, and she replled that the business would not be a problem If there is no outside storage.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of FULLER, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons": Doverspike, White "absent") to APPROVE a Special Exceptlon to permit Use Unit 14 uses, shopping goods and services, in an IM zoned district - Sectlon 901. PRINCIPAL USES PERNITIED IN INDUSTRIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 14; subject to no outside storage of merchandise or materlals; finding that numerous sales operations have been conducted at thls location and Use Unlt 14 uses wlll not be detrimental to the area If contalned withln the bullding; on the followlng described property:

East $125^{\prime}$ of $N / 2, N E / 4, N E / 4, N E / 4$, less north $40^{\prime}$ and less west 205', east 245', south 1251 thereof, Section 30, T-20-N, R-13-E, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Ok lahoma.

Case No. 15796

## Action Requested:

Special Exception to permit continued use of a private school in an AG zoned district - Section 310. PERMITIED USES IN AGRICULTRRE DISTRICTS - Use Unit 5, located 6427 West Edison.

## Presentatlon:

The appllcant, Scott Smith, 1524 South College, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that the Board approved school use at the current locatlon for three years. He informed that the church has not been able to construct the bullding durling that period of time, and requested that the approval be extended. A letter of support (Exhlblt S-1) was submitted by Bill McBee, District 11 cochalrman.

Protestants: None.
Board Action:
On MOTION of CHPPELLE, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, "aye"; no "nays": no "abstentlons": Doversplke, White "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exceptlon to permit continued use of a private school in an AG zoned district - Sectlon 310. PERNITIED USES IN AGRICULTURE DISTRICTS - Use Unlt 5; for a perlod of three years only; finding that the use has been at the current locatlon for three years and has proved to be compatible with the surrounding nelghborhood; and finding that the granting of the special exception request wlll not have a detrlmental effect on the area, or violate the spirlt and intent of the Code; on the following described property:

Case No. 15796 (continued)
A tract of land in the SW/4 SE/4 Section 31. T-20-N, R-12-E, Osage County, Oklahoma, belng more particularly descrlbed as follows: Beginning at the southwest corner of the $\mathrm{SE} / 4$, thence N $0^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ for 164' to POB; thence $\mathrm{N} 0^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ 210.08'; thence S 89.52'30" E for 361.7'; thence S 13017'27" E for 215.85'; thence $N$ 89 ${ }^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} W$ for $411.29^{\prime}$ to the POB and being located in an AG zoned district, City of Tulsa, Osage County, Ok lahoma.

## Case No. 15797

ActIon Reguested:
Varlance of the $45^{\prime}$ setback from the centerllne of 19th Street to 35' to permit an addition to an existing garage - Section 210.B.5. Permitted Yard Obstructions - Use Unit 6, located 1747 South Knoxville.

## Presentatlon:

The appllcant, Wlillam Harrls, 1723 South Detrolt, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a plot plan (Exhlblt G-1) and requested permission to add additional space to an exlsting one-car garage. He stated that the new construction will not cause the garage to extend closer to the street than the existing one.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of CHPPELLE, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, "aye"; no "nays": no "abstentlons"; Doverspike, White "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance of the 45' setback from the centerllne of 19 th Street to $35^{\prime}$ to permit an addition to an existing garage - Section 210.B.5. Permitted Yard Obstructions -i Use Unit 6, per plot plan submitted; finding that the proposed construction will not extend closer to the street than the exlsting bullding, and that adding enough garage space to accommodate two cars will not be detrimental to the area, or violate the spirit and intent of the Code: on the followling described property:

Lot 10, Block 1, WIIson View Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15799

## ActIon Reguested:

Varlance of the required 31 setback from a property llne to permit a garage; and a varlance of the maximum permitted coverage of a required rear yard from $20 \%$ to $30 \%$ - Sectlon 210.b.5. Permitted Yard Obstructlons - Use Unlt 6.

Varlance of the minlmum required 5000 sq ft of livabllity space to 3009 sq ft - Section 403. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 6, located 2534 South Norfolk.

## Case No. 15799 (continued)

## Presentat lon:

The appllcant, Robert Alexander, 2534 South Norfolk, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a plot plan (Exhlbit $\mathrm{H}-1$ ) and photographs (Exhlbit $\mathrm{H}-2$ ) of the property in question. He explained that he is renovating an existing house and requested permission to replace the old garage and carport with a new two-car garage.

## Conments and Questlons:

Mr. Fuller asked the applicant if he is proposing to construct the garage on the boundary line, and he explalned that, due to the Irregular shape of the lot, the distance to the lot llne varles from $4^{\prime}$ to 21/2'. Mr. Alexander stated that the new structure wlll be bullt at the same location as the old one.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of FULLER, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Doverspike, White "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance of the required 31 setback from a property llne to permit a garage; and a varlance of the maximum permitted coverage of a required rear yard from 208 to 308-Section 210.b.5. Permitted Yard Obstructlons - Use Unit 6: and to APPROVE a Varlance of the minImum required 5000 sq ft of llvabllity space to 3009 sq ft - Sectlon 403. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 6; per plot plan submitted; finding that the garage wlll replace an old structure that will be removed from the lot; and finding that the granting of the requested varlance wlll not be detrimental to the neighborhood; on the following described property:

Lot 8, Block 7, Sunset Terrace Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15800

## ActIon Reguested:

Varlance to expand a nonconforming use (parking of varlous vehlcles and equipment) - Section 1407.A.B.C. Parking, Loading and Screening Nonconformitles - Use Units 6 and 25.

Varlance of the required allmweather materlal to permit parking on a gravel lot - Section 1303.D Design Standards for Off-Street Parking Areas - Use Units 6 and 25, located 8160 South Elwood.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Mark Sharp, 632 West MaIn, Jenks, Oklahoma, who submitted a plot plan (Exhlbit J-1), stated that he is representing the owners of the property In question. He polnted out that they are not asking to expand thelr nonconforming use. He Informed that Code Enforcement has notifled his cllent that the business has expanded since the property was annexed into the City. Mr. Sharp stated that the expansion to the use occurred in 1986 when a bullding permit was Issued for a $50^{\prime}$ by $50^{\prime}$ bullding, which constructed on the property at that time. He polnted out that the property owners bullt a home

Case No. 15800 (continued)
on the slte in 1959 and have continued to live on the property and operate a famlly business. Thirteen letters of support (Exhlbit J-2), which stated that the business was in existence in 1970, were submitted. Mr. Sharp explained that his cllent is a utlilty contractor (Installs telephone cable) and all work is performed at another location; however, his equipment is parked on the subject property and some repairs are made In the bullding. He stated that the large equipment with metal cleats cannot be parked on a hard surface materlal. Mr. Sharp relterated that a bullding permit was issued in 1986 for the existing buliding, and requested that his client be permitted to continue to operate his buslness that began in 1959 and expanded in 1986. A news article and zoning clearance permit (Exhibit J-5) were submitted.

## Coments and QuestIons:

Mr. Bolzle asked if the equipment is stored in the bullding on the back portion of the property, and Mr . Sharp replled that the equipment is parked in front of the bullding.

Candy Parnell, Code Enforcement, advised that the complalnt recelved at her office was from an abutting property owner who stated that there has been an expanslon of a lawfully nonconforming use on the property. She stated that she could not determine from the aerlal photographs if the business was in operation prior to 1970.

Mr. Bolzle asked If plpe, cable, etc. are stored on the property, and Mr. Sharp answered in the affirmative.

Mr. Jackere informed that the date for establlshlng a nonconforming use would be the date of annexation into the City, which was 1966.

## Protestants:

Mr. Bolzle stated that a letter of protest (Exhlbit J-3) was recelved from area residents, Gerald and Shella Campbell.

Sam Young, 8164 South Elwood, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a packet (Exhlbit J-1) contalning photographs of the equipment and gasoline tank truck stored on the property. He polnted out that there are numerous residences In the area and the long-range plan for the area Is residentlal, not Industrlal. Mr. Young stated that the storage of equipment at this locatlon creates an eyesore, lowers property values and is hazardous to chlldren in the area. An appralsal report was Included in the packet (Exhlbit J-1) submitted by Mr. Young.

## Comments and Questlons:

Mr. Fuller inquired as to the number of homes in the surrounding area, and Mr. Young replled that approximately 30 residences are located in the area. He added that it is approximately 100 yards from his front door to the gasollne tanker truck that is stored on the subject property.

Mr. Jackere asked Mr. Young If there have been changes in the use since he purchased his home approxlmately two and one-half years ago, and he replled that trees have been removed and the equipment storage area enlarged.

Case No. 15800 (continued)
Mr. Bolzle stated that the case could be contlnued to allow the appllcant to prove nonconformity and that the intensity of the use has not Increased.

## Interested Partles:

Dennis Vanskoy, Route 1. Porter, Oklahoma, stated that he has the flrst mortgage on the three parie : of property abutting the subject property, and at the time he purchased his property there were only two pleces of equipment stored at thls location.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of CHAPPELLE, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Doversplke, White "absent") to CONTINUE Case No. $\{5800$ to August 27, 1999 to allow the app:lcant to prove nonconformity of the use and if it has expanded slnce 1966.

## Case No. 15801

## ActIon Requested:

Speclal Exception to allow a moblle home in an AG zoned district Section 301. PRINCIPAL USES PERMITTED IN THE AGRICULTURE DISTRICT Use Unit 9.

Varlance of the required $30^{\prime}$ of frontage on a public or dedicated street to $0^{\prime}$ - Section 206. STREET FRONTAGE REQUIRED - Use Unit 9. located 14344 East 56th Street North.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Rick Herron, 8344 North 117th East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a plot plan (Exhlblt K-1) and requested permission to Install a noblle home on his property for one year. He stated that he is constructing a permanent dwelling on the property.

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Fuller asked if the moblle home will be removed at the end of the one-year period, and the applicant answered in the affirmative.

Mr. Bolzle asked Mr. Herron if he owns the small strlp of land giving access to the property, and he replled that he owns a 10' by $20^{\prime}$ portion of land on the street. He stated that he is trylng to acqulre the the 10 acres fronting the street, and abutting his property.

In response to Mr. Bolzle, the appllcant stated that he has obtalned an access easement from the street to hls tract.

Mr. Gardner inquired as to the distance from the location of the moblle home to the nearest residence, and Mr. Herron stated that he Is approximately 680' from another moblle home, and one-quarter mile from the nearest stick bullt home.

Case No. 15801 (continued)
Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of FULLER, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Doverspike, White "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exceptlon to allow a moblle home in an AG zoned district for one year only - Section 301. PRINCIPAL USES PERMITTED IN TIUE AGRICULTURE DISTRICT - Use Unit 9; and to APPROVE a Varlance of the required 30' of frontage on a publlc or dedicated street to $0^{\prime}$ - Section 206. STREET FRONTAGE REQUIRED - Use Unit 9; per plot plan submitted; and subject to the execution of a mutual access easement; finding that the temporary use wlll not be detrimental to the area, or violate the spirit and intent of the Code; on the following described property:

SW/4, NE/4, NE/4, Section 9, T-20-N, R-14-E, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15802

## Action Reguested:

Varlance of the required 75' lot width to 50', and a varlance of the required 9000 sq ft lot area to 6500 sq ft , and of the required $10,875 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ land area to 7750 sq ft to permit a lot spllt Section 403. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS Use Unit 6, located 2101 East 22nd Place.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Dona Broyles, 2101 East 22nd Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that her property consists of $21 / 2$ lots lone 75 l lot, one 501 lot and the west $25^{\prime}$ of a third lot), or 1501 of street frontage. She explained that the existing house was constructed in 1936 on the west lot, with a silght encroachment into the middle lot, resulting In a 50 ' vacant lot. Ms. Broyles stated that the zoning has changed and the required lot width is now 75'. She explalned that she is proposing to sell the property to a bullder, who wlll renovate the existing dwelling and construct a new dwelling on the vacant lot. Ms. Broyles stated that numerous lots in the area are 50' In width and some are less. Photographs (Exhibit P-1) were submitted.

## Protestants:

Georgeanna White, 2140 East 22nd Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that there are no 50' lots on 22nd Place, and she Is opposed to the app!Ication.

Anthony Kaprelos, 4142 South Trenton, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he Is representing his sister-In-law, who llves across the street from the subject property and is opposed to the application. Mr. Kaprelos pointed out that the applicant has falled to present a hardship for the varlance request.

Case No. 15802 (continued)
Jerry Whlte, 2205 East 22nd Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is concerned with splittling lots in established developments, and feels this destroys the balance of the neighborhood.

Jessle Henley, 2115 East 22nd Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that she llves to the east of 'Ms. Broyles property, and the proposed construction wlll decrease the amount of light and air clrculatlon. and create a traffic problem at thls location.

Susan White, 2205 East 22nd Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that most of the lots in the Immediate area contain 10,000 sq ft of land area, and that a portion of the existing dwelling will have to be removed to complete the proposed project.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of FULLER, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Doverspike, White "absent") to DENY a Varlance of the required 75' lot width to 50', and a varlance of the required 9000 sq ft lot area to 6500 sq ft , and of the required $10,875 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ land area to 7750 sq ft to permit a lot split - Section 403. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 6; finding that the applicant falled to demonstrate a hardship for the varlances requested; and finding that the requested lot size is not conslstent with those in the immediate area; on the following described property:

Lots 12, 13, and the west $1 / 2$ of Lot 14, Block 4, Brentwood Heights, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15803

## Action Requested:

Varlance of the required 60' setback from the centerllne of North Yale Avenue to 501 to permit a business sign - Section 1221.C/6. General Use Conditlons for Buslness SIgns - Use Unit 13. located 903 North Yale Avenue.

## Presentat lon:

The appllcant, Oklahomo Neon, was represented by Terry Howard, 1423 South Independence, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who explained that the existing sign will be moved down the lot to the north, and installed at the same setback. A sign plan (Exhlbit L-2) was submitted. He Informed that the strip center wlll be removed and a new Git-N-Go wlll be constructed on the lot. A plot plan (Exhlbit L-1) was submitted.

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Gardner Informed that the Major Street and Highway Plan calls for 60' of right-of-way in the area, but only $50^{\prime}$ has been dedicated. He pointed out that most of the off-street parking in the area has been constructed to the property line, and if the signs are Installed at the required setback they are sometimes in the middle of the parking lot rather than on the fringe of the property.

Case No. 15803 (contlnued)
Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of COMPPELLE, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Doversplke, Whlte "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance of the required 60' setback from the centerllne of North Yale Avenue to 50' to permit a business sign Sectlon 1221.C/6. General Use Condltlons for Buslness SIgns - Use Unlt 13; per plan submltted; subject to the executlon of a removal contract; flnding that the parklng lots In the area have been constructed on the lot Ilne and the installation of the sign at the requlred setback would move it to the interlor of the parkling lot; on the following described property:

A tract of land located in the W 130.5' of Lot 1, Block 1, Yale Manor Addltion to the Clty and County of Tulsa, Oklahoma according to the offlclal plat thereof, more partlcularly descrlbed as follows: Beginning at the $\mathrm{SW} / \mathrm{c}$ of sald Lot 1, Block 1 ; thence northerly along the west Ilne of sald Lot 1 a distance of 22'; thence easterly on a IIne parallel to the south Ilne of sald Lot 1 a distance of 114.2'; thence northerly on a llne parallel to the west IIne of sald Lot 1 a dlstance of 156.9'; thence westerly along a Ilne parallel to the north Ilne of sald Lot 1 a distance of 113.5'; thence northerly along the west Ilne of sald Lot 1 to the NW/c of sald Lot 1; thence easterly along the north IIne of Lot 1 a distance of 130.5'; thence southerly on a line parallel to the west llne of sald Lot 1 a distance of 200 to a polnt on the S Ilne of sald Lot 1; thence westerly along the south llne of sald Lot 1 a distance of 130.5 to the POB; Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Ok lahoma.

Case No. 15804

## Actlon Requested:

Varlance to permit a second story in a detached accessory bullding Section 210.B.5 Permitted Yard Obstructlons - Use Unit 6.

Varlance to exceed the maximum permitted $750 \mathrm{sq} f+$ for a detached accessory bullding to 1120.5 sq ft - Sectlon 402.B.1.d. Accessory Use CondItlons, located 29th Street and Yorktown Avenue.

## Comments and Questlons:

Mr. Bolzle advised that one Board member will need to abstaln from hearlng Case No. 15804 and, due to lack of three votes, thls appllaction will be contlnued to August 27, 1991.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of CHWPELLE, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Doversplke, Whlte "absent") to CONTINUE Case No. 15804 to August 27, 1991.

Action Requested:
Varlance of the required $25^{\prime}$ rear yard to 10: to permit an addition to an existing bullding - Section 403. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 6, located 1631 East 30th Place.

## Presentatlon:

The applicant, Steve Olsen, 324 East 3rd Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, was represented by Darwin Smith, Jr., 2677 East 38th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma. He submltted a plat of survey (Exhlbit M-1), and requested permission to construct a carport In the rear yard of an existing house. He explalned that the carport wlll not extend as close to the rear property line as the existing structure.

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Bolzle asked what type of structure is existlng west of the proposed carport, and Mr. Smith stated that there is a covered walkway to the west.

Protestants: None.

## Board Act Ion:

On MOTION of CHAPPELLE, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Doversplke, White "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance of the required 25 ' rear yard to 101 to permit an add!tion to an existing buliding - Section 403. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 6; per plat of survey; finding that the carport wIll not extend closer to the rear property line than the existing structure to the west; finding that the proposed construction will not be detrimental to the nelghborhood; on the following described property:

Lots 3 and 4, Block 5, Avalon Place, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15806
Action Requested:
Special Exception for master plan approval for church use In an AG zoned district - Sectlon 301. PRINCIPAL USES PERMITIED IN THE AGRICULTURE DISIRICT - Use Unit 5, located 5415 East 101st Street South.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, D. Leon Ragsdale, 1615 North 24th West Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a master plan (Exhlbit $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{i}$ ) for the Redeemer Covenant Church. He informed that the church has an existing auditorium and educatlonal space, and requested that the Board approve the master plan whlch deplcts future development on the slte.

Case No. 15806 (continued)
Conments and Questions:
Mr. Bolzle asked if all proposed parking is shown on the plan, and the applicant stated that additional parking wlll not be installed.

In response to Mr. Jackere, the applicant stated that there will not be a child care operation on the property.

Protestants: None.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of FULLER, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Doversplke, White "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception for master plan approval for church use in an AG zoned district - Section 301. PRINCIPAL USES PERMITIED IN THE AGRICULTURE DISTRICT - Use Unlt 5; per master plan submitted; finding the use to be compatible with the area and in harmony with the spirit and intent of the code; on the following described property:

E/2, SW/4, SE/4, SW/4, Section 22, T-18-N, R-13-E, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

There belng no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 4:30 p.m.


