CITY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
MINUTES of Meeting No. 575
Thursday, November 15, 1990, 1:00 p.m.
City Council Room, Plaza Level
Tulsa Civic Center

| MEMBERS PRESENT | MEMBERS ABSENT | STAFF PRESENT | OTHERS PRESENT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Bolzle | Fuller | Gardner | Jackere, Legal |
| Bradley |  | Jones | Department |
| Chappelle | Moore | Hubbard, Protective |  |
| White, |  |  | Inspectlons |

The notice and agenda of said meeting were posted in the Office of the City Auditor on Wednesday, November 14, 1990, at 9:10 a.m., as well as in the Reception Area of the INCOG offices.

After declaring a quorum present, Chalrman White called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m.

## MINUTES:

On MOTION of BRNDLEY, the Board voted 2-0-2 (Bradiey, White, "aye"; no
"nays": Bolzle, Chappelle, "abstalning"; Fuller, "absent") to APPROVE the Minutes of November 1, 1990.

## UNFINISHED BUSIMESS

Case No. 15573

## ActIon Requested:

Variance of: 1) the minlmum lot width on Lot 2 from 60' to 45': 2) the minlmum lot area on Lot 2 from $6900 \mathrm{sq} f+$ to 6471 sq ft , more or less; 3) the minimum land area per dwelling unit on Lot 2 from 8400 sq ft to 7721 sq ft , more or less; 4) Ilvablllty space from 4000 sq ft to 3500 sq ft - Section 403. BULK AND AREA REQUIRENENTS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use UnIt 6.

Varlance of 208 maximum coverage of the required rear yard and the maxlmum slze of a detached accessory buliding ( 750 sq ft ) on Lot 1 to 53\% coverage and 855 sq ft In size, more or less - Section 402. ACCESSORY USES IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS and Section 210.B.5 PERHITTED YARD OBSTRUCTIONS - Use Unlt 6, located 1608 South Gary Place.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Philip E. Marshall, 5424 South Memorial, Sulte B-2, Tulsa, Oklahoma, informed that thls case was continued from the October 18th meeting to allow sufficlent time for preparation of a slte plan. Mr. Marshall submitted a plan (Exhlbit A-1) for the proposed dwelling, and noted that the design is typlcal of the nelghborhood, and wlll be an asset to the area. He explalned that

Case No. 15573 (contInued)
the lot In questlon ls the same slze as other lots In the area except for a small portlon to the rear whlch wlll be added to the adjolning lot in order to retaln an existling garage.

## Corments and Quest Ions:

In response to Mr. Bolzle, Mr. Gardner explalned that the lot is actually 501 wlde h however, the removal of the portion of land contalning the garage will reduce the "average" width of the lot to $45^{\prime}$ 。

## Protestants:

Betty TrInka, 5712 East 98th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, represented her mother, Ms. R. W. Brown, who llves across the street from the subject property. She stated that she has reviewed the plans and can support the application If the house is constructed In alignment with the exlsting dwellings, and sufficient off-street parking is provided.

Mr. Jackere pointed out that the exlstlng dwellings may have been bullt closer to the street than the current Code permits, and If it Is the intent of the Board that any new construction allgn with the existing houses, that should be made a condltion of approval.

## Additional Comments:

In response to Mr. Bolzle's inquiry as to the setback for the proposed dwelling, the appllcant replled that he will allgn the new structure with the existing houses, and wlll construct the house as deplcted on the plot plan.

## Board Act Ion:

On MOTION of BOLZZE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Bolzle, Chappelle, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Fuller, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance of: is the minlmum lot width on Lot 2 from 601 to 45 '; 2) the minlmum lot area on Lot 2 from 6900 sq ft to 6471 sq ft , more or less; 3) the minimum land area per dwelling unlt on Lot 2 from $8400 \mathrm{sq} f+$ to 7721 sq ft , more or less; 4) Ilvablllty space from 4000 sq ft to 3500 sq ft - Section 403. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN RESIDENTINL DISTRICTS - Use UnIt 6; and to APPROVE a Varlance of $20 \%$ maxlmum coverage of the requlred rear yard and the maxlmum slze of a detached accessory bullding ( 750 sq ft ) on Lot 1 to 538 coverage and 855 sq ft In slze, more or less - Section 402. ACCESSORY USES IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS and Sectlon 210.8.5 PERMITTED YARD OBSTRUCTIONS - Use Unlt 6; per plot plan submitted; subject to the proposed structure belng allgned with the exlstling resldences; flinding that the nonconforming lot and new structure will be comparable in size and design to the exlsting homes in the neighborhood; and the grantling of the varlance requests wlll not be detrlmental to the area; on the followlng descrlbed property:

Lots 1 and 2, Block 7, Exposition Helghts AddItion, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## MIMOR VARIANCES AND DXCEPTIONS

## Case No. 15585

## Actlon Requested:

Minor Varlance of the front yard requlrement as measured from the centerline of East 55th Place from 50' to 45', and a Minor Variance of the side yard requirement as measured from the centerline of Zunls Avenue from $40^{\prime}$ to $35^{\prime}$ - Sectlon 403. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 6, located 2146 East 55th Place.

## Presentatlon:

The appllcant, Stephen Olsen, 324 East 3rd Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a slte plan (Exhlbit B-1), and stated that he is the architect for the owner of the property. He explalned that the exlsting house was constructed over the setback, and the owner is proposing to enclose an open porch, whlch wlll not extend further toward the street than the existing bullding wall.

Protestants: None.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of CHPPELLE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Bolzle, Chappelle, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Fuller, "absent") to APPROVE a Minor Varlance of the front yard requlrement as measured from the centerline of East 55th Place from 50' to 45', and a Minor Varlance of the side yard requlrement as measured from the centerline of Zunls Avenue from 40 ' to 35' - Sectlon 403. BUK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unlt 6; per plot plan submitted; finding that the porch enclosure will not extend closer to the street than the existing walls of the house; on the followlng described property:

Lot 8, Block 1, Rondo Valley Thlrd AddItion, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## NEW APPLICATIONS

## Case No. 15575

## Act Ion Reguested:

Speclal Exception to permit Chrlstmas tree sales on a seasonal basls
each year for an unllmited number of years - Sectlon 701. PRINCIPNL USES PERMITTED IN COMFERCIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 2, located north of NW/C 51st Street and SherIdan Avenue.

## Presentation:

The applicant, JIm Schaeffer, 36252 South Kropf Road, Woodburn, Oregon, was as represented by Greg Darr, 823 East 7th Street, Stlllwater, Oklahoma, who submltted a locatlon map (Exhlblt C-1) for a proposed Christmas tree sales lot. He explalned that a slmllar

## Case No. 15575 (continued)

sales business was operated last Chrlstmas season at the corner of 27th and Memorlal, which proved to be very successful. Mr. Darr asked the Board to approved the sale of Christmas trees at the above stated location. He informed that the property wlll be insured, and the days and hours of operation wlll be 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m.. November 23 to December 25.

## Comments and Questlons:

Mr. Jackere advised that Christmas tree sales is Iisted in the Code as one of the activities that is limited to 30 days, by special exception, for each application. He stated that this indicates to him that the legislators wanted the Board to review each Individual activity prior to beginning operation.

Mr. Gardner stated that the sale of Chrlstmas trees is a temporary seasonal use, and suggested that the Board might limit a new sales operation to one year only, with a more lengthy permit for succeeding years if the lot proves to be compatible with the area.

Mr. Jackere pointed out that, atthough an approval might be approprlate in this particular situation, the Board may hear simllar applications in residentlal or offlce districts, which may not be an approprlate use for the area.

Mr. Bolzle asked Mr. Darr if this is the first year for a tree sales business at this location, and he answered in the affirmative.

Ms. White stated that she has some concern with approving a flrst-time applicatlon for more than one year, as It ls difflcult to determine how the additional traffic wlll affect the surrounding area.

Mr. Bolzle and Mr. Chappelle concurred that the approval of the application for two years would not be detrimental to the area, as Sheridan Road carries four lanes of traffic at this location.

## Interested Parties:

RIchard Pollshuk, 3309 East 66th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is one of the owners of the subject property, and requested that the Board approve the appllcation for more than one year.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of CHAPPELLE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradiey, Bolzie, Chappelle, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Fuller, "absent") to NPPROVE a Speclal Exception to permit Chrlstmas tree sales on a seasonal basis each year for a perlod of two years only Section 701. PRINCIPNL USES PERMITIED IN CONGERCINL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 2; per plan submitted; subject to hours of operation belng, 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m., November 23 to December 25, 1990 and 1991; finding that the temporary use will not be detrimental to the area; on the following descrlbed property:

Case No. 15575 (continued)
Beginning at a polnt on the east boundary llne 325 north of the southeas't corner of Sherldan Plaza Center Addition; thence north 100'; thence west 30'; thence south 100'; thence east $30^{\prime}$ to the POB, contalning 3,000 sq. ft. more or less, all out of Lot 1, Block 1, Sherldan Plaza Center Addition to the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15582

## Action Requested:

Appeal of the City of Tulsa zonlng officer's determination that an appllcation for 24 -hour care for five sentor citizens, including meals and housekeeping, Is a permitted Use Unit 6 in an RS-2 (PUD 129) zoned district - Section 1605. AFFENLS FROM AN
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICIAL - Use Unit 6.

## Comments and Questlons:

Ms. Bradley noted that the applicant. Ms. Deborah Fleak, has notifled the Bullding Inspector by letter (Exhibit X-1) that her permit for a 24-hour care facillty for flve senlor citlzens has been withdrawn.

## Interested Parties:

Michael Keester, 3800 First National Tower, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he appealed the determination of the zoning officer in this case and is present to make certain the request for a permit is offlclally withdrawn.

Mr. Jackere advised that the applicant has withdrawn her appllcation and does not wish to use the property for a 24 -hour care facllity, therefore, the appeal is moot. He further advised that Board action is not necessary.

The Chalr declared the ltem strlcken (Case No. 15582) and the appeal moot, as the request for the permlt has been withdrawn.

Case No. 15583

## Action Reguested:

Speclal Exception to permit Usei Unlt 17 uses (automoblle repalr, no body work) - Section 701. PRINCIPAL USES PERMITTED IN COMERRCINL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 17, located 1323-G East 53rd Place South.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Gary Glenn, 1323 East 53rd Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, was represented by Gene Ralnes, 4828 South Peorla, Sulte 209, Tulsa, Oklahoma, contractor for the remodeling project. He submitted photographs (Exhlbit $D-1$ ) and explained that the bullding was constructed approximately three and one-half years ago, and the business began operation without approval of a special exception. He polnted out that an automoblle repalr shop has been located on the north end of the bullding for approximately 30 years.

## Case No. 15583 (cont|nued)

## Conments and Questlons:

Ms. Bradley asked the location of the business in question, and Mr. Ralnes stated that the repalr operation is located in the south portlon of the buliding.

In response to Ms. Bradley's question concernling body work and doors on the east side of the bullding, Mr. Ralnes stated that the business in questlon does not do body work and does not have doors on the east slde of the bullding.

After discussion concernlng the possible need for screenling the east boundary of the business (walved by a prevlous action), it was the consensus of the Board that screenling would not be necessary if the east slde of the bullding remalns a solld wall.

Protestants: None.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradiey, Bolzle, Chappelle, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Fuller, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception to permit Use Unlt 17 uses (automoblle repalr, no body work) - Sectlon 701. PRINCIPAL USES PERMITTED IN COM RCIAL DISTRICTS - Use UnIt 17; subject to al! work belng completed Inslde the bullding, with no outside storage of materlals; subject to no body work; and subject to the east slde of thls portlon of the bullding remalning a solld wall; finding that there are simllar uses in the Immedlate vicinlty, and the granting of the speclal exceptlon request, with conditions, wlll not be detrlmental to the area; on the followling descrlbed property:

The east 130' of Lots 5, 6 and 7, Cantrell AddItion, CIty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15588
Action Reguested:
Varlance of the maxlmum square footage permitted for detached accessory bulldings from 750 sq ft to 1600 sq ft to permlt construction of a new bullding - Section 210. YARDS - Use Unlt 6, located 7404 East 98th Street.

## Presentatlon:

The applicant, Dennls Fritts, 2301 West Quantlco Street, Broken Arrow, Okiahoma, submltted a sketch and photographs (Exhlbit E-1), and explalned that he ls proposing to purchase the subject property If he ls permitted to store hls business machlnery on the premlses. Mr. Fritts polnted out that the tract is surrounded on three sides by a detention facillty, with 98th Street abuttling the north boundary Ilne. The applicant stated that he is proposing to construct a storage facllity large enough to secure his equipment.

Case No. 15584 (continued)
Conments and Questions:
Ms. White inquired as to the type of equipment that wlll be stored on the property, and the applicant replled that he wlll store a "bobcat" and a backhoe in the accessory bullding.

In response to Ms. Bradiey, Mr. Fritts stated that the new storage facllity wlll be connected to the exlsting $20^{\prime}$ by $24^{\prime}$ bullding. He stated that the portable storage bullding can be removed if that is made a condition of approval.

Ms. White asked the applicant how much storage space is required to house his equipment, and he stated that the construction of a 20' by 24' addition to the exlsting bullding (total $960 \mathrm{sq} f t$ ) would provide sufficient storage space for his business equipment.

Mr. Jackere asked if a "bobcat" and backhoe would be the only business equlpment stored on the subject property, and Mr. Fritts answered in the afflrmative.

Mr. Jackere advised that residentlal districts are for residential uses. He stated that, although some residents of such districts might drive home plckup trucks used in thelr businesses, "bobcats" and backhoes are not typically found in residential areas. He suggested that this use of the property is not in accordance with the Code.

Ms. Bradley stated that the appllcant has not presented a hardship for the varlance request.

## Protestants:

Phil Frazier, 1424 Terrace Drive, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted photographs (Exhlbit E-2) and stated that he is the attorney for the homeowners in the immediate area. He polnted out that the applicant has not presented a hardship for the varlance request. Mr. Frazler stated that the large equipment will require a truck for hauling, and the transporting of this equipment in and out of the area will be detrimental to the residential nelghborhood. He informed that the surrounding homes are on large lots, and the business activity will not be appropriate at this location.

Protestants In attendance who did not choose to speak were as follows:

Marlan Mest, 7463 East 98th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma. Vickl and Don Rose, 7425 East 98th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma. Jesse Cllfton, 9802 South 74th East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma. Darrel Mullins, 8944 East 113th Street, Blxby, Oklahoma.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of CHAPELLE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradiey, Bolzle, Chappelle, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Fuller, "absent") to DENY a Varlance of the maxImum square footage permitted for detached accessory bulldings from 750 sq ft to 1600 sq ft to permit construction of a new bullding - Section 210. YARDS - Use Unit 6; flnding that the appllcant falled to demonstrate a hardshlp that would warrant the granting of the variance request; and finding that the transporting and storage of large machlnery in the residentlal area would be an Inapproprlate use and would be detrimental to the nelghborhood; on the following described property:

Beglnnlng at the northeast corner of the NW/4 SE/4 NW/4 SE/4; thence west $181.27^{\prime}$ southwesterly on a curve to a polnt; thence southeasterly 445.77' to a polnt; thence northeasterly 368.8' north to the POB, Section 23, T-18-N, R-13-E, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15586
Action Requested:
Special exception to permit off-street parkIng In an RM-2 zoned district - Section 401. PRINCIPNL USES PERNITTED IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICIS. Use Unit 10, located NE/c of East 11th Street South and South Hudson Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Charles Norman, 2900 Mid-Contlnent Tower, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted photographs (Exhlblt F-2) and a plot plan (Exhlblt F-1), and stated that he ls representling Oklahoma Fixture Company. He explalned that the buslness, which was establlshed in 1928 and presently employs approximately 700 people, is located In a CH zoned district and was developed prior to the adoption of the off-street parkling requirement for CH zoned property. Mr. Norman stated that the company is proposing to construct a 200' by 500' faclllty, along with requlred parking, which wlll be located in a CH zoned area. He polnted out that the company also owns RM-2 property to the north, whlch wlll provide an addltlonal 350 parking spaces, wlth Board approval. It was noted by the appllcant that thls additional parking area wlll allevlate any need for parklng in the neighborhood. Mr. Norman stated that the north boundary and the northeast and northwest corners, whlch abut single-famlly dwellings, wllt be screened with trees, shrubbery and a 6 l solld screening fence. He further noted that the dwelllngs to the north have deep rear yards, with the nearest residence being 110 from the north property llne. Mr. Norman Informed that the lighting flxtures In the parklng area wlll be restrlcted to 16', or $8^{\prime}$ lower than two existing private security lights on the north boundary. In regard to Ingress and egress, Mr. Norman stated that the only exit from the exlsting $C H$ parking lot is on Hudson; however, the new plant and parking area wlll be accessed from 11th Street, with only one exlt

Case No. 15586 (continued)
on Hudson, whlch wlll direct all trafflc to turn south and proceed to 11 th Street. He polnted out that thls will prevent motorlsts from turning right into the residentlal nelghborhood, which has created a disturbance In the past. He submitted a copy of a letter (Exhltit $\mathrm{F}-3$ ) explainling the appllcation, which was malled to the nelghbors of Oklahoma Flxtures. A letter of support (Exhlbit F-4) from the MId-Tulsa Nelghborhood Assoclation was submitted.

## Comments and Questions:

Ms. Bradley asked the applicant If the proposed RM-2 parkIng is located to the south of 9th Street, and Mr. Norman stated that the parking will be located north of the centerline of 9th Street, along with a required detention facility.

## Interested Partles:

Gary Howell, 565 South Hudson. Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is an area resident and is supportive of the appllcation.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of CHAPRELE, the Board voted 4-0-0 <Bradley, Bolzle, Chappelle, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Fuller, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception to permit off-street parking in an RM-2 zoned district - Sectlon 401. PRINCIPNL USES PERMITIED IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS. Use Unit 10; per landscape and plot plan submitted; finding that the approval of the special exception request will not be detrimental to the nelghborhood, or violate the splrit and Intent of the Code; on the following descrlbed property:

All of Blocks 66 and 67 In Glemhaven Addition to the Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma according to the recorded subdivision thereof, and the vacated and closed R/W for East 9th Street adjacent thereto, and part of Lot 3 and all of Lots 4 through 8 In Block 4 of Glenhaven Resubdivision to the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, accordlng to the recorded Plat thereof, and part of Lot 2 in Block 53 of Glenhaven Addition Amended to the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma according to the recorded plat thereof.

## Cose No. 15587

## Action Reguested:

Varlance of the one story bullding height regulation to two-story construction to permit the erection of a partlal 2nd floor within the confines of an existing building - Section 603. BUKK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN THE OFFICE DISTRICTS - Use Unit 11, located 5546 South 79th East Place.

## Case No. 15587 (continued)

## Presentation:

The applicant, Gary Spriggs, 400-B South Elm Place, Broken Arrow, Oklahoma informed that he is construction contractor for the Oklahoma Members First Credit Unlon. He submltted a photograph and plot plan (Exhlbit G-1) and requested permlssion to convert a 171 high foyer Into offices and a break room for the employees. He explalned that the break room wlll be located in the partial second floor, with the space on the lower floor belng converted to offlce use. Mr. Sprlggs stated that the second floor construction will not alter the outside of the bullding in any way.

## Caments and Questions:

Ms. White asked if addItional tenants wlll move to the bullding, and the applicant stated that there will be no new tenants, as the space wlll be utllized by the credit union.

Ms. Bradley inquired as to the amount of space converted to a break room, and the applicant stated that the upper room will be approximately 121 by 201.

## Protestants:

Klorine Splker, 5543 South 78th East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a petition of opposition (Exhlbit G-2) and stated that she Ilves to the rear of the property in questlon. She volced a concern with the installation of a partlal second floor, adequate parking for the buslness, Increased trafflc and the impact further construction could have on the water run-off in the area.

## Interested Partles:

Jerry Stewart, 5630 South Memorlal, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he owns a bullding in the offlce addItlon, and was under the Impression that the exlsting structure would be converted to a two-story offlce bullding. He stated that, although he ls opposed to a two-story offlce structure at this location, he is supportlve of the applicatlon as presented. Mr. Stewart added that he ls unaware of the existence of a water run-off probiem In the area.

## AddItlonal Comerents:

Ms. Bradley asked Mr. Sprlggs if the number of employees wlll be Increased, and he replied that he is not aware of an Increase in the number of employees. He added that the new space is requlred for computers.

Mr. Gardner stated that the Bullding inspector has revlewed the plans and has determlned that the only rellef needed is a varlance of the height regulation. He pointed out that the floorlng of the upper portion of the foyer creates a partlal second story, which technlcally requlres Board action, even though the roofllne will not change.

Case No. 15587 (continued)
Ms. Bradley asked Ms. Hubbard If the bullding wlll have adequate parking after the foyer is renovated, and she answered in the afflrmatlve.

In response to Mr. Bolzle's question concerning a hardship. Mr. Gardner stated that the fact that there is no provision in the Code for a partial story or loft In an OL Distrlct, even though the roofline will not change, is what makes this sltuatlon unusual.

Mr. Bolzle stated that, although he ls sensitlve to the concerns of the nelghborhood, it appears that any water run-off problem would not be worsened by the construction, as the exterlor of the bullding would not be altered. He stated that the privacy lssue can be controlled by requiring that the wall to the break room be solld, with no windows or doors.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of BOLZE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Bolzle, Chappelle, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Fuller, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance of the one story bullding height regulation to two-story construction to permit the erection of a partlal 2nd floor within the confines of an existing bullding Sectlon 603. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN THE OFFICE OISTRICTS Use Unlt 11; per plot plan and construction plans submltted; subject to no Interlor changes In the celling or lighting in the loft area; subject to no exterlor changes in the roof line be made to accommodate the second floor, or otherwlse add any cublc content to the new second floor; subject to no exterlor windows in the new second floor area; finding that the bullding construction will not add a second story to the exlsting bullding, but the upper portion of a vaulted cellling will be converted into a 121 by $20^{\prime}$ break room; findling a hardshlp demonstrated by the fact that there is not a provision In the Code to utilize finished bullding space by adding a partlal floor or loft in an OL Dlstrlct, which is what is unlque about the request; on the following described property:*

Lot 5. Block 1, Memorlal Drive Office Park, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.
*Motion clarifled at the December 6, 1990 Board of Adjustment meeting.

## Case No. 15588

## Act Ion Requested:

Special Exception to expand a single-famlly dwelling in a CS DIstrict - Section 701. PRINCIPNL USES PERNITEED IN CONERCINL DISIRICTS - Use Unit 6.

Varlance of the bullding setback requirement, as measured from the centerllne of Sheridan from 1001 to 751 to permit construction of an addition to the existing residence - Section 703. BULK AND NREA REQUIREMENTS IN THE COMERCINL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 6, located 6503 East PIne Place.

Case No. 15588 (cont inued)
Presentation:
The appllcant, Gerald Bruner, 1303 East Bolse Place, Broken Arrow, Oklahoma, stated that he is proposing to construct a dinling room on the back portion of an existing dwelling. He informed that the house is encroaching into the required setback, and the proposed construction will not extend as close to the street as the existing garage.

Protestants: None.
Board Action:
On MOTION of BOLZE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Bolzle, Chappelle, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Fuller, "absent") to NPRROVE a Special Exceptlon to expand a slngle-family dwelling In a CS DIstrict - Section 701. PRINCIPAL USES PERMITIED IN COMERCIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 6; per plot plan submitted; finding a hardshlp demonstrated by the corner lot location, and setback requirements that would not permit construction on the lot whthout Board rellef, even though the new construction is further from Sheridan Road than the existing house; on the following descrlbed property:

Lot 1, Block 1, Spartan Court Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15593
Action Requested:
Varlance of the minimum required setback as measured from the centerline of East 30th Street from 50' to 30' - Section 703. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN THE COMERCIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unit 11.

Special Exception to walve the screening requirement along the west property ilne abutting an R zoned district - Section 1211.C. OFFICES AND STUDIOS, USE CONDITIONS - Use Unlt 11, located south and west of East 30th Street South and South SherIdan Road.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Charles Norson, 2900 Mid-ContInent Tower. Tulsa, Oklahoma, who represented the Unlversity of Oklahoma Medical College, submitted a site plan (Exhibit J-i) for the proposed project. He informed that elevators are to be installed in the 25 -year old bullding, and the mechanical room ( 8 ' by $19^{\prime \prime}$ ) is to be located within the existing retalning wall at the northwest corner of the building. Mr. Normen stated that the room will extend approximately $2^{\prime}$ above the existing retaining wall. Elevations (Exhlbit J-2) and photographs (Exh ib it J-3) were submitted. The applicant stated that a screening fence is required between the existlng buliding and the apartment project to the west; however. the apartment complex has Installed a screening fence along that boundary to screen thelr pool orea. He pointed out that a 61 screening wall is in place to the south, adjacent to the parking area, but at a lower elevation than the apartments. He asked that the fences be allowed to remaln In their present condition.

Case No. 15593 (continued)
Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of CMPPELLE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradey, Bolzle, Chappelle, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Fuller, "absent") to APPRROVE a Varlance of the mInImum required setback as measured from the centerllne of East 30th Street from 50' to 301 Section 703. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN THE CONERCIAL DISTRICTS - Use Unlt 11; and to APPROVE a Speclal Exception to walve the screening requirement along the west property line abutting an $R$ zoned district - Section 1211.C. - OFFICES AND STUDIOS, USE CONDITIONS - Use Unlt 11; per plot plan submltted; subject to the exlsting fence on the west boundary line being malntalned by the college; finding a hardshlp Imposed on the applicant by the fact that the existing building was constructed prlor to the adoption of the current setback requlrements in the Zoning Code; on the following described property:

A tract of land situated In the SE/4 of the SE/4 of Sectlon 15. T-19-N, R-13-E, Tulsa County, Oklahoma belng more partlcularly described as follows, to-wit: BEGINNING at a polnt 540' north and 200' west of the southeast corner of Sald Sectlon 15; thence northerly and parallel to the east IIne of sald Section 15 a distance of 102.96'; thence east and parallel to the south IIne of sald Section 15 a distance of 25'; thence northerly and parallel to the east IIne of sald Sectlon 15 a distance of 1501 to a point in the south Right-of-way Ilne of East 30th Street South; thence west and along the south RIght-of-way Ilne of East 30th Street South a distance of 86.431; thence along a curve to the left with a radius of 1701 a distance of 70.61 '; thence south and parallel to the east Ilne of sald Sectlon 15 a distance of 238.46'; thence east and parallel to the south IIne of said Section 15 a distance of 130' to the POB; City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

## Dlscussion and Adoption of Board of AdJustment MoetIng Dates for 1991

Following discussion, it was the consensus of the Board that the 1991 meeting dates should be changed to the second and fourth Tuesday of each month to avold a conflict with the Clty Councll meetlings that are held on Thursdays and begin at 4:30 In the afternoon.

There belng no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 2:30 p.m.


