# CITY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT <br> MiNUTES of Meeting No. 584 <br> Tuesday, April 9, 1991, 1:00 p.m. <br> City Councll Room, Plaza Level <br> Tulsa Civic Center 

| MEMBERS PRESENT | MEMBERS ABSENT | STAFF PRESENT | OTKERS PRESENT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Bolzle |  |  |  |
| Bradley | Gardner | Jackere, Legal |  |
| Chappelle | Jones | Department |  |
| Fuller | Moore | Hubbard, Protective |  |
| White, Chairman |  |  |  |

The notice and agenda of sald meeting were posted in the Office of the City Clerk on Monday, April 8, 1991, at 11:25 a.m., as well as in the Receptlon Area of the INCOG offices.

After declaring a quorum present, Chalrman White called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m.

## MINUTES:

On MOTION of CHAPPELLE, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bolzie, Bradiey, Chappelle, Fuller, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; none "absent") to APPROVE the Minutes of March 26, 1991.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Case No. 15638

## Action Reguested:

Special Exception to permit a private soclal and dining club Section 1608. SPECIAL EXCEPTION, and Section 401. PRINCIPAL USES PERMITTED IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use UnIt 5, located 1414 South Galveston.

## Presentatlon:

The appllcant, Charles Morman, 2900 Mid-Continent Tower, Tulsa, Ok lahoma, requested that Case No. 15638 be continued to May 14, 1991 (Exhlblt A-1). He explalned that the City Legal Department has made the determination that restrictions on the McBirney mansion can be amended with the approval of the City Councll, the Oklahoma Historical Soclety and the Tulsa Preservation Commission. Mr. Norman informed that the City Council wlll hear the request on April 18, 1991, and it has been requested that a previous approval by the Oklahoma Hlstorlcal Soclety, which meets on Aprll 12, 1991, be reconsidered.

Protestants:
Mary Jo Gandy, 1324 South Galveston, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that she lives across the street from the subject property, and is concerned with the amount of continuances.

Case No. 15638 (continued)
Ms. White explained that the case is very complicated and must be heard by other groups before it Is heard by the Board of Adjustment.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of BOLZLE, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; none "absent") to CONTINUE Case No. 15638 to May 14, 1991, as requested by the appllcant.

Case No. 15664

## Action Requested:

Special Exception to permit an on-premise pole mounted message center sign with flashing illumination in a residential district -
Section 402.B.4. - Accessory Uses Permitted In Residential Districts

- Signs - Use Unit 21, located 5840 South Hudson.


## Comenents and Questions:

Mr. Jones stated that the applicant, Larry Wald, has requested by letter (Exhlbit B-1), recelved on April 8, that Case No. 15664 be continued to May 14, 1991.

Ms. White informed that the letter requesting a continuance states that, due to numerous people being on vacation during spring break, it was not convenlent for the school representatives and nelghborhood protestants to meet.

## Protestants:

Lloyd Hobbs, 5846 South Hudson Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that the nelghbors have not met with representatlves from the school; however, Larry Wald did attempt to reach him, but contact was never made. He polnted out that the old Menorial High School sign has been repalred, and that the Board should either hear the case or dismlss lt. In response to Ms. White, Mr. Hobbs stated that he would not be opposed to continuing the case to May 18, 1991.

Ms. Bradley asked if there have been neighborhood meetings to discuss the sign Issue, and Mr. Hobbs stated that the nelghbors met and decided that they would not support a special exception.

## Board Actlon:

On HOTION of FULLER, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradey, Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; none "absent") to CONTINUE Case No. 15664 to May 14, 1991, subject to the case beling heard or withdrawn at that time.

## Action Requested:

Speclal Exception to permit a home occupatlon (barber shop) Section 402. ACCESSORY USES IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 6, located 6503 East 5th Place.

## Presentatlon:

The appllcant, D. R. Metzger, 6503 East 5th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that this case was contlnued from the last Board of Adjustment meeting to allow him to determine the feaslbllity of rezonlng the property. Mr. Metzger advised that he has determlned to pursue a home occupation to allow the operation of a barber shop in hls home.

## Coments and Questlons:

Mr. Gardner Informed that a home occupation would cause the property to retain its residentlal character, as the barber shop is located to the rear of the residence and fronts on SherIdan.

Ms. Bradley asked the appllcant if he obtalned a permlt for the curb cut on Sheridan, and he answered in the afflrmative.

Ms. Hubbard polnted out that the parking area in the back yard must be covered with a hard surface materlal, which could result in a shortage of livability space. She also volced a possible concern that a parking lot In the rear yard could change the residential character of the structure.

Mr. Jackere stated that altering the property to accomnodate the parking of a few automoblles would not be considered as altering the structure.

Mr. Metzger submitted letters of support (Exhlbit C-1) from residents In the area.

Mr. Gardner pointed out that paving is not prohibited in residential neighborhoods, however, the livablilty space requirement must be met.

Mr. Bolzle stated that the use appears to be approprlate for the area.

Ms. White advised the applicant that the existlng gravel parkIng lot does not comply with Code requlrements, and a citation from Code Enforcement could be issued if it is not brought Into compliance.

In response to Ms. Bradley, the appllcant stated that he and his father work from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Tuesday through Saturday, and have approximately five customers each day.

Ms. White polnted out that the house ls on the fringe of the residentlal nelghborhood surrounded by commerclal zoning, and the parking lot is on SherIdan Road.

Protestants: None.

Case No. 15672 (contInued)

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of BOLZLE, the Board voted 4-1-0 (Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller. White, "aye"; Bradley, "nay"; no "abstentions"; none "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Except Ion to permit a home occupation (barber shop) - Section 402. ACCESSORY USES IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 6; subject to days and hours of operation belng IImlted to Tuesday through Saturday, 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.; per Home Occupation Guldellnes; subject to the installation of a solld screenling fence along the north and east boundary IInes of the parking area (the rear of the home only); finding that there are existing businesses along Sherldan Road and the parking area wlll be accessed from that street only; and finding the use to be compatible with the neighborhood, as the existing dwelling will remain residentlal in character, with no exterior alteratlons; on the following described property:

Lot 12, Block 5, Sheridan Hills Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## MINOR VARIANCES AND EXCEPTIONS

Case No. 15688
ActIon Reguested:
Minor Varlance of the required front yard, as measured from the centerllne of South Erle Avenue, from 55' to 50', to permit construction of a new dwelling - Section 403, Table 3 - BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 6. located west of the intersection of 106th Street South and South Erle Avenue.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Hammond Engineerlng Company, was represented by Adrlan Smith, 5157 East 51st Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who submitted a site plan (Exhibit D-1) for a proposed dwelling. He polnted out that the lot has several large trees that would prevent moving the house further to the rear of the lot. Mr. Smith informed that, due to the curvature of the street, a portion of the structure encroaches into the required front yard.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of CHAPPELLE, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; none "absent") to APPROVE a MInor Varlance of the required front yard, as measured from the centerline of South Erle Avenue, from 551 to 50', to permit construction of a new dwelling - Section 403, Table 3 BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICIS - Use Unit 6; per site plan submitted; finding that moving the house further to the rear of the lot would necessitate the removal of several large trees; and finding a hardship imposed on the appllcant by the Irregular shape of the lot, due to the curvature of the street and the cul-de-sac location; on the followlng described property:

Lot 4, Block 1, Southern Oaks Estates II Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## NEM APPLICATIONS

## Case No. 15680

## Actlon Reguested:

An appeal of the decision of a Code Enforcement officer In determining the existing use ls Use Unit 2, not a Use Unit 5 Transitional Living Center and/or ResIdential Treatment Center Section 1605. APPEALS FROM AN ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICIAL - Use Unit 5.

Special Exception to conduct operatlons utIllzIng 243-245 West 12th Street and additional propertles as a halfway house for the rehabliltation of Individuals with an alcohollc and/or chemical dependency criminal history irrespective of the Use Unit classificatlon determined by the Code Enforcement officer or the Board of Adjustment - Section 701. PRINCIPAL USES PERHITIED IN COMMERCIAL DISTRICTS and Sectlon 1606. INTERPRETATION.

Varlance of the one-fourth mlle (1,320') spacing requirement between residentlal treatment centers, transltlonal llving centers, emergency or protective shelters - Sectlon 1205.C.4. USE UNIT 5. COMMUNITY SERVICES AND SIMILAR USES - Use Conditlons - Use Unlt 5, located 243-245 West 12th Street and 250-260 West 11th Street.

## Presentation:

The applicant, John O'Connor, PO 4163, Tulsa, Oklahoma, Informed that a second parcel of land was added to the Inltlal appllcatlon, which requlres additlonal advertising and notification of surrounding property owners. He requested (Exhlbit E-1) that Case No. 15680 be continued to April 23, 1991.

## Interested Partles:

Brlan Huddleston, 6 East 5th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who represented interested property owners, stated that he is not opposed to a †wo-week contInuance.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of BOLZLE, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; none "absent") to CONTINUE Case No. 15680 to April 23, 1991, as requested by the applicant.

Case No. 15684
Actlon Reguested:
Appeal of a decision of a Code Enforcement offlcer in determining the present activitles to constltute a wlnecraft business - Section 1605. APPEALS FROM AN ABMINISTRATIVE OFFICIAL - Use Unit 6.

Speclal Exceptlon to permit a home occupation, wlnecraft business Sectlon 402. ACCESSORY USES IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 6, located 1711 South Yorktown Avenue.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Brlan Cole, 1711 South Yorktown Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he ls the owner of a wlnecraft business that is conducted primarily by mall. He explained that the business involves the sale of supplles for making beer and wine in the home.

## Comuents and Questions:

Mr. Fuller asked the applicant if he advertises his product in newspapers and magazlnes, and he replled that all of hls business advertising ls done by direct mall.

In response to Ms. White's question, Mr. Cole replled that customers occasionally visit hls home.

Ms. Bradley asked where supplles are stored and the appllcant stated that all business Items are stored in the front room of his home.

In response to Mr. Fuller, Mr. Cole stated that approximately 10 customers visit his home each week, most of which are personal friends.

In reply to Ms. Bradley's question concerning dellverles, the appllcant stated that he recelves about one dellvery from UPS every three weeks.

Mr. Jackere inquired as to the type of supplles a customer would buy to make wine or beer, and Mr. Cole stated that a fermenting vessel, approximately $2 \prime$ In diameter and 3 ' tall $1 s$ required, and a few other smaller items would be needed to initlally begin the process. He informed that approximately 30 fermenting vessels are stored in his home at the present time. Mr. Cole stated that he has been in the wInecraft business for approximately one year. Mr. Jackere asked how many start-up wlnecraft kits have been sold during the one-year perlod, and the appllcant stated that he has sold approximately 50 kits.

Mr. Jackere asked if the delivery pickup has a sign, and the appllcant stated that there is no sign on the truck.

Ms. Bradley Inquired as to the number of employees, and the appllcant replled that his two roommates help in the business.

## Interested Partles:

Candy Parnell, Code Enforcement, advised that she received a complalnt regardlng the subject property on January 24, 1991, and left a door notice after visiting the location. She stated that there was no response to the notice. Ms. Parnell stated that the yellow pages of the telephone directory state the days and hours of operatlon to be Tuesday through Friday, 2:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. and Saturday from 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. She informed that, after malling a second notice to Mr. Cole, another Inspection of the property was made on February 14, 1991, at which time she found the business to be closed until 2:12 p.m. After finding the doors locked, Ms. Parnell stated that she parked nearby and observed three people attempt to enter the house between 1:45 p.m. and 2:15 p.m. After the business was open, she found that the llving room of the

Case No. 15684 (continued)
home had the appearance of a small grocery store, with many items for making beer and wine sacked, displayed and priced for sale. Ms. Parnell stated that the business does not comply with the Home Occupation Guidelines.

## Protestants:

John Carwlle, 1708 South Yorktown, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he lives across the street form the property in question, and is opposed to the traffic that ls generated by the business. A petition of opposition (Exhlbit $\mathrm{F}-1$ ) was submitted.

Glenn and Mary Ramsay, 1725 South Yorktown, Mary SIdebottom, 1562 South Yorktown and Danlel Vasicek, 1871 East 17th Street, were present but did not speak.

## Coments and Questlons:

Mr. Fuller stated that the operation of a business of this intensity is not approprlate for the interior of a residential neighborhood.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of FULLER, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; none "absent") to UPHOLD the decision of a Code Enforcement officer in determining the present activities to constitute a winecraft business - Section 1605. APPEALS FROM AN ADHINISTRATIVE OFFICIAL - Use Unit 6, and to DENY a Speclal Exception to permit a winecraft buslness as a home occupation - Sectlon 402. ACCESSORY USES IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 6; finding the use does not meet the Zoning Code requirements, and is too intense for the interlor of a residential neighborhood; and finding that the granting of the requests will violate the spirit and intent of the Code, and wlll be detrimental to the area; on the following described property.

South 10' of Lot 20, and the north 52' of Lot 21, Block 5, Maywood Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15685

## ActIon Reguested:

Varlance of the front yard requirement from 55' to 48', and variance of the side yard requirement from 101 to 61 to permit an addition to an existing dwelling - Section 403. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 6, located Lot 21, Block 6, Lou North Acres Addition.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, H. E. Elllott, 3824 East 53rd Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, was represented by Mard Elliott, who submitted a plot plan (Exhibit G-1) for a proposed addition to the front portion of an existing dwelling. He Informed that the new construction wlll not extend further toward the street than the exlsting front wall of the garage.

Case No. 15685 (continued)
Coments and Questlons:
Ms. Bradley asked If the house is located In the floodplaln, and the appllcant replled that it was once in the floodplaln, but has been removed since Little Joe Creek Improvements were made.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of OUPPELLE, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; none "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance of the front yard requirement from 551 to 48', and varlance of the side yard requirement from 101 to $6^{\prime}$ to permit an additlon to an existing dwelling - Sectlon 403. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 6; per plan submitted; finding that the existing house encroaches into the required front yard, and the proposed construction wlll not extend further toward the street than the existing buliding wall; on the following described property:

Lot 21, Block 6, Lou North Acres Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15686

## Act Ion Requested:

Varlance of the required setback, as measured from the centerllne of South Baltimore, from $25^{\prime}$ to $\mathbf{~} 5^{\prime}$ ' to permit the addition of a canopy to an existling bullding - Sectlon 703. BULK AND AREA REQUIRGENTS IN THE COMMERCIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 11, located SW/c East 18th Street and South Baltimore Avenue.

## Presentat Ion:

The appllcant, Charles M. Sublett, 320 South Boston, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted renderIngs (Exhlbit $\mathrm{H}-2$ ) and a plot plan (Exhlbit $\mathrm{H}-1$ ) for a proposed canopy on the existlng Mapco bullding. Mr. Sublett explained that the canvas awning wlll be supported by metal framework, and will be Installed along the front of the bullding to provide protection from the elements. He pointed out that a hardship Is imposed by the long narrow shape of the lot, and the fact that portions of the existing bullding were previously constructed over the lot line. The appllcant stated that the surrounding property owners are supportlve of the proposed awnlng and, since it will extend Into the City right-of-way, a IIcense agreement with the City is required.

Protestants: None.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of CHAPPELLE, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Bolzie, Chappelle, Fuller, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; none "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance of the requlred setback, as measured from the centerline of South Baltimore, from $25^{\prime}$ to 15 ' to permit the addition of a canopy to an existing bullding - Section 703. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN TIE COMERCIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unlt 11; per plot plan and City approval; finding a hardship imposed by the long narrow shape of the lot and the placement of the bullding; and finding that

Case No 15686 (contInued)
the bullding has been at thls locatlon for many years, and the installation of an awning will not be detrimental to the surrounding nelghborhood, or violate the spirit and Intent of the Code; on the following described property:

Lots 1 - 7, and the north 25' of Lot 8, Block 2, GiddIngs Resubdivision of Sleg and Boston Additlons, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case Mo. 15687

## ActIon Reguested:

Speclal Exceptlon to permit Use Unit 17 uses In a CS zoned district Section 701. PRINCIPAL USES PERMITTED IN COAERCIAL DISTRICTS - Use UnIt 17.

Varlance to walve the screenling requlrement along the north property IIne - Sectlon 1217.C. Use Conditlons - Use Unlt 17, and a Varlance to allow open alr storage or display of merchandise offered for sale within 300' of an adjolning R Dlstrict - Section 1217.C. - Use Conditlons - Use Unit 17, located 6415 North Sheridan.

## Presentatlon:

The appllcant, Billie L. Cox, 3109 South Lewls, Tulsa, Oklahoma, requested permission to operate a car rental business on the subject property.

Comments and Questlons:
Ms. Bradley asked If the property in questlon wlll only be used for an automobile rental business, and the appllcant answered in the affirmative.

In response to Mr. Fuller, Mr. Cox stated that the proposed busIness is across the street from Thrifty Rent-A-Car, and there are several slmllar uses in the area.

Ms. Bolzle asked the applicant If he would be opposed to restrlctling the use to automoblie rental only, and Mr. Cox stated that thls ls the only Intended use for the property.

In regard to screening, Mr. Gardner polnted out that the propertles to the north are planned for industrial uses.

Protestants: None.

Case No. 15687 (continued)
Board Action:
On MOTION of FULLER, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; none "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exceptlon to permit a car rental business in a CS zoned district - Section 701. PRINCIPAL USES PERMITTED IN CCMPERCIAL DISTRICTS - Use UnIt 17; and to APPROVE a Varlance to waive the screening requirement along the north property IIne - Section 1217.C. Use Conditlons - Use Unit 17, and a Variance to allow open alr storage or display of merchandlse offered for sale within 300' of an adjolning R District - Section 1217.C. - Use Conditions - Use Unit 17; subject to the use being restrlcted to car rental only; finding that there are numerous car rental agencles surrounding the Tulsa International Alrport, and the property to the north is planned for Industrlal uses; on the followlng described property:

Lot 12, less beginning at the $N E / c$, thence south 140', west 630', north 140.1', east 430', south 115.1', east 180', north 115.1', east 20 ' to the Point of Beginning, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15689
Actlon Reguested:
Special Exception to permit a Use Unit 5 (existing union hall and related activitles), and a Speclal Exception to approve an amended site plan in order to permit the construction of a proposed storage bullding - Section 601. PRINCIPAL USES PERMITTED IN OFFICE DISTRICTS - Use Unlt 5, located 11929 East Plne Street.

## Presentat Ion:

The appllcant, Cannon Constructlon Company, was represented by David Cannon, 10301 East 51 st Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who submitted a plot plan (Exhlbit J-1) for a proposed storage bullding. He Informed that a union hall is currently located on the property and a new 351 by $55^{\prime}$ steel storage faclllty, wlth a $30^{\prime}$ by $35^{\prime}$ canopy, $1 s$ proposed for the site. Mr. Cannon stated that the new bullding will be located on the north portlon of the tract, and the roof of the canopy wlll tie Into the roof of the storage bullding.

## Comments and Questlons:

Ms. Bradley asked if the purpose of the new building is for storage purposes only, and Mr. Cannon answered In the afflrmative.

Protestants: None.

## Case No. 15689 (continued)

## Board Act Ion:

On MOTION of FULLER, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; none "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception to permit a Use Unit 5 (exlsting unlon hall and related activities), and a Speclal Exception to approve an amended slte plan in order to permit the construction of a proposed storage bullding - Sectlon 601. PRINCIPAL USES PERMITIED IN OFFICE DISTRICTS - Use Unit 5; per plot plan submltted; flnding that the union hall was a permitted use when approved, and the bullding wlll be used for storage purposes only; on the followlng described property:

Lot 1, Block 1, The Blll Redwine Additlon, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15690

## ActIon Requested:

Varlance of the minimum lot frontage from 150' to 130', and from 150' to 133.67 ' to permit a lot spllt - Sectlon 703, Table 2 - BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN COMERCIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 14, located NW/c 71st Street and Trenton Avenue.

## Presentat Ion:

The applicant, Roy Johnsen, 324 Maln Mall, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a plot plan (Exhlbit $\mathrm{K}-1$ ) and stated that he is representing the Fourth National Bank, record owner of the subject property. He explalned that the tract has two different zoning classiflcations (commerclal and offlce), and the bank has recelved the property due to a loan default. Mr. Johnsen stated that two restaurants are proposed for the property, which does not have sufflclent street frontage for two 150' lots. He pointed out that the purpose of the street frontage requirement is to control access, and only one access point is proposed for the two restaurants.

## Oownents and Questlons:

Ms. Bradley pointed out that the property is located in a Planned Unit Development (PUD). She asked the applicant if an amendment would be approved by the Planning Commisslon, and he replled that both the Board of Adjustment and the Planning Commission must hear the case.

Mr. Bolzle asked If the proposed Taco Bell wlll have a secondary access, and Mr. Johnsen polnted out that there is a drive north of the proposed restaurants that wlll serve as a secondary access. He added that the access polnts have been revlewed and approved by the Traffic Englneerling Department.

Mr. Gardner advised that the 40' driveway, which wlll run north and south between the two restaurants, wlll function llke a street.

Mr. Jackere stated that, If Inclined to approved the applicatlon, the Board should limit the access points on 71st Street to one access, as presented by the appllcant.

Case No. 15690 (continued)
Mr. Johnsen requested that Board action be limited to Tracts A and B, which are located on the south portlon of the tract along 71st Street.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of CHAPPELLE, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller. White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; none "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance of the minimum lot frontage from 150' to 130', and from 150' to 133.67 ' to permit a lot split Section 703, Table 2 - BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN COMERCIAL OISTRICTS - Use Unit 14; subject to approval belng appllcable to Tract A and B only, as depicted on the submitted site plan; subject to one access polnt on 71st Street serving Tracts A and B; finding a hardship demonstrated by the Irregular shape of the tract; on the following described property:

A tract of land that is part of the SW/4, SE/4, SW/4, Section 6, T-18-N, R-13-E, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, sald tract being described as follows, to-wit: Starting at the SE/c of the SW/4 SE/4 SW/4 of sald Section 6; thence S $89^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ along the southerly line of Section 6 for 25.00'; thence N $0^{\circ} 00^{\prime \prime} 42^{\prime \prime} E$ and parallel with the easterly llne of the $\mathrm{SW} / 4$ SE/4 SW/4 for 80.00' to the POB of sald tract of land; thence continulng N $0^{\circ} 00^{\prime \prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ for 581.77 ' to a polnt on the northerly line of the SW/4 SE/4 SW/4, sald polnt being 25.00 ' westerly of the NE/c thereof; thence S 8952'03: W along sald northerly IIne for 635.14' to the $\mathrm{NW} / \mathrm{c}$ of the $\mathrm{SW} / 4 \mathrm{SE} / 4 \mathrm{SW} / 4 ;$ thence S $0^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ along the westerly line of the $\mathrm{SW} / 4 \mathrm{SE} / 4 \mathrm{SW} / 4$ for 300.35' to a point that is 361.501 northerly of the SW/c of the SW/4 SE/4 SW/4; thence $N 89^{\circ} 51^{\prime \prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ and parallel with the southerly Ilne of Section 6 for 361.50'; thence S $0^{\circ} 00 \cdot 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ and parallel with the westerly Ilne of the SW/4 of the SE/4 SW/4 for 301.50'; thence $N 89^{\circ} 51^{\prime \prime} 35^{\prime \prime} E$, parallel with and 60.00' northerly of the southerly line of Section 6 for 263.67'; thence N 26³2'46"E for 22.38' to the POB; City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15691

## Action Reguested:

Special Exception to permit Use Unit 5 uses (church use and related community, education and recreatlonal facllitles) in accordance with an approved developmental master plan - Section 301. PRINCIPAL USES PERMITTED IN THE AGRICULTURE DISTRICT - Use Unit 5, located 8621 South Menorial Drive.

## Presentatlon:

The appllcant, Higher Dimensions Evangellstic Center, Inc., 8621 South Memorlal Drive, Tulsa, Oklahoma, was represented by Don Alaback, 2642 East 21 st Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who submitted a master plan (Exhibit L-1) for the proposed development. He explalned that the exlsting church sanctuary and educational bulldings are located on a ten-acre tract, and the church has recently purchased an additlonal 30 acres to the south. He Informed that an existing dwelling has been converted to a counselling center, and the trall system and soft ball flelds will also be located on the newly acquired tract.

## Coswents and Questlons:

In response to Mr. Bolzle, Mr. Alaback stated that the existing storage shed and the moblle classrooms wlll be removed in the future.

Jim Gadberry, 8733 South 80th East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that the moblle unlts will be removed when the new educational bullding is constructed.

Mr. Jones explalned that the master plan for the entlre project has been submitted for approval at this tlme; however, the property may be developed in several phases.

Mr. Gardner asked If there is a large outdoor advertlsing sign located on the property, and Mr. Alaback stated that one tier of the sign to the south of the property was removed and is now a sign for the church. Mr. Gardner advised that all outdoor advertlsing signs must be removed by January 1, 1995; however, if the existing sign is for the church, a varlance of the size of the sign will be required, as the sign exceeds the maximum permitted amount of display surface area.

Mr. Bolzle Informed that Staff has recommended that outside activitles be llmited to daytime hours, and that lighting be restricted to security lights near the residentlal neighborhood.

Mr. Jones clarlfled that Staff could support the Installation of securlty lighting along the trall, but would not be supportive of the installation of stadlum type lighting on the north east portion of the tract near the residences.

## Protestonts: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of BOLZE, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Bolzle, Chappelle, Fuller, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; none "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exceptlon to permit Use Unit 5 uses (church use and related communlty, education and recreational facllitles) in accordance with an approved developmental master plan - Sect Ion 301. PRINCIPAL USES PEPEITTED IN TFE AGRICURTUPE DISTRICT - Use Unlt 5; per master plan submitted; subject to Clty zoning ordinances; subject to the outdoor advertising sign used by the church belng brought Into compllance with the Zoning Code; and sub ject to the fol lowing conditions:

Case No. 15691 (contInued)

1) The exlsting portable bullding used as classrooms/meetling rooms be removed upon the completlon of the new educatlonal bullding.
2) The existing malntenance/repalr shop bullding ls to be removed from the site when the new construction is complete.
3) All outdoor activitles assoclated with the softball fleld and other recreatlonal uses be llmited to the dayllght hours only.
4) No large outdoor lightlng facllltles for play flelds be allowed from the east boundary of the paved parking areas to the east property llne. Only securlty llghting to be allowed In this area along nature tralls, park shelter and rest room areas.
5) Compliance with the City of Tulsa Subdivision ordinance be required.
6) Compllance wlth the Department of Publlc Works Flood Hazard Regulations as portions of the subject tract are located within the floodway, floodplain and flood frlnge areas of Halkey Creek.
7) All landscaping be installed as detalled on the submitted site plan.

The N/2 of the N/2 of the NW/4 of the SW/4 and the S/2 of the $N / 2$ of the NW/4 of the SW/4 and the N/2 of the S/2 of the NW/4 of the SW/4, all In Section 13. T-18-N, R-13-E, of the IBM, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, less highway right-of-way and belng more particularly described as follows, towit: Comnencing at the northwest corner of the SW/4 of Sectlon 13, T-18-N, R-13-E, Tulsa County, Oklahoma; thence N 8959'47" E along the north IIne of the SW/4 a distance of 120.00 to the east right-of-way Ilne of South Memorlal Drive and the POB; thence continulng $N 89^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 47 \prime$ E a distance of 1,197.84' to the northeast corner of the NW/4 SW/4 Section 13; thence S 0015'29" $W$ along the east IIne of sald NW/4 SW/4 a distance
 1,198.32' to the east right-of-way IIne of South Memorlal Drive; thence $N 0^{\circ} 17^{\prime 0} 0{ }^{\prime \prime} E$ parallel with and 120.00 perpendicularly distant from the west IIne of the SW/4 a distance of 990.06' to the POB, and contalning 27.231 acres, more or less, and being located in an AG zoned district, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Ok I ahoma.

## Case No. 15692

## Actlon Requested:

Varlance of the minimum lot frontage requirement from 150 ' to 130.30 ' to permit a lot-split - Section 703. BULK AND AREA REQUIRGUENTS IN THE COMERCIAL DISTRICTS - Use Units 11 and 12.

Varlance of the number of required off-street parking spaces to be provided from 71 spaces to 58 spaces - Sectlon 1212.D. Use Unlt 12 ENIERTAINENT ESTABLISIAENTS AND EATING ESTABLISHMENTS OHER TIAN DRIVE-INS, Off-Street Parking and LoadIng Requirements - Use UnIt 12, located 1426 East 71st Street.

## Presentat lon:

The appllcant, Amerlcan Bank and Trust Co., 6100 South Yale, Tulsa, Ok lahoma, was represented by Tom Messlck, who stated that three of the four bulidings in the shopping center are under contract for sale. He informed that the buyer and the bank are executing a mutual access parking and use agreement which wlll remaln in effect for all subsequent property owners. He polnted out that both propertles wlll have an access polnt on 71st Street, and there wlll be no change In the property except In ownership.

Protestants: None.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of BOLZLE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Bolzle, Fuller, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Chappelle, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance of the mInlmum lot frontage requirement from 150' to 130.30 , to permit a lot spllt - Section 703. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN THE COMMERCIAL DISTRICTS - Use Units 11 and 12; and to APPROVE a Varlance of the number of required off-street parking spaces to be provided from 71 spaces to 58 spaces - Section 1212.D. Use Unit 12 - ENTERTAIMMENT ESTABLISHMENTS AND EATING ESTABLISHMENTS OTHER THAN DRIVE -INS, Off-Street Parking and Loading Requlrements Use Unit 12; per plot plan submitted; subject to the owners of the entire shopping center executing a perpetual mutual access and parking agreement; finding that a portlon of the center has been sold to a new owner, and there wlll be no change In the bulldings, except for ownership; on the followling descrlbed property:

All of Lot 1, Block 1, Valley Bend Park AddItion to the City and County of Tulsa, Oklahoma, less and except the following described tract: BegInning at the NE/c of Sald Lot 1; thence S $00^{\circ} 11^{\prime \prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ along the east line of sald Lot 1 a dlstance of 255.00'; thence $N 89^{\circ} 54^{\prime \prime} 4^{\prime \prime \prime}$ E along the lot IIne of sald Lot 1 a dlstance of $35.70^{\prime}$ thence $S 00^{\circ} 11^{\prime \prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ along the lot line of sald Lot 1 a distance of $10.00^{\prime}$; thence S $89^{\circ} 54^{\prime \prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ a dlstance of 45.70'; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 140^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ a distance of 10.00'; thence S $89^{\circ} 54^{\prime \prime} 43^{\prime \prime} W$ a distance of 142.00'; thence N 00011'40"E a distance of 255.00 ' to the north line of sald Lot 1; thence $N$ 8954'43' E along sald north line a distance of 152.00 ' to the POB, containing 39,217 sq ft;
and
A part of Lot 1, Block 1, Valley Bend Park, an addItion to the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, more partlcularly descrlbed as follows: Beginnlng at the NE/c of Sald Lot 1; thence S $00^{\circ} 11^{\prime \prime} 40 \prime \mathrm{~W}$ along the east line of sald Lot 1 a distance of 255.00'; thence N $89^{\circ} 54^{\prime \prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ along the lot line of sald Lot 1 a distance of $35.70^{\prime \prime}$ thence $S 00^{\circ} 11^{\prime \prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ along the lot line of sald Lot 1 a dlstance of 10.00'; thence S 8954'43" W a dlstance of 45.70'; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 11^{\prime \prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ a distance of $10.00^{\prime}$; thence S 8954'43" W a distance of 142.00'; thence $N$ 00¹1'40' E a distance of 255.00' to the north IIne of sald Lot 1; thence N 8954'43" E along sald north line a dlstance of 152.00 to the POB, contalning 39,217 sq ft; City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## ActIon Reguested:

Varlance of the required setback from an abutting R District from 751 to 17.51 to permit an addition to an existling buliding - Section 903. BULK AND AREA REQUIRENENTS IN INDUSTRIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 23. located east of 105 th East Avenue and Admiral Place.

## Comments and Questlons:

Ms. Hubbard Informed that it has recently been discovered that the rellef requested has previously been granted on the subject property. She stated that the applicant would not have been advised to seek Board rellef for a setback varlance if this Information had been avallable when he applled for a bullding permit. Ms. Hubbard point out that Board approval of the revised site plan ls required.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Marren G. Morrls, 5109 South Wheellng, Sulte B, Tulsa, Oklahoma, was represented by Marcus MrIght, who submitted photographs (Exhlbit $\mathrm{N}-1$ ) and informed that the owner, Mr. Powers, Is proposing to construct a warehouse behind the existing bullding. A site plan (Exhibit $\mathrm{N}-2$ ) was submitted.

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Bolzle asked if the proposed building wlll extend closer to the street than the existing bullding, and Mr. Wright stated that the two bulidlngs will allgn.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of BOLZLE, the Board voted 4-0-O (Bradley, Bolzle, Fuller, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Chappelle, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance of the required setback from an abutting $R$ District from 751 to 17.5 ' to permit an addition to an existing building - Section 903. BULK AND AREA REQUIREENTS IN INDUSTRIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 23; per plan submltted; finding that the new construction will not extend closer to the street than the existing bullding; and approval of the varlance request wlll not be injurious to the area, or violate the spirit, purposes or intent of the Code; on the following descrlbed property:

The east one-half of Lot 1, Block 1, Bright Industrlal Park Addition to the City and County of Tulsa, Oklahoma.

## ActIon Reguested:

Varlance of the required Ilvabllity space requirement from 7000 sq ft to approximately $6500 \mathrm{sq} f+$ to permlt constructlon of a new residence on a nonconforming lot of record - Sectlon 403. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 6, located at 1615 East 30th Place.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Gary L. Bracken, 6772 South Atlanta Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, was represented by Doug Bracken, who submitted a plot plan (Exhlbit $\mathrm{P}-1$ ) for proposed construction at the above stated location. He informed that a setback varlance was recently approved on the property, but he was unaware of the llvabllity space requlrement at that tlme.

## Comments and Questions:

In response to Mr. Fuller, Mr. Gardner explalned that the lot is smaller than the Code requirement, however, the appllcant is providing a greater percent of open space than would be required for a lot complylng with the Code.

Mr. Wright stated that he is belng asked to meet a Ilvabllity space requirement for a 100 lot frontage, when the frontage is actually 75'.

Mr. Gardner stated that the RS-1 zoning classification on the subject property is a hardshlp, as the lot has never met RS-1 standards.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of BOLZE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Bolzle, Fuller, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Chappelle, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance of the requlred llvablllty space requlrement from 7000 sq ft to approximately 6500 sq ft to permit construction of a new residence on a nonconforming lot of record - Section 403. BULK AND AREA REQUIRENENTS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use UnIt 6; per plot plan submitted; finding a hardship demonstrated by the RS-1 zoning classiflcatlon, and the fact that the lot has never meet those standards; findling that the appllcant ls providing a greater percent of open space than would be requlred for a lot complylng with the Code; on the following described property:

Lot 6, Block 5, Avalon Place Additlon, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Action Reguested:

Varlance of the livablilty space requirement from 4000 sq ft to 2600 sq ft - Section 403. BULK AND AREA REQUIREEENTS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 6.

Varlance of the square footage allowed for detached accessory bulldings within the rear yard from 750 sq ft to 950 sq ft Section 402. ACCESSORY USES IN RESIDENTIAL DISIRICTS - Use Unit 6.

Varlance of the rear yard lot coverage allowed from $20 \%$ to $45.5 \%$, and a varlance of the accessory bullding helght limitation from one story to two story - Section 210. YARDS - Use Unit 6, located 1511 South Newport.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Toma Construction Company, 4653 South 83rd East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, was represented by Tom Lettlch, who requested permission to construct a garage on the back portion of the lot. He submitted a plat of survey (Exhibit R-1) and explalned that the garage wlli conform to the style of the existing dwelling, and wlll be compatible with the other structures in the nelghborhood. Mr. Lettich stated that the old garage is in disrepair and is not sultable for storing an automoblle.

## Coments and Questions:

Ms. White inquired as to the use of the second story of the garage, and Mr. Lettlch replled that the space above the garage wlli be used for storage purposes.

In response to Mr. Jackere, Mr. Lettlch stated that the garage wlll not have plumbing.

Protestants: None.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of FULLER, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradiey, Bolzle, Fulier, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Chappelle, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance of the llvablilty space requirement from 4000 sq ft to 2600 sq ft - Section 403. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use Un!t 6; to APPROVE a Varlance of the square footage allowed for detached accessory bulidings within the rear yard from 750 sq ft to 950 sq ft - Section 402. ACCESSORY USES IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 6; and to APPROVE a Varlance of the rear yard lot coverage allowed from $20 \%$ to $45.5 \%$, and a Varlance of the accessory bullding helght ilmitation from one story to two story - Section 210. YARDS - Use Unit 6; per plat of survey submitted; subject to the second story of the garage belng used for storage purposes only, with no plumbling being Installed; finding that the garage will replace, and be silghtly larger than, an existing garage; and finding that there are numerous two-story garages in the area; on the following described property:

Lots 9 and 10, less the north 3.5' of Lot 10, Block 2, Morningside Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Act Ion Requested:
Varlance of the permitted display surface area per Ilneal foot of street frontage from 32 sq ft to $64 \mathrm{sq} f t$ - Sectlon 602.B.4. ACCESSORY USES PEPMITID IN OFFICE DISTRICTS. Accessory Use Conditlons. SIgns - Use Unlt 11, located at 6705 East 51 st Street.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Oklahoma Sign Company, was represented by Bob Hale, 9743 East 54th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who submitted a sign plan (Exhiblt S-1) for the Capron Veterinary CIInlc. Mr. Hale explalned that Dr . Capron has been at the present locatlon for approximately 17 years, and durlng the past three years has expanded the business to Include a dental clinlc for anlmals. He stated that hls cllent is proposing to Install a new sign to asslst customers in locating the buslness, as many of Mr. Capron's customers are from out of state. Mr. Hale submitted letters of support (Exhlbit S-2) from surrounding property owners.

Dr. Kenneth Capron, 6804 East 60th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is one of the 43 certifled veterinary dentist In the world, and customers from out of town have difficulty locating his dental cllnic. He asked the Board to allow him to add the dental sign to the veterinary sign in front of the bullding.

## Coments and Questlons:

In response to Ms. Hubbard, Mr. Gardner informed that a veterInary cllnic was previously classIfled under Use Unit 11, but has been changed to Use Unit 14.

Mr. Jackere asked if the establishment of the dental cllnlc enlarged the use, and Mr. Capron stated that the entlre operation is conflned to the existling bullding.

Mr. Gardner advised that the applicant is permitted to have one 32 sq ft sign on 67th East Avenue, and one 32 sq ft sign on 51 st Street. He polnted out that the appllcant is requesting permission to place the two permitted signs on one pole on the 51st Street frontage.

## Protestants: None.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of BOLZE, the Board voted 4-0-O (Bradley, Bolzle, Fuller, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Chappelle, "absent") to APRROVE a Varlance of the permitted dlsplay surface area per lineal foot of street frontage from $32 \mathrm{sq} f t$ to 64 sq ft - Section 602.B.4. ACCESSORY USES PERMITIED IN OFFICE DISTRICTS. Accessory Use Conditlons. SIgns - Use Unit 11; per slgn plan submitted; finding that the business is permitted to have 32 sq ft of slgnage on two street frontages, and combinling the signage on one pole will not be detrimental to the area, or violate the spirit, purposes or intent of the Code; on the following described property:

Lot 10, Block 2, FDM Center Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## OTHER BUSINESS

Case No. 15676

## Action Requested:

The applicant, Mark Plckell, 5230 South Marion, Tulsa, Oklatroma, requested by letter (Exhibit T-1) that Case No. 15676 be withdrawn, and fllling fees refunded.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of BOLZLE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Bolzle, Fuller, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Chappelle, "absent") to WITIDRAM Case No. 15676, as requested by the applicant; and to REFUND the pubilic hearing portion of the filing fee in the amount of $\$ 25.00$, as the case had been processed prior to withdrawal.

There beling no further buslness, the meeting was adjourned at 3:00 p.m.


