# CITY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT <br> MINUTES of Meeting No. 541 <br> Thursday, June 15, 1989, 1:00 p.m. <br> Francls F. Campbell Commission Room <br> Plaza Level of City Hall, Tulsa Civic Center 

| MEMBRS PRESENT | MEBERS ABSENT | STAFF PRESENT | OTHERS PRESENT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The notice and agenda of sald meetling were posted In the Offlce of the Clty Auditor on Tuesday, June 13, 1989, at 12:05 p.m., as well as In the Reception Area of the INCOG offlces.

After declarlng a quorum present, Chalrman Chappelle called the meetlng to order at l:02 p.m.

## MINUTES:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 3-0-2 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, "aye"; no "nays"; Quarles, White, "abstalnlng"; none "absent") to APPROVE the MInutes of June 1, 1989.

## UNF INISHED BUSINESS

Case No. 15147
Action Requested:
Speclal Exception - Section 610 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Offlce Dlstricts - Use Unit 1205 - (1208 alternatively) - Request a speclal exceptlon to allow for a facllity which provldes houslng for famlles of patlents which require extended hospltallzation, SW/c of 61 st Street and South Hudson Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Roy Johnsen, 324 Maln Mall, Tulsa, Oklahoma, requested that Case No. 15147 be contlnued untll July 6, 1989, to allow sufflclent time to flnallze site plans for the project.

Protestants: None.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Chappelle, Bradley, Quarles, Smlth, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Whlte "absent") to CONTNUE Case No. 15147 to July 6 th, as requested by the appllcant.

Actlon Requested:
Speclal Exception - Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1208 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a nursing home in an RS-2 zoned district, located south of SW/c of 101st Street and South Yale Avenue.

## Coments and Questions:

The appllcant, Charles Morman, 2900 MId-Contlnent Tower, Tulsa, Oklahoma, requested by letter (Exhlblt A-1) that Case No. 15113 be wlthdrawn.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Chappelle, Bradley, Quarles, Smlth, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Whlte, "absent") to WITMDRAN Case No. 15113, as requested by the applicant.

Case No. 15162
Actlon Requested:
Varlance - Section 1221.4(820.2c) - Use Conditions for BusIness Signs - Use Unlt 1221 - Request a varlance to allow for more than one sign per street frontage and a varlance of the allowed display surface area from 175 sq ft to 210 sq ft.

Presentatlon:
The appllcant, Terry Howard, was not present.
Coments and Questions:
Mr. Gardner stated that the appllcatlon was previously contlnued by this Board to allow the TMAPC to hear the case. He Informed that the appllcation has been denled by that Commission.

Board Action:
On MOTION of QUARLES, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, Smlth, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; none "absent") to DENY Case No. 15162.

## Case No. 15192

## ActIon Requested:

Special Exception - Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1205 - Request a speclal exception/amended plot plan to allow for an addition to the existing Moose Lodge, located 11106 East 7th Street.

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Chappelle explalned that It was determined at the previous Board meeting that the changes in the site plan were signiflcant enough to warrant readvertising of the appllcation.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Terry Walls, 11106 East 7th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a parking layout and slte plan (Exhlbit B-1), and stated that the Moose Lodge is proposing to construct an additional 4800 sq ft of floor space to an exlsting $16,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ bullding. He Informed that the lodge presently has 84 parklng spaces and approximately 20 spaces wlll be added, wlth an additional drlveway.

## Conments and Questions:

Ms. White stated that she has noticed that the organlzation has a parking problem when meetings are held at this locatlon, and the appllcant replled that they have experlenced a parking problem and some vehlcles have been parking on the grassy area.

Mr. Smith indicated out that there are two signs on the property, one of which is portable with flashing perlmeter lighting, and asked If elther of the signs is on clty right-of-way. Mr. Walls stated that the portable sign will be moved if it is on the right-of-way, and noted that no additlonal signs will be Installed. Mr. Smith polnted out that the flashing portion of the sign is prohlbited by the Code.

Mr. Gardner substantlated Mr. Smith's statement concerning the flashing sign, and polnted out that only 32 sq ft of slgnage is permitted per street frontage.

Mr. Smith polnted out that there is a great deal of traffic congestion as visitors to the lodge enter the driveway, and the appllcant replled that the addltlonal drlveway wlll allevlate some of the congestion. Mr. Smlth also noted that the rock work was not completed on the bullding as was Indicated on the prevlous plot plan submltted to the Board.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of QUARLES, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, Smlth, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; none "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception/amend plot plan (Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts Use Unit 1205) to allow for an addition to the existling Moose Lodge; per plot plan submitted; subject to compllance wlth all Sign Code requirements; subject to the bullding belng rocked halfway up on the north and entry side of the bullding (requirement of board action Case No. 10561); and subject to Traffic Englneer approval; on the followlng described property:

Lots 1 and 2, East Eleventh Park Subdivision, An Addition to the Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof; less the east 15' of Lot 2, and less the east 162 ' of Lot 1, Block 2, East Eleventh Park Subdivision. Also known as 11106 East 7th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma.

## MINOR YARIANCES AND EXCEPTIONS

Case No. 15170
Action Requested:
Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements in Residentlal Dlstrlcts - Use Unlt 12306 - Request a varlance of setback from 161st East Place from 251 to 22.41 to allow for an existing dwellling, located 16109 East 4th Street.

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Jones informed that the property in question has sold several times and the setback issue has contlnued to be a problem. He polnted out that the appllcant has requested the varlance to clear title to the property.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Mary Merrill, was represented by Susanne Sherwood, 6012 East 56th Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who submitted a plat of survey (Exhlblt C-1), and requested a varlance of the setback for an exlsting structure to clear the title to the property.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; none "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Distrlcts - Use Unit 1206) of setback from 161st East Place from 251 to 22.41 to allow for an exlstlng dwelling; per plat of survey submitted; flinding that the house has been at the present locatlon for many years; and the action is requested to clear the title; on the followlng described property:

Lot 12, Block 22, Rose Dew Ill Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 15176

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Distrlcts - Use Unit 1206 - Request a varlance of the required front yard setback from 301 to 24', and a varlance of the required rear yard setback from 251 to $20^{\prime}$ to allow for a new dwelling, located 1780 East 30th Street.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Jack Arnold, 7318 South Yale, Tulsa, Oklahoma, was represented by Jlm Stanton, who submitted a plot plan (Exhlbit D-1), and explalned that the corner lot location of the property in question allows the owner to make the determination as to the front and side yard. He polnted out that the house wlll face toward the

Case No. 15176 (continued)
Intersection and the garage wlll be located to the rear of the property. It was noted that the conflguration of the lot makes it difflcult to construct a dwelling without the setback rellef. A plat of survey (Exhlbit D-3) and an archltectural rendering (Exhlbit D-2) were submitted.

## Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of MHITE, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; none "absent") to APPRROVE a Varlance (Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Districts - Use Unlt 1206) of the required front yard setback from 30' to 24', and a varlance of the required rear yard setback from 251 to 201 to allow for a new dwelling; per plot plan submitted; finding a hardship Imposed on the appllcant by the corner lot location and the Irregular shape of the lot; on the followling descrlbed property:

Lot 1, Block 17, Forest HIlls, an addition to the Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof, less and except a part thereof described as beginning at a point on the southeasterly Ilne thereof $90.10^{\prime}$ southwesterly of the $\mathrm{NE} / \mathrm{c}$ thereof; thence southwesterly for 84.52' to the SE/c thereof; thence northwesterly along the southwesterly IIne thereof for 135.38' to the southwest corner thereof; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left having a radlus of 301.791 for 83.961 ; thence southeasterly and parallel with the southwesterly IIne of sald Lot 1, for 130.121 to the Polnt of Beginning, and known as 1780 East 30th Street, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## NEM APPLICATIONS

Case No. 15165

## ActIon Requested:

Special Exception - Section 910 - Permitted Uses In Industrial Distrlcts - Use Unit 1209 - Request a speclal exception to allow for an existling moblle home park to be located in an IM zoned district, located NW/c 25th West Avenue and AdmIral Boulevard.

## Couments and Questions:

Mr. Smlth informed that he will abstaln from voting on this case to avold a conflict of interest.

Mr. Jones explalned that the property in question was used as a moblle home park several years ago, whlch has deterlorated over a perlod of time, with only scattered moblle unlts remalning. He polnted out that the bank has repossessed the property, which has been zoned IM, and is proposing to revitallze the nonconforming use.

## Case No. 15165 (cont|nued)

Presentation:
The appllcant, George Twllley, PO Box 35651, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he Is representing Unlon Natlonal Bank, Chandler, Oklahoma. He stated that 14 moblle home units are located on the property at this time, with some of the unlts belng located over the required setback Ilnes. Mr. Twllley polnted out that the bank has a buyer for the park, and If the transaction is flnallzed, the reorganlzed park wlll have a total of 28 moblle home pads and all existing accessory bulldings will be refurblshed or removed. A plot plan (Exhlbit E-1) was submitted by the applicant.

Protestants: None.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 4-0-1 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, White, "aye"; no "nays"; Smlth, "abstalning"; none "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 910 - Permitted Uses In Industrlal Districts - Use Unit 1209) to allow for an existling moblle home park to be located In an IM zoned distrlct; per plot plan submitted; and subject to Health Department approval; finding that the property has been conslstently used for moblle home purposes for many years, and ls found to be a nonconforming use; on the followling described property:

Part of the SE/4, NW/4, beginning 251 north and 2301 west of SE/c, SE/4, NW/4, thence west to polnt 251 north of the SW/c, SE/4, NW/4, thence north to the south IIne of MK\&T Rallroad rlght-of-way llne, thence east along rlght-of-way to polnt 2301 west of the east llne of the SE/4, NW/4, thence south 172.191 to the Polnt of Beginning, Section 3, T-19-N, R-12-E, Tulsa county, Oklahoma, contalning 3.65 acres; and the east $1 / 2$ of Lots 6, 7, 8 and 9, Tower Vlew Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 15166

Action Requested:
Special Exception - Section 420 - Accessory Uses Permitted In ResIdentlal Districts - Use Unlt 1206 - Request a speclal exceptlon to allow a home occupation for a kennel (6 dogs), located 12454 East 13th Street.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Charlene Hall, 12454 East 13th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who submitted photographs (Exhlbit F-3) and letters of support (Exhlbit $\mathrm{F}-1$ ), stated that she breeds, ralses, tralns and shows dogs. Ms. Hall explalned that she occaslonally keeps her mother's dog when she is on vacatlon and has three dogs of her own. She asked that a maximum of slx dogs be allowed on her lot, as some of the anlmals are left there a short tlme for breeding purposes.

Case No. 15166 (continued)
Comments and Questions:
Ms. Bradley Inquired as to the type of fencing surrounding the lot, and the appllcant replled that a 61 chaln link fence encloses the yard, with 181 by 241 runs.

In response to Ms. White's Inquiry as to the number of dog runs, Ms. Hall stated that there are three runs.

In response to Mr. Smlth, the appllcant stated that she tralns, ralses and breeds rottwellers, and they have never been outside the fenced yard.

## Protestants:

Patrick O'Connor, represented BIII and Rose Mitchell, homeowners In the area. He stated that his cllents strenuously object to the application, as a kennel in the residential nelghborhood is not in harmony with the spirit and Intent of the Code. He polnted out that Ms. Halls home is in the middle of the block and the large dogs are a nulsance and are injurlous to the nelghborhood. It was noted that the property in question is unsightly, with weeds and large mounds of dirt in the yard.

Debble Harding, represented the owners of Group S Partnership and Group P Partnershlp, and stated that it would be difflcult to control the number of dogs if slx dogs are allowed on the property.

Mr. Chappelle Informed that a letter from a property owner to the rear of Ms. Hall's lot stated that one of the large dogs has attacked her dog on one occasion.

Several letters of opposition (Exhlblt F-2) were submitted to the Board.

## Applicant's Rebuttal:

Ms. Hall stated that her dogs have never attacked another dog. She pointed out that it is not her intent to operate a kennel at this location, but merely wants to contlnue to breed and traln dogs, as she has been dolng for approximately seven years.

Mr. Quarles asked Ms. Hall If she resides at thls location, and she answered In the affirmative.

Ms. White asked the appllcant if she initlated the application, or If she was clted by Code Enforcement, and she replled that someone complalned that there were more than three dogs in her yard.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of QUARLES, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, Smlth, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; none "absent") to DENY a Speclal Exception (Section 420 - Accessory Uses Permitted In Residential Districts - Use Unit 1206) to allow a home occupation for a kennel (6 dogs); finding that the home occupation is not compatible with the surrounding nelghborhood; and the granting of the special exception request would violate the spirit and Intent of the Code; on the followling descrlbed property:

Case No. 15166 (contlnued)
Lot 10, Block 6, East Central Helghts Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15167
Action Requested:
Varlance - Section 430 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residential Districts - Use Unit 1206 - Request a varlance of setback from the front property llne from 251 to 141 to allow for a carport, located 5219 North Norfolk Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Jean Sallee, 5219 North Norfolk, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that she is representing Charles Haynes, and explalned that she applled for a bullding permit and found that the carport wlll encroach Into the bullding setback. Photographs (Exhlbit G-2) and a letter of support (Exhlbit G-1) were submitted.

## Comments and Questions:

Ms. Bradley remarked that the two carports to the south are closer to the street than the proposed structure.

In response to Ms. Bradley's questlon concerning the enclosed north wall of the carport, Mr. Gardner polnted out that the enclosed wall Is further from the street than those structures to the south, and evidently does not block the vlew of those residents.

## Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; none "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 430 - Bulk and Area Requirements in Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1206) of setback from the front property Ilne from 251 to 141 to allow for a carport; per plot plan; finding that there are carports in the Immediate area that are closer to the street than the one in question; and that the granting of the request wlll not be detrimental to the nelghborhood; on the followlng descrlbed property:

Lot 10, Block 10, Sharon Helghts Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Action Requested:

Special Exception - Section 620 - Accessory Uses Permitted In Office Districts - Use Unlt 1213 - Requests a speclal exception to allow for a beauty shop to locate in an OL zoned distrlct, located south of the SE/c 41st Street and Harvard Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Larry D. Case, 1310 Euchee Creek Boulevard, Sand Springs, Oklahoma, was represented by Ray Case. He requested permission to operate a beauty salon at the above stated location. A plot plan (Exhlblt $\mathrm{H}-1$ ) was submitted.

## Comments and Questlons:

Mr. Smlth asked If a sign wlll be Installed on the property, and he replled that there wlll be no sign other than the exlsting monument sign indicating the bullding.

Ms. White asked if the proposed days and hours of operation wlll be compatible with the other businesses in the bullding, and Mr. Case Informed that the shop wlll be open from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

In response to Mr. Smlth's question concerning surrounding uses, the appllcant stated that there is an attorney's offlce to the south of the proposed location and a house used for the sale of Chrlstmas trees to the north.

Mr. Jackere asked if the beauty salon will be the only business in the bullding, and Mr. Case replled that there are several offices In the bullding, with only 1740 sq ft of floor space belng devoted to the salon.

At Mr. Quarles request, the appllcant Informed that a demolltion ,company, Insurance agencles, Jiffy Lube headquarters, and a chlld guldance center are some of the occupants of the bullding.

Mr. Smlth asked if any of the offlces offer medical services, and Mr . Case replled that there are no medlcal offlces in the bullding. It was noted that the salon will be located in the front portion and wlll have flve or six stylling chalrs and three shampoo bowls.

Mr. Jones asked Mr. Case if he is the agent for the owner of the property, and he answered in the affirmative.

Mr. Quarles and Ms. Bradley volced a concern that the tenants In the bullding may not be aware of the proposed business, and Mr. Case relterated that he is representing the owner, and pointed out that the tenants have been informed of the salon.

Mr. Smith Inquired as to the terms of the lease, and the applicant replled that he has a flve-year lease.

Case No. 15168 (contlnued)
Protestants: None.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; none "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 620 - Accessory Uses Permitted In Offlce Dlstricts - Use Unlt 1213) to allow for a 1740 sq ft beauty shop to locate in an OL zoned district; per plot plan; subject to no change in slgnage; flnding the beauty shop to be compatible with the exlsting uses in the bullding; on the following descrlbed property:

Lot 1, Block 1, Peach Tree Square Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15169

## ActIon Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements in Residentlal distrlcts - Use Unit 1206 - Request a varlance of front setback from 251 to 13.21 to allow for an exlsting bullding, located 7541 South Urbana Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant John B. DesBarres, 1924 South Utlca, Tulsa, Oklahoma, represented hls father, John Paul DesBarres, and Informed that he appeared before the TMAPC on February 15, 1989 concerning thls case. At thls hearlng, the appllcant explalned that hls appllcation was approved, subject to Board of Adjustment approval. A plat of survey (Exhlbit $X-1$ ) was submitted.

## Comments and Questlons:

Mr. Chappelle inquired if new construction is planned, and Mr. DesBarres Informed that no construction is planned. He stated that the house, which was bullt in 1978, was purchased from the bullder, but the setback problem was not dlscovered untll hls father sold the property.

Mr. Smith questloned how the house was bullt in vlolation of the bullding setback Ilne and easements on three sldes, and the appllcant replled that thls questlon was also ralsed by TMAPC. Mr. DesBarres stated that the bullder evidently made the mlstakes and the error was not detected on the first sales transaction.

Mr. Smlth asked if there is a concrete apron around the outside of the house, and the appllcant replled that there is an apron on the east and north sides, wlth a rallroad-tle wall ranglng from 21 to 61 In helght.

Mr. Quarles asked if the house has been modifled slnce the time of construction, and the appllcant replled that only general repalrs have been made on the property.

## Case No. 15169 (contlnued)

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of MHITE, the Board voted 4-0-1 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, White, "aye"; no "nays"; Smlth, "abstalning"; none "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements in Residentlal districts - Use Unlt 1206) of front setback from 251 to 13.21 to allow for an existing bullding; per plat of survey submitted; flnding that the orlginal house was bullt over the required setback Ilne and the rellef requested is required to clear the title; on the followlng descrlbed property:

Lot 2, Block 1, Sllver Oaks Il Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15171

## Action Requested:

Special Exception - Section 710 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Commerclal Districts - Use Unit 1215 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a balt shop to locate in a CS zoned district.

Varlance - Section 730 - Bulk and Area Requirements in Commerclal Distrlcts - Use Unlt 1215 - Request a varlance of setback from the centerllne of Harvard Avenue from 88' (average requlred setback) to 75', and from the south property llne from 101 to 81.

Varlance - Section 1215.3 - Use Conditions - Use Unit 1215 - Request a varlance of the screenling requirements along the west Ilne and the south property llne.

Varlance - Section 1215.4 and 1340(d) - Parking Requirements and Design Standards - Use Unit 1215 - Request a varlance of two (2) parking spaces on a dust free, all weather, hard surface, located 3326 North Harvard Avenue.

## Presentatlon:

The appllcant, Lonnle Cato, Route 8, Tulsa, Oklahoma, explalned that he has changed the orlginal plan to use the bullding on the south side of the subject property to sell minnows, and is now proposing to Install a portable $10^{\prime}$ by 101 bullding for this purpose. He Informed that the portable bullding will be located to the rear of the existling structure, and the south bullding will be removed from the property.

## Couments and Questlons:

Mr. Quarles noted that the south bullding will be removed, and asked If the appllcant wlll be in need of the varlance requests. Ms. Hubbard informed that Mr. Cato wlll need a screenlng walver, a walver for the hard surface parking area and, due to the change in the request, is not sure if the setback rellef is needed.

Case No. 15171 (contlnued)
Mr. Gardner polnted out that the business has existing unpaved parklng spaces and is in need of only one additional space. He noted that screening is required on the west property llne, but the ownershlp extends approximately 400' in that direction, with screenlng belng of little signlficance. He polnted out that there Is no screening requirement for the dwelling on the north side of the property, due to the commerclal zonlng, although it is closer to the business than any other house in the area. It was noted that the house to the south is approximately 1001 from the south boundary, which would probably suffer no adverse affects from the small $10^{\circ}$ by $10^{\prime}$ bullding.

Mr. Jones informed that the subject property is located in the floodplaln, and will require approval from the Department of Stormwater Management.

Protestants: None.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; none "absent") to APPROVE a Special Exception (Section 710 - Principal Uses Permitted In Commerclal Districts - Use Unit 1215) to allow for a balt shop to locate in a CS zoned district; to DENY a Varlance (Section 730 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Commerclal Districts Use Unlt 1215) of setback from the centerllne of Harvard Avenue from 88' (average required setback) to 751, and from the south property Ilne from 101 to 8 '; to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 1215.3 - Use Conditions - Use Unlt 1215) of the screening requirements along the west Ilne and the south property Ilne; and to NPPROVE a Varlance (Section 1215.4 and 1340(d) - Parking Requirements and Design Standards - Use Unit 1215) of one (1) parklng space on a dust free, all- weather, hard surface; subject to Stormwater Management approval; finding that the existing balt shop has been in existence for a long perlod of time, and the $10^{\prime}$ by $10^{\prime}$ portable bullding will be compatible with the present use and the surrounding area; and finding that a screening fence would be of no benefit on the west and south, due to the distance between the buslness and the residences; and flnding that the existling parklng is not dust free, and only one additional space is required; on the followlng descrlbed property:

The south 1001 of the $S / 2, N / 2, S E / 4, N E / 4, N E / 4$, Section 20, T-20-N, R-13-E, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15172
Action Requested:
Special Exception - Section 710 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Commerclal Districts - Use Unlts 1217 and 1206 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a minl-storage business and a special exception to allow for residentlal uses in a CS zoned district, located 8905 South Lewls Avenue.

## Case No. 15172 (contlnued)

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Wayne Alberty, 4325 East 51st Street, Sulte 115, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is representing Fourth Natlonal Bank, current title holders, and Tulley Dunlap, the contract purchaser of the property in question. He informed that the City Commission has recently approved CS zonlng on the tract and the Intent is to construct a minl-storage facllity, which will require Board of Adjustment approval. Mr. Alberty noted that Mr. Dunlap has developed five other simllar operations in the City, each having managers living on the site. It was noted that the two-story portion has offlces and storage on the first floor, with the second floor belng devoted to residentlal use. The applicant informed that the minl-storage portion of the property wlll contaln $46,250 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$, with 27 parklng spaces belng provided. A site plan (Exhlblt J-1) was submitted. It was noted that the north boundary abuts commerclal zoned property, the east is industrlal, with negotlations In process to purchase the property to the south for future expansion.

## Conments and Questlons:

Ms. Bradley asked If the Burgundy Place Apartments are screened, and Mr. Alberty polnted out that the apartments are in a commerclal district and screening is not required. Mr. Gardner polnted out that screening is based on the zoning classification and not the land use; however, the wall on that boundary wlll not have windows or doors, and will serve as screenling.

Ms. Bradley inquired as to the residents of the dwelling unit, and the appllcant informed that the manager wlll occupy those quarters. He further noted that a gate wlll be electronlcally controlled to llmit access to the property.

## Protestants:

James Reed, 4100 Bank of Oklahoma Tower, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he ls representing the owner of Burgundy Place Apartments, a senlor cltizen apartment development abuttling the proposed minl-storage faclllty. He polnted out that the proposed storage wlll be injurlous to the nelghborhood and detrimental to the public wel fare.

Steve Brown, Lynx Property Management, 6815 South Canton, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that the Burgundy Place Apartments are under thelr management, and are luxury apartments for senlor citizens. He polnted out that the nolse factor, as well as the additional traffic generated by the storage faclllty, wlll be a problem for the older residents. Mr. Brown stated that a great deal of money has been spent In developling and landscaplng Burgundy Place, and suggested that the proposed business could depreclate the value of the apartments.

## Case No. 15172 (cont|nued)

Appllant's Rebuttal:
Mr. Alberty polnted out that the amount of nolse generated by the storage business would not be nearly as great as that of a commerclal shopplng center, which would be permitted by right. He stated that the proposed masonry unlts will be superlor in quallty to the existing metal commerclal structures in the area, noting that the shoppling center to the north has a metal exterlor. Mr. Alberty polnted out that the property to the east is zoned IL.(Industrial Light), and would be more Injurlous to Burgundy Place than a minl-storage. It was noted by the appllcant that the old house presently located on the property is much more detrimental to property values than the proposed structure.

## Additlonal Comments:

Mr. Chappelle Inquired as to fenclng on the north property llne, and the appllcant stated that only the area in front of the bullding wlll have a securlty fence.

Ms. Bradley asked Mr. Brown If he was present at the TMAPC zoning and objected to the CS zoning. Mr. Brown stated that he was not Involved In that meeting.

Ms. White asked the applicant to state the hours of operation for the business, and he replled that he ls not sure of the proposed hours, but the gate wlll probably be locked about 10:00 p.m.

In response to Mr. Quarles Inquiry, Mr. Alberty stated that the lights for the facllity will be mounted on the units and will be simllar to a typlcal porch light. He noted that all lighting will be on the Interlor of the property.

Mr. Smlth Inquired as to the design and color of the north wall facing Burgundy Place. Mr. Alberty stated that the facllity wlll be ,constructed of concrete tilt-up gray panels with dark blue trim.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, Smlth, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; none "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 710 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Commerclal Districts - Use Units 1217 and 1206) to allow for a minl-storage business and a speclal exception to allow for a residentlal use (securlty quarters) In a CS zoned district; per site plan submitted; subject to the masonry bullding, which WIIl be palnted gray and trimmed In blue; subject to all lighting belng directed to the Interlor of the complex, with hours of operation belng 6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m., seven days a week; finding that there are multiple zoning classifications in the area and the minl-storage faclllty, with manager's quarters, will be compatible wlth the surrounding uses; on the followling descrlbed property:

## Case No. 15172 (contlnued)

A tract of land that is part of the $\mathrm{S} / 2, \mathrm{SW} / 4$, Section 17, T-18-N, R-13-E, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, sald tract of land beling described as follows, towit: Beginning at a polnt that is the SE/c or Lot 2, Block 1, Lewls Center East, an addition to the Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, sald polnt belng on the westerly ilne of Delaware Square, an addition to the Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma; thence S $0^{\circ} 241341 \mathrm{~W}$ along the westerly Ilne of sald Delaware Square for 300.20'; thence S 8952'13" $W$ parallel to and 40.00' southerly of the south Ilne of the N/2, S/2, SW/4, Section 17 for 412.50 ' to a polnt that is 82.501 westerly of the easterly Ilne of the $S W / 4, S W / 4$, Section 17; thence $N 0^{\circ} 241341 \mathrm{E}$ for 75.00'; thence S 8952'13' W for 15.14' to a polnt on the exlsting centerllne of south Lewls Avenue; thence $\mathrm{N} 0^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ along sald centerllne for 44.451 to a polnt of curve; thence northerly and northwesterly along sald centerlline on a curve to the left, with a central angle of $13^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 001$ and a radius of 687.621, for 166.621 to a polnt of tangency; thence N 14¹8'23" W along sald centerline on sald tangency for 16.35'; thence $N 89^{\circ} 5213^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ along an extension of and along the southerly Ilne of Lot 2 In Block 1 of Lewls Center East for 454.92' to the Polnt of Beginning of sald tract of land, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15173

## ActIon Requested:

Varlance - Sectlon 930 - Bulk and Area Requirements in Industrial Distrlcts - Use Unit 1217 - Request a varlance of setback form 1101 to 60' to allow for a proposed car wash, located 2002 North Memorlal Drive.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Robert Nichols, 111 West 5th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a plot plan (Exhlbit $\mathrm{K}-1$ ) and stated that he is representing the owner of the alrport parking lot that is located on the north five acres of an elght-acre tract. He Informed that two bulldings have exlsted on the property for approximately slx years, and the owner is now proposing to construct a 201 by 601 car wash. Mr. Nichols stated that he was unable to determine the centerline of Memorlal Drive, but the proposed structure wlll be approximately 101 west of the east property IIne. This particular location was chosen because of the configuration of the property and the traffic in the area. Mr. Nichols polnted out that the location would also allow traffic on the lot to go through the car wash and enter onto Memorlal Drive.

## Couments and Questions:

Ms. Bradley asked If the car wash wlll be used by the general publlc, and Mr. Nichols stated that the car wash is prlmarlly for cars left over the weekend, but it could be used by the general publlc.

Case No. 15173 (contlnued)
Mr. Nichols Informed that the tract is presently zoned IL, but future plans are to rezone a portlon of the property to commerclal, which would not require a setback for the bullding. He noted that he origlnally had requested a varlance of setback to 60', but that figure could be changed to 701 , or 101 from the property llne.

Mr. Smith Inquired If there are similar encroachments in the area, and the appllcant replled that there are no others.

Ms. Bradley asked the appllcant to address the hardshlp for thls case, and he replled that he considers the conflguration of the lot, the fact that the property is adjacent to the alrport and the type of buslness, to be a hardshlp for the property owner.

Mr. Jackere asked how the locatlon of the property near the alrport would have any effect on the location of the car wash on the lot, and he replled that because of the surrounding land use, the type of businesses that can profltably operate on the property are limited. He informed that his cllent has been in operation at this location for approximately slx years, and has studied the plan very closely and found thls to be the best use for the property. Mr. Jackere stated that the owner has evidently chosen this location for the car wash because he does not want to forfelt parkling spaces.

## Board Action:

Mr. Quarles' motlon for approval of the varlance of setback from 1101 to $70^{\prime}$ dled for lack of a second.

## Additlonal Comments:

Mr. Smith remarked that the appllcant falled to demonstrate a hardshlp and there are no other bulldings in the area with simllar setbacks.

Mr. Quarles contended that there are clrcumstances that constltute hardshlps as to land use, and although the land could be used for other businesses, the fact that the property is near the expressway, alrport and other simllar uses, seems to be a hardshlp.

Mr. Jackere noted that the use is permitted by right at thls location; however, structures are not allowed to be closer than one-half the right-of-way plus 50', unless there is something speclal and unlque about the property.

## Applicant's Rebuttal:

Mr. Nichols stated that, due to the action of the government, by leasing Rockwell and buliding the international Alrport, this plece of property has been isolated. He emphasized that no other propertles in the area would be harmed by the setback varlance.

Additional Couments:
Mr. Gardner polnted out to Mr. Nichols that the government has conformed to the setback regulations in thls area, and Ms. Bradley added that there is ample space on the tract that would make the structure In compllance with the Code.

The owner of the property spoke brlefly and stated that the locatlon closer to the street would lessen the chance of trafflc accldents on the lot. He polnted out that he would not lose parklng spaces by locatling the bullding closer to the street.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-2-0 (Bradley, SmIth, White, "aye"; Chappelle, Quarles, "nay"; no "abstentlons"; none "absent") to DENY a Varlance (Section 930 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Industrial Districts - Use Unit 1217) of setback form 1101 to 60 to allow for a proposed car wash; flnding that a hardshlp was not presented that would warrant the granting of the varlance request; and finding that there are no other structures in the area that are as close to Memorlal Drive as the proposed bullding; on the followlng described property:

That part of the $\mathrm{NE} / 4, \mathrm{NE} / 4, \mathrm{SE} / 4$, Section $26, \mathrm{~T}-20-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{R}-13-\mathrm{E}$ of the Indlan Base and Merldian, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, according to the U.S. Government survey thereof, lylng north of the northerly right-of-way llne of the St. Louls - San Franclsco Rallroad and east of the St. Louls - San Franclsco spur track, less the north $310^{\prime}$ thereof and, LESS a tract of land beginning at a polnt on the northerly right-of-way Ilne of the St. Louls - San Francisco Rallroad 221.601 west of the east Ilne of sald Sectlon 26; thence along sald right-of-way Ilne bearling S $84^{\circ} 47115^{\prime \prime} W$ a distance of 164.121 to a polnt In the center line of the Frisco spur track; thence along a compound curve to the right a dlstance 321.371 to a polnt; thence $N 89^{\circ} 46^{\prime \prime 3} 0^{\prime \prime} E$ a distance of 361.621 to a polnt, sald polnt belng 221.50 ' west of the east llne of Section 26; thence southerly a distance of 229.27 to a polnt of beginnlng, also known as 2002 North Memorlal Drive, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Actlon Requested:

Speclal Exception - Section 1680.1(L) - Speclal Exception - Use Unit 1206 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a detached accessory bullding on an abutting lot under common ownershlp.

Varlance - Section 240.2 - Permitted Yard Obstructions - Use Unit 1206 - Request a varlance of the slze of a detached accessory bullding from 750 sq ft to 1120 sq ft , located $\mathrm{NE} / \mathrm{c}$ of 12 th Street and South Quebec Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, James W. Wlchersham, 2509 South Redbud, Broken Arrow, Oklahoma, asked the Board to allow hlm to construct an accessory bullding on a lot next to his fathers home. He informed that together they own seven boats, two vehlcles and three utllitles trallers, which will be stored Inside the bullding. Mr. Wickersham stated that the siding on the proposed structure will match the siding on the exlsting house.

## Coaments and Questions:

Ms. White asked If any part of the proposed bullding will be rented or connected in any way with the business operating to the north of the subject property. The appllcant stated that the bullding will only be used for personal storage.

Ms. Bradley asked if all exlsting bulldings wlll remaln on the lot, and the appllcant replled that the dog pen, the slingle carport and exlsting storage bullding wlll be removed, but the double carport wlll remaln.

Mr. Smith asked the applicant if he is agreeable to the execution of a tle contract on the two lots, and he answered In the afflrmative.

Mr. Jackere polnted out that the appllcant is allowed to have a 750 sq ft storage bullding on each lot, and he has agreed to tle the two lots together. He noted that in the past the board has consldered the slze of the lot as a basis for determinling the slze of the accessory bullding.

## Protestants:

Ann Masterson, 209 South Richmond, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted photographs (Exhlbit C-1) and stated that she is the spokesman for a group of area nelghbors. She requested that the bullding will be as large as many of the homes in the area, and the residents would llke the assurance that it will not be used to operate a business. Ms. Masterson volced a concern that a driveway will be opened from the subject property to Quebec. Letters of opposition (Exhlbit C-2) were submitted.

Case No. 15174 (contlnued)
Applicant's Rebuttal:
The appllcant assured the protestant that the bullding will not be used for a buslness, but wlll be used to store the materlals that are on the lot.

Margaret Wickersham, 1147 South Quebec, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that she Ilves on the property In question and assured the Board that the garage wlll be the only bullding constructed on the lot next door to her home.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, Smlth, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; none "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 1680.1(L) Speclal Exception - Use Unit 1206) to allow for a detached accessory bullding on an abutting lot under common ownershlp; and to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 240.2 - Permitted Yard Obstructions - Use Unit 1206) of the slze of a detached accessory bullding from 750 sq ft to 1120 sq ft ; subject to the executlon of a tle contract on the two lots; subject to the removal of the exlsting storage bullding, the single carport and the dog pen; subject to no additional bullding belng constructed on the property, and no Ingress or egress on Quebec; on the followling described property;

Lots 11 and 12, Block 2, Beverly HIlls Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15175

## ActIon Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requlrements In Residentlal Distrlcts - Use Unit 1206 - Request a varlance of the required front yard setback from $25^{\prime}$ to $\mathbf{1 8 ' ~}^{\prime}$ more or less, and a varlance of the required side yard setback from $5^{\prime \prime}$ to $4^{\prime \prime}$ to allow for an addition to the existing dwelling, located 4660 North Iroquols.

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Jones Informed that the property is located in the floodplain and, If approved, wIII require a Watershed Development Permit.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Elnora Balley, 4660 North Iroquols, Tulsa, Oklahoma, was represented by Walter Wolf, who submitted a plot plan (Exhlblt M-1) for an addition to the exlsting dwelling. He stated that a roof wlll be constructed over an existing porch, the garage wlll be attached to the south sectlon and the north section will be bricked, with the remalnder belng vinyl siding. Photographs (Exhlbit M-2) were submitted.

Protestants: None.

Case No. 15175 (contInued)
Board ActIon:
On MOTION of QUARLES, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, Smlth, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; none "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements in Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1206) of the required front yard setback from 251 to 181 more or less, and a Varlance of the required side yard setback from 51 to 41 to allow for an addition to the existling dwelling; per plot plan submitted; and subject to Stormwater Management approval; flnding a hardshlp Imposed on the appllcant by the curvature of the street and the Irregular shape of the lot; flinding that the granting of the request wlll not be detrimental to the area and will be in harmony with the spirit and intent of the Code; on the following described property:

Lot 10, Block 4, Amended Falrhlll Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 15177

## Action Requested:

Special Exception - Section 710 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Commerclal Distrlcts - Use Unlt 1217 - Request a speclal exception to allow for an automoblle sales business and related accessory uses In a CS zoned district, located 6446 South Peorla Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, James Spargur, 7514 West 34th Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted photographs (Exhlbit $\mathrm{N}-1$ ) of surrounding propertles, and asked the Board to allow automoblle sales on the subject tract. He requested that he be allowed to malntaln an Inventory of approxImately 30 cars on the lot. Mr. Spargur Informed that he is an auto broker and does much of his buslness by phone.

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Gardner asked if the camper sales is located on the subject tract, and the appllcant replled that the campers are stored on the lot next door.

In response to Ms. Bradley, the appllcant Informed that there wlll be no palnting, body work or mechanical repalrs on the property.

Mr. Smlth asked if there wlll be outside storage on the lot and Mr. Spargur replled that only cars for retall sales will be located on the lot.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, Smlth, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; White, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 710 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Commerclal Districts - Use Unit 1217) to allow for

Case No. 15177 (continued)
an automoblle sales business and related accessory uses in a CS zoned district; subject to a maximum of 30 automoblles displayed on the lot; and subject to hours of operation belng from 8:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., Monday through Saturday; finding that the business will be compatible with the surrounding uses in the area; on the following descrlbed property:

Lot 1, Block 1, Young Center Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15178

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements in Residentlal Districts - Use Unlt 1206 - Request a varlance of the required front yard setback from 251 to 111 , and a varlance of the required side yard setback from 51 to 31 to allow for an existing carport, located 522 North 91 st East Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Charles Hurst, 1918 North Oxford, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted photographs (Exhlblt P-1) and a petition of support (Exhlblt P-3). He Informed that setback varlances are required for an exlstling carport. A letter (Exhlblt P-2) from an attending physiclan was submltted.

## Couments and Questions:

Ms. Bradley Informed that she has vlewed the project and the carport is attractive and apparently well constructed.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-1 (Bradley, Quarles, Smlth, White, "aye"; no "nays"; Chappelle, "abstalnlng"; none "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Distrlcts - Use Unlt 1206) of the requlred front yard setback from 25' to 11', and a varlance of the required side yard setback from 51 to 31 to allow for an exlstling carport; subject to Stormwater Management approval; finding that the structure aligns with other carports in the area; and the granting of the request wlll not be detrimental to the nelghborhood; on the followlng descrlbed property:

The north 1001 of Lot 6, Block 7, MIngo Valley Subdivision No. 1 Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15179

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 280 - Structure Setback from Abuttling Streets Use Unlt 1213 - Request a varlance of setback from abuttling streets to allow for gasollne Island canoples, located SE/c 15th Street and South Harvard.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Roy Johnsen, 324 Maln Mall, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a slte plan (Exhlblt R-1), and explalned that he appeared before the Board in 1984 requesting setbacks from Harvard to permit the construction of a GIt-N-Go store at 15th Street and Harvard Avenue. It was noted that the site had prevlously been occupled by a DX service station that had a canopy. Mr. Johnsen polnted out that GIt-N-Go acquired the property and constructed a store with the gasollne Islands along Harvard Avenue and 15th Street. A portion of the varlance acquired in 1984 permitted a canopy over the gasollne islands that were parallel to Harvard to be located within 331 of that street; however, a canopy was not requested for the Islands on 15th Street. He Informed that since that time, the Board has approved a 351 setback for the construction of the Reeves appllance store on the southwest corner of the intersection. Mr. Johnsen stated that his cllent has now acquired a 621 lot to the south of the existing store and the canopy will be moved approximately 281 south of its present location. The moving of the canopy will provide sufficlent space to Install a canopy for the Islands on 15th Street. He polnted out that the lots in the older area are narrow, and propertles west on Harvard Avenue and north on 15th Street are zoned CH , with many of the bulldings belng constructed on the property Ilne.

## Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Smlth, White, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 280 - Structure Setback from Abuttling Streets - Use Unit 1213) of setback from abuttling streets to allow for gasollne island canoples; per site plan submitted; subject to the executlon of a removal contract; finding that the lots in the older area are narrow, and many structures are located closer to the street than the current Code allows; and flading that the granting of the request wlll not be detrimental to the area; on the followlng described property:

Lots 1, 2, and 3, less and except the west 10', Sunrlse Terrace Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## ActIon Requested:

Speclal Exception - Section 910 - Princlpal Uses Located In Industrlal Districts - Use Unlt 1227 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a salvage yard (storage and dismantling) In an IM zoned district, located north of $\mathrm{NE} / \mathrm{c}$ North Peorla and Latlmer Street.

Comments and Questlons:
Mr. Jones Informed that the approximate east 150 I is located In a floodplaln and wlll require a Watershed Development Permit.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, JIm HInds, 7704 South 30th West Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a site plan (Exhlblt S-2), and stated that he is representing Peorla Salvage. He Informed that there are other salvage operations in the area and the automoblles will be dismantled, parts wIIl be removed and they wlll be neatly stacked on the lot. He informed that there will be a maximum of 399 cars on the lot. An aerlal photograph (Exhlbit S-1) was submitted.

Protestants: None.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Smlth, Whlte, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 910 - Princlpal Uses Located In Industrlal Dlstricts - Use UnIt 1227) to allow for a salvage yard (storage and dismantling) In an $I M$ zoned district; per site plan submitted; and subject to Stormwater Management approval; flnding that there are other salvage operations in the area, and the granting of the special exception request will not be detrimental to the area or violate the spirit and Intent of the Code; on the following described property:

Beginning at a polnt 437.351 north of the $S W / c, N / 2$, Lot 2, Section 31, T-20-N, T-13-E, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, thence north along the west Ilne of sald Lot 2, a distance of approximately 2251 to the north IIne of sald Lot 2 ; thence east a distance of 248'; thence south a distance of 75'; thence east a distance of approximately 335' to the west rlght-of-way Ilne of the Santa Fe Rallway; thence south approximately 150 to a polnt that is 437.35 ' north of the south Ilne of the $\mathrm{N} / 2$ of sald Lot 2; thence west to the polnt of beginning; Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 1340.(d) - Design Standards - Use Unit 1223 Request a varlance of the required all-weather dust free surface to allow for an existing gravel parking lot, located 1119 West 41st Street.

Conments and Questions:
Mr. Jones Informed that the property is located in the Cherry Creek floodplaln and flood hazard area.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Patsy Stone, PO Box 2014, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a location map (Exhlbit T-1), and stated that she is representing Stone Trucking Company. She informed that the company is purchasing the property in question and stated that water dralnage is a problem In the area. Ms. Stone informed that the company owns six trucks, which haul oll fleld equipment, and polnted out that there is a truck rebuliding business located next door to the property. She stated that the lot has a gravel parking lot, as do the other businesses in the area.

## Coments and Questlons:

Ms. Bradley asked what type of business is located across the street, and the appllcant replled that some type of Industry is located there.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of QUARLES, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Smlth, Whlte, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 1340.(d) - Design Standards - Use Unit 1223) of the requlred all-weather dust free surface to allow for an exlsting gravel parking lot; subject to Stormwater Management approval; finding that the surrounding buslnesses have gravel parklng, and the approval of the request will not be detrimental to the area; on the followling described property:

Part of the $S E / 4, S W / 4$, Section $23, T-19-N, R-12-E$, of the Indlan Base and Meridian, In the clty and county of Tulsa, Oklahoma, according to the U.S. Government Survey thereof, belng more particularly described as follows; Beginning 854.26' west of the SE/c, SW/4, thence north 340', west 60', north 60', west 85', south $400^{\prime}$ to the south llne of sald SW/4, thence east 1451 to the Polnt of Beglnnlng, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## OTHER BUSINESS

## Infill Development Recommendations

Steve Compton, Director of Membership Services, INCOG, submitted a copy of the Infill Development Study to the Board for their review. Specific policies relating to the Board will be adopted at a later date.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 3:50 pom.


