CITY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT<br>MINUTES of Meeting No. 537<br>Thursday, Aprll 20, 1989, 1:00 p.m.<br>Francls F. Campbell Commission Room<br>Plaza Level of City Hall, Tulsa Civic Center

| MEMBERS PRESENT | MEMBERS ABSENT | STAFF PRESENT | OTHERS PRESENT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Bradley | Quarles | Gardner | Jackere, Legal |
| Chappelle, | Moore | Dubartment |  |
| Chalrman |  | Taylor | Hubbard, Protective |
| Smith |  |  | Inspectlons |

The notice and agenda of sald meeting were posted In the Offlce of the City Auditor on Tuesday, April 18, 1989, at 10:49 a.m., as well as In the Receptlon Area of the INCOG offices.

After declarling a quorum present, Chalrman Chappelle called the meetling to order at 1:04 p.m.

## MINUTES:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smith, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, White, "absent") to APPROVE the Minutes of Aprll 6, 1989.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Case No. 15092

## Action Requested:

Special Exception - Section 310 - Principal Uses Permitted In Agriculture Districts - Use Unit 1205 - Request a speclal exception to allow for an existing golf course and related uses in an AG District, located SE/c 81st Street and South MIngo Road.

## Presentat Ion:

The applicant, Jlm Hess, was not present.

## Conments and Questions:

Mr. Taylor advised the Board that the appllcant could not be present, but asked that Staff explaln the application and speak in hls behalf. It was noted that the Meadowbrook Country Club has requested permission to allow the contlnued use of thelr existing golf course, and It was discovered that there was an error in the original legal description submitted by the appllcant. Mr. Taylor Informed that a second legal was submitted which was also in error. He stated that the appllcant has now submitted a flnal corrected legal description, which has been properly advertised.

## Case No. 15092 (contInued)

Protestants: None.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, Whlte, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 310 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Agriculture Districts - Use Unit 1205) to allow for an existing golf course and related uses in an AG District; finding that the use has been in existence for many years at the present location; on the following described property:

The NE/4 and a portion of the N/2, NW/4 of Section 13, T-18-N, R-13-E, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, belng more partlcularly described as follows to wit:

Beginning at the $N E / c, N E / 4$, Section 13, $T-18-N, R-13-E$; thence $S 0^{\circ} 02{ }^{\prime 2} 9^{\prime \prime} E$ along the east Ine of sald $N E / 4$ a distance of 2640.40 ' to the $S E / c$ of sald $N E / 4$; thence $S ~ 89^{\circ} 461361 \mathrm{~W}$ along the south IIne of sald $N E / 4$ a distance of 2635.68 l to the SW/c of sald $N E / 4$; thence $N 0^{\circ} 001511 \mathrm{E}$ along the west Ilne of sald NE/4 distance of $1320.16^{\prime}$ to the SE/c of the NE/4, NW/4 of sald Section 13; thence. S $89^{\circ} 46{ }^{\prime} 33 \prime \mathrm{~W}$ along the south line of sald NE/4, NW/4 a distance of 454.41'; thence $N 0^{\circ} 00$ '51" $E$ a distance of 1320.16' to a polnt on the north line of sald Section 13; thence $N 89^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} E$ along the sald north section IIne a distance of 3087.54' to the Polnt of Beginning, contalning 173.453 acres more or less. Less a tract identifled as Tract "A" descrlbed as follows:

Beginning at the $N E / c$ of sald $N E / 4$; thence $S 0^{\circ} 02{ }^{\prime \prime} 29^{\prime \prime} E$ along the east IIne of sald $\mathrm{NE} / 4$ a distance of 660.00 '; thence S $89^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ a distance of 660.001 ; thence $\mathrm{N} 0^{\circ} 02$ '29" W a distance 660.00' to a polnt on the north IIne of sald Section 13; thence $N 89^{\circ} 461301 \mathrm{E}$ along the north IIne of sald Section 13 a distance of 660.00' to the Polnt of Beginning contalning 10.00 acres. The remalning acreage being 163.453 acres, more or less, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 15102

## ActIon Requested:

Special Exception - Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1205 - Request a speclal exception to allow for church uses in an RS-3 zoned district, located 3514 South Yale Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, James Smlth, 2925 West 56th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that the Board had prevlously requested that he provide Information concerning setbacks and parking. He informed that application has been made for an occupancy permit, and an extension of the prlvacy fence ls required to screen the parking lot. A plot plan (Exhlbit Z-1) was submltted.

## Case No. 15102 (continued)

Comments and Questlons:
Mr. Chappelle asked Ms. Hubbard If the appllcant complles wlll all requirements for obtalning an occupancy permit, and she replled that all requirements are met, except for screenling of the parking lot along the north property IIne.

Protestants: None.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1205) to allow for church uses in an RS-3 zoned district; per plot plan submitted and fencling requirements; finding that the bullding was previously used as an educatlonal facllity, and the granting of the request wlll not be detrimental to the area; on the followlng descrlbed property:

Beginning at the $N E / C$ of sald $N / 2, S / 2, S E / 4, N E / 4$; thence N 8950'40" $W$ along the north boundary of sald $N / 2, S / 2, S E / 4$, NE/4 a distance of 280'; thence south parallel to the east boundary of sald $\mathbb{N} / 2, \mathrm{~S} / 2, \mathrm{SE} / 4, \mathrm{NE} / 4$ a distance of 195.11'; thence $S 89^{\circ} 50140^{\prime \prime} E$ parallel to the north boundary of sald $\mathrm{N} / 2, \mathrm{~S} / 2, \mathrm{SE} / 4, \mathrm{NE} / 4$ a distance of 280'; thence north along the east boundary of sald $N / 2, S / 2, S E / 4, N E / 4$ a distance of 195.11' to the Polnt of Beginning, LESS AND EXCEPT the east 501 thereof, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## MINOR VARIANCES AND EXCEPTIONS

## Case No. 15111

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residential Districts - Use Unit 1206 - Request a minor varlance of front setback from the centerllne of 4 th Place from 521 to 51 to allow for an addition to the exlsting dwelling, located 4711 East 4th Place.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Tom McGulre, 849 West 138th Place, Glenpool, Oklahoma, submitted a plot plan (Exhlbit A-1), and requested permission to add a 31 extension to an exlsting garage. He polnted out that other structures in the area extend further into the setback than the proposed addition.

Protestants: None.

Case No. 15111 (cont|nued)
Board ActIon:
On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1206) of front setback from the centerllne of 4th Place from 521 to 511 to allow for an addition to the exlsting dwelling; per plot plan; finding that the varlance request is in conformance with existing conditions in the Immediate vicinlty of the subject tract; on the followlng descrlbed property:

Lot 386, Block 2, Rodgers Helghts Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## NEN APPLICATIONS

Case No. 15103
ActIon Requested:
Varlance - Section 1340.(d) - Design Standards for Off-Street ParkIng - Use Unlt 121.1 - Request a varlance of the required all weather surface for a parkIng area, 218 West LatImer.

## Comments and Questlons:

Mr. Gardner polnted out that the property is under two zoning classiflcation and the Board should determine whlch area wlll be used for parklng purposes.

## Presentatlon:

The appllcant, Roy Glrod, Route 2, Box 2, Glenpool, Oklahoma, submitted a violation notice (Exhlbit $B-2$ ) and a plot plan (Exhlblt B-3), and stated that the gravel 201 by 901 parklng area for the existing apartments is located on the alley. He asked the Board to allow parking to continue on the existing lot for a perlod of one year only.

## AddItIonal Comments:

Ms. White asked If the three apartment bulldings to the west of the lot wlll use the parking area In question, and Mr. Glrod answered In the affirmative. He noted that the two lots extend from Denver back to the alley. In response to Ms. White's question concerning all weather surface, the appllcant replled that he has seven empty apartments and is not flnanclally able to Install a hard surface materlal on the lot at thls time. Mr. Glrod noted that only one tenant in the apartment complex owns a car, and relterated that the gravel parking lot wlll only be used for a one year perlod.

Mr. Gardner advised that the appllcant owns two east/west lots whlch front on Denver and the alley, wlth the eastern 201 belng zoned commerclal. He Informed that parking is permitted on the east 201 of the lots, with a hard surface coverling.

Case No. 15103 (continued)
Ms. Bradley asked If the subject property is located in Brady Helghts, and Mr. GIrod answered In the affirmative.

## Protestants:

Mr. Chappelle Informed that the Tulsa Preservation Commission and Tulsa Development Authorlty (Exhlbit B-1) requested denlal of the application.

## Interested Partles:

TIm WIllams, 1015 North Denver, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who is president of the Brady Heights Nelghborhood Assoclation and an abutting property owner, informed that the twelve-unlt complex has a potentlal of 24 cars. He polnted out that the Assoclation does not have a problem with the varlance request, but asked that a permanent parking lot be installed after one year to allevlate street parkling In the area.

## AddItlonal Comments:

Mr. Jackere remarked that the Board might consider requiring a hard surface before the end of one year if the number of cars parked on the lot shows a significant increase. He polnted out that it is difflcult to determine opccupancy rate, but the number of cars parked on the lot could be monltored.

Mr. Willlams polnted out that he llves adjacent to the subject property, and is concerned with the number of visitors coming to the apartments, as well as the number of tenants.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 1340.(d) - Design Standards for Off-Street Parklng - Use Unlt 1211) of the required all weather surface for a parklng area for a perlod of slx months only; per plot plan submitted; finding that a very limited number of vehicles are parking on the lot at thls time; and the granting of the varlance request, with the present number of vehlcles, at the designated location, wlll not be detrimental to the area; on the following described property:

Lots 11 and 12, less part of Lot 11, begInnlng SW/c, thence north 8', east 66.6', southeast 161 , west 80.461 to the Polnt of Beginning, Block 10, Burgess HIII Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Action Reguested:
Speclal Exception - Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1211 - Requests a speclal exception to allow for expanded office uses in an RM-2 zoned district, located at 1050 East 61st Street.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Gall Carnes, 1050 East 61st Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submltted a plot plan (Exhlblt C-1), and requested permission to add a $20^{\prime}$ extension to an exlsting office bullding.

## Comments and Questlons:

Ms. Bradley asked if there is adequate parklng for the proposed expansion, and the appllcant replled that there are more avallable parkling spaces than the Code requires.

Mr. Gardner polnted out that the Board previously approved the offlce bullding per plot plan; therefore, Board action is required for any modification of the structure.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1211) to allow for expanded offlce uses in an RM-2 zoned distrlct; per plot plan submitted; finding that the expansion of the existing bullding will not be detrimental to the area, and wlll be in harmony with the spirit and Intent of the Code; on the following descrlbed property:

Part of Lot 2, Block 1, Zand-Bergen Addition to the Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, towlt: Beginning at the northwest corner of sald Lot 2, thence south along the west IIne of lot 8401 to the southwest corner of sald lot, thence east 299.22', thence north 845.06', thence west 299.95' to the Polnt of Beginning, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 15109

## ActIon Requested:

Speclal Exception - Section 420 - Accessory Uses In Residential Districts - Use Unlt 1206 - Request a speclal exception for a home occupation to allow for an exlsting automoblle upholstery business In an RS-2 zoned district, located 952 South Darlington Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Lowell Breckenridge, 952 South Darllngton, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that his upholstery buslness has recently been moved to hls residence, and asked permission to contlnue the operation at this location.

Case No. 15109 (continued):
Coments and Questions:
Mr. Chappelle asked the applicant to explaln the nature of hls buslness, and he replled that he upholsters car Interlors (seats, carpets, headilners and vinyl tops).

Ms. Bradley noted that she observed three cars parked at the residence, and asked the appllcant where hls customers park thelr vehicles. Mr. Breckenridge replled that automoblles belng repalred are parked behlnd the fence, and those parked In front of the fence are hls personal cars.

In response to Mr. Jackere, the appllcant Informed that he performs the automoblle upholsterling outside and Inside the exlsting garage. Mr. Jackere asked If vinyl roofs are replaced outside the bullding, and Mr . Breckenridge answered In the affirmative.

Ms. White asked how many cars are stored on the premises whlle awalting repalrs, and the appllcant replled that he usually has only two cars on the premises, but occaslonally there are more. In response to a question concerning ownershlp of the property, Mr. Breckenridge Informed that he is leasing the property, with option to buy.

Mr. Chappelle noted that Staff has recelved 12 letters (Exhlbit D-1) from residents in the area who object to the request, due to the commerclal nature of the home occupation. He asked the appllcant if he has recelved a copy of the Home Occupation Guldellnes, and Mr. Breckenrldge stated that he has revlewed the guldellnes.

## Protestants:

Bert McAulay, 945 South Darlington, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he llves across the street from the subject property, and is representing 15 residents of the nelghborhood. He polnted out that there has been Increased traffic since the business began operation and It has Interrupted the qulet atmosphere of the area. He noted that upholstery work has been performed In front of the house and It Is not In the best Interest of the nelghborhood to have a business at thls location. A petition of opposition (Exhlbit D-2), photographs (Exhlblt D-4) and a letter from Code Enforcement (Exhlbit D-3) were submitted.

MIke Lodes, 916 South Braden, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is representing the White City chapter of the MIdTulsa Nelghborhood Assoclatlon. He Informed that the primary goal of the assoclation Is to preserve the White Clty nelghborhood, which was establlshed In 1926. He asked the Board to deny the application.

## AddItlonal Coments:

It was the general consensus of the Board that, as evidenced In the photographs, the busIness falls to comply with the Home Occupation Guldellnes and is not compatible with the nelghborhood.

Case No. 15109 (continued)

## Board Action:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to DENY a Special Exception (Section 420 - Accessory Uses In Residentlal Districts - Use Unlt 1206) for a home occupation to allow for an exlsting automoblle upholstery business in an RS-2 zoned district; finding that the home occupation is not in accordance with the Home Occupation GuIdellnes, and granting of the special exception request would violate the spirlt and Intent of the Code and the Comprehensive Plan; on the followling described property:

The S/2, Lot 6, Block 28, White Clty Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 15110

## ActIon Requested:

Special Exception - Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unlt 1209 - Request a speclal exception to allow for an exlstlag moblle home in an RS-2 zoned district.

Varlance - Section 440.6(a) - Speclal Exception RequIrements - Use Unlt 1209 - Request a varlance of the time restriction from one year to permanently, located 1440 South 133rd East Avenue.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Betty Sue Ramsey, 1371 South 99th East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, Informed that she recelved permission In 1984 to Install a moblle home at the present location for a perlod of one year only. She asked the Board to remove the restriction and allow the moblle to remaln at thls address permanently. Photographs (Exhlblt E-1) were submitted.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to NPPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1209) to allow for an exlsting moblle home in an RS-2 zoned district; and to NPPROVE a Varlance (Section 440.6(a) - Speclal Exception Requirements - Use Unit 1209) of the tlme restriction from one year to permanently; flnding that the moblle home has been at the present locatlon for several years and has proved to be compatlble wlth the area; on the followling descrlbed property:

The south 150' of the east 150', Lot 4, Block 11, Romoland Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## ActIon Requested:

Special Exception - Section 710 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Commerclal Distrlcts - Use Unlt 1202 - Request a speclal exception to allow for an off-site construction facllity (concrete batch plant) In a CS zoned district, located east of SE/c 71st Street and South MIngo Road.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Earl Hart, was represented by Paul KItchens, Concrete Industrles of Tulsa, 13521 East 11th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma. He explalned that his company ls supplying concrete for a construction Job on the road at 71st and Mingo, and a temporary batch plant will be needed at thls location untli the job is completed. A location map (Exhlblt F-1) was submitted.

## Comments and Questlons:

Ms. Bradley asked how long the plant will be in use, and Mr. Kitchens replled that the faclllty will be in use for approximately nlne months.

Mr. Chappelle Informed that the Board has recelved one letter of support (Exhlbit F-2) for the application.

Board ActIon:
On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 710 - PrInclpal Uses Permitted In Commerclal Districts - Use Unlt 1202) to allow for a temporary off-site construction faclllty (concrete batch plant) In a CS zoned distrlct for a perlod of nine months only; per location map submitted; finding that the temporary use wlll not be detrimental to the area; on the followlng descrlbed property:

Beginning at a point 601 south and 635.71 east of the NW/c of Government Lot 1, Section 7, T-18-N, R-14-E, thence west 150', south 250', east 150', north 250' to the Polnt of Beginnlng, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## ActIon Requested:

Special Exception - Section 410 - Principal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1208 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a nursing home In an RS-2 zoned property, located south of SW/c of 101st Street and South Yale Avenue.

## Presentatlon:

The appllcant, Charles Norman, 909 Kennedy Bullding, Tulsa, Oklahoma, explalned that the 6-acre tract in question is a portion of a 20 -acre tract which is the subject of a condemnation action Inltlated by the Jenks School District. He Informed that a petition has been flled In the District Court of Tulsa County to condemn the entire 20-acre tract. Mr. Norman remarked that nelther the owner of the property or Autumn VIllage, prospectlve buyers, were aware of the Intent of the Jenks School District to acquire the property at the tlme the Board of Adjustment appllcation was filed. The applicant asked that thls case be continued to June 1, 1989, in order that Autumn Village may determine its rights under its contract to purchase and the pending condemnation action. A letter of Aprll 14, 1989 (Exhlbit G-1), requesting a contlnuance, was submitted.

## Protestants:

Protestants in the audience Indicated agreement with the June ist meetling date.

## Board Act Ion:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, White, "absent") to CONTINUE Case No. 15113 to June 1, 1989, as requested by the applicant.

## Case No. 15114

## ActIon Requested:

Special Exception - Section 310 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Agriculture Districts - Use Unit 1209 - Requests a speclal exception to allow for two moblle homes ( 1 exlsting, 1 proposed ) in an AG zoned district.

Varlance - Section 208 - One Single-Famlly Dwelling per Lot of Record - Use Unlt 1209 - Request a varlance to allow for two dwellings on one lot of record.

Varlance - Section 1340(d) - Design Standards for Off-Street ParkIng Areas - Use Unlt 1211 - Request a varlance of the required all weather surface for an off-street parking area, located 5410 North 145th East Avenue.

The appllcant, Betty Conatzer, 5410 North 145th East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that both her husband and son own tractor trallers and have prevlously had trallers stored on the property, which have been removed. She informed that only her husbands tractor will be parked on the property dally, and her son wlll park there only one day each week. Ms. Conatzer requested permission to Install an additlonal moblle home on the 5-acre tract. A plot plan (Exhlbit $\mathrm{H}-1$ ) and a copy of the violation notice (Exhlbit $\mathrm{H}-2$ ) were submitted.

Protestants: None.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 310 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Agriculture Districts - Use Unit 1209) to allow for two moblle homes (1 existing, 1 proposed ) In an AG zoned district; to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 208 - One SIngle-Famlly Dwelling per Lot of Record - Use Unlt 1209) varlance to allow for two dwellings on one lot of record; and to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 1340(d) Design Standards for Off-Street Parklng Areas - Use Unit 1211) a varlance of the requlred all weather surface for an off-street parking area; per plot plan submitted; subject to no more than two tractor traller units belng parked on the property at any given time; finding that the trallers prevlously stored on the property have been removed; and finding that the tract is large enough to spllt and satisfy the size requlrements for two separate lots; on the followlng described property:

The $N / 2, N E / 4, S E / 4, N E / 4$, Section $9, \mathrm{~T}-20-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{R}-14-\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{Cl}$ ty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 15115

Action Requested:
Special Exception - Section 410 - Principal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1209 - Request a speclal exception to allow for an existing moblle home in an RS-3 zoned district.

Varlance - Section 440 - Special Exception Conditions - Use UnIt 1209 - Request a varlance of the tlme restriction from one year to permanently, located 2252 North Evanston Avenue.

## Presentatlon:

The appllcant, Rudl Nolan, 2252 North Evanston, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that the Board granted permisslon to locate the moblle home at the present location In August of 1983, and asked that the unlt be allowed to remaln permanently.

## Case No. 15115 (contlnued)

Protestants: None.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of MHITE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residential Districts - Use Unit 1209) to allow for an existing moblle home in an RS-3 zoned district; and to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 440 - Speclal Exception Conditions - Use Unit 1209) of the time restriction from one year to permanently; finding that the moblle home has been located at the present site for approximately 6 years and has proved to be compatible with the nelghborhood; on the followling described property:

The south 64' of the E/2, Lot 3, Block 3, Clty Vlew Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 15116

## Act Ion Requested:

Special Exception - Section 910 - Principal Uses Permitted In Industrlal Districts - Use Unit 1209 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a moblle home in an IM zoned district, located 2703 East Apache Street.

## Presentatlon:

The appllcant, Pattle Shook, 2703 East Apache, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that she prevlously recelved permission to Install her moblle home at the present location In 1987, and is now requesting that the moblle be allowed to remaln on the lot permanently.

## Comments and Questlons:

Mr. Gardner informed that the ordinance has been amended since Ms. Shook made her first appllcation. He polnted out that the appllcant requested a varlance in 1987, and according to the present code, a speclal exception is needed, with no hardship finding required.

Protestants: None.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 910 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Industrial Districts - Use Unit 1209) to allow for a moblle home in an IM zoned district; finding that the moblle home has been at the present location for a perlod of one year, and has proved to be compatible with the nelghborhood; on the followlng descrlbed property:

All that part of the $S E / 4, S E / 4, S W / 4$ of Sectlon $20, T-20-N$, R-13-E, lylng south and east of the Atchlson, Topeka and Santa Fe Rallway Company right-of-way, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## ActIon Requested:

Special Exception - Section 410 - PrInclpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1205 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a church and related uses (Including parking) in an RS-3 zoned district.

Varlance - Section 1205.4 - Off-Street Parklng Requirements - Use Unlt 1205 - Request a varlance of the number of parking spaces from 140 and, if needed, a varlance to allow for parking on a lot other than the lot of princlpal use, located 1703 West 41st Street.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Phil Box, 4923 South Olympla, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submltted a plot plan (Exhlblt J-2) and requested permission to use the property in question for church and related uses. He Informed that Webster High School has agreed to allow overflow parking on their lot if additional parking spaces are needed. A letter (Exhlblt J-1) from Oklahoma Natural Gas Company was submltted.

## Coments and Questlons:

Ms'. Bradley asked Mr. Box to explain the related uses referred to in the appllcation, and he replled that one slingle famlly residence wlll be used for Sunday School purposes and the other will serve as a dwelling for a church missionary.

In response to Ms. Bradley's Inquiry as to the operation of other schools durling the week, Mr. Box replled that the church does not have a school.

Ms. White asked the appllcant if the church would be agreeable to the execution of a tle contract on all church propertles at thls location, and he answered in the affirmative.

There was discussion concerning a future bullding expansion, and Ms. Hubbard pointed out that the proposed expansion may not meet the Zonlng Code requirements and the appllcant may need further rellef at that time. She suggested that, if inclined to approve the appllcation, Board approval be made per plot plan submitted, and subject to the execution of a tle contract.

Protestants: None.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unlt 1205) to allow for a church and related uses (Including parking) In an RS-3 zoned district; and to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 1205.4 - Off-Street Parking Requirements - Use Unit 1205) of the number of parking

Case No. 15117 (contInued)
spaces from 140, and a Varlance to allow for parking on a lot other than the lot of princlpal use; per plot plan submitted; subject to the execution of a tle contract on all church property at thls locatlon; finding that the sanctuary area wlll not be Increased, and the church related uses in the two dwelling unlts will not have an adverse affect on the nelghborhood; on the following described property:

Lots 1-6, 25 and 26, Block 6, CIInton Homesites Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 15118

## ActIon Requested:

Varlance - Section 730 - Bulk and Area Requlrements for Commerclal Districts - Use Unit 1213 - Request a varlance of setback from the centerllne of Peorla Avenue from 50' to 40 ' to allow for an addition to the existing bullding, located 3301 South Peorla Avenue.

## Presentat Ion:

The applicant, Earl Hutchlng, 3430 south 132nd East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, Vice President of S \& J Oyster Company, submitted a plot plan (Exhlbit K-1) for a proposed outdoor patlo in front of the restaurant. He informed that a wrought Iron ralling wlll surround the seatling area, whlch wlll have tables covered with umbrellas. Mr. Hutching polnted out that the sidewalk slopes at thls location and wlll be elevated 9 Inches. Photographs (Exhlblt K-2) were submitted.

## Comments and Questlons:

Mr. Gardner asked If the eating area will be covered, and he replled that there will be no covering except the umbrellas. Mr. Gardner polnted out that thls application, if approved as is, would permit an addition to the existing building, and that the motion should clarlfy the actual Intent of the appllcant.

Ms. White Inquired as to the helght of the wrought Iron ralling, and Mr. Hutchlng replied that It wlll be 41 in helght.

Protestants: None.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 730 - Bulk and Area Requirements for Commercial Districts - Use Unit 1213) of setback from the centerline of Peorla Avenue from 50' to 401 , and to permit the erection of a 41 wrought Iron ralling along the front setback Ilne around an outside dinlng area; per plot plan; on the followling descrlbed property:

Lot 4, Block 1, Ollver's Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Ok lahoma.

## ActIon Requested:

Special Exception - Section 310 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Agrlculture Districts - Use Unit 1209 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a moblle home In an AG zoned distrlct, located south of the SW/c 41st Street and 177th East Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Bob Barnett, 4522 South 177th East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submltted photographs (Exhlblt L-1) and asked the Board to allow permanent installation of an exlsting moblle home. Mr. Barnett stated that he was before the Board In 1984 and recelved permission to leave the moblle home on the property for a perlod of three years. He Informed that he ralses horses on the property and a barn ls the only other structure on the tract.

Protestants: None.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclals. Exception (Section 310 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Agriculture Districts - Use Unit 1209) to allow for a moblle home in an $A G$ zoned district; finding that the moblle home has been at the present location for a three-year perlod and has proved to be compatible with the area; on the following described property:

The south 165' of the east 663', $S / 2, S / 2, S E / 4, N E / 4$, Section 26, T-19-N, R-14-E, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Ok lahoma.

## Case No. 15120

## ActIon Reguested:

Special Exception - Section 410 - Principal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1202 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a tent revival in an RM-2 zoned district, located SW/c of 1 st Street and Rosedale Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, J. R. Stratton, 24 South Rosedale, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is representing the Bowen Indlan Baptlst Church, and requested permission to conduct a tent revival from June 4, 1989 to June 9, 1989. A plot plan was submitted (Exhlblt M-1).

Ms. Bradley asked if a tent revival has prevlously been conducted on the premises, and Mr. Stratton replled that there has never been a tent revival on the property.

Case No. 15120 (contlnued)
Conments and Questlons:
In response to Ms. White's questlon concerning tlme of services, the applicant stated that the meetlng will begin at 7:15 p.m. and end at approximately 9:45 p.m.

Ms. Bradley asked if restroom facilltles are adequate, and the applicant answered in the afflirmative.

Mr. Gardner asked which direction the church is located from the tent site, and he replled that the church is north of the slte. He Informed that the tent is 401 by 901 , with parkIng provided on the side and front of the lot.

Mr. Smith Inquired as to arrangements for traffic control, and Mr. Stratton stated that church members wlll direct parklng.

Protestants: None.
Board ActIon:
On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal ${ }^{-}$Exceptlon (Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1202) to allow for a tent revival in an RM-2 zoned district; per plot plan (401 $\times 901$ tent); subject to days and hours for services belng June 4, 1989 to June 9, 1989, 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.; finding that the temporary use will not be detrimental to the nelghborhood; on the followling descrlbed property:

Lots 1 - 12, Block 15, Overlook Park Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15121

## ActIon Reguested:

Varlance - Section 730 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Commerclal Distrlcts - Use Unlt 1213 - Request a varlance of lot frontage from 150' to 145', $125^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{O l}^{\prime}$ to allow for a lot spllt In a CS zoned distrlct, located west of NW/c of 51st Street and Yale Avenue.

## Presentation:

The applicant, RIchard Monaghan, 11010 East 51st Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a plot plan (Exhlbit $\mathrm{N}-2$ ), and stated that the property in question is belng split Into four tracts, with two lots on 51st Street having frontages of 140' and 1251, and a third lot wIII have zero frontage on a dedicated street. The fourth tract is a 301 strlp which runs parallel to the west and northwest property llne, whlch may eventually become a dedlcated street. He Informed that TMAPC has approved the application (LS 17156), per conditions. A plat of survey (Exhlblt $\mathrm{N}-1$ ) was submitted.

Case No. 15121 (cont|nued)

## Coments and Questlons:

Mr. Gardner explalned that the TMAPC Increased the strip on the west and northwest property llne from 251 to 301 and tled It to the rear lot In order that thls lot would have access to the street. He Informed that the the future plan is to use the 301 handle and the adjolning 301 to make a 601 dedicated street to serve the property.

Ms. Bradley Inquired as to the use of the lots, and the applicant Informed that two commerclal bulldings will be constructed on the front lots, with no plans for the back tract at this time.

Protestants: None.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 730 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Commerclal Districts - Use Unit 1213) of lot frontage from 150' to 140', 1251 and 301 to allow for a lot split In a CS zoned district; per site plan submitted; and subject to all condltions Imposed by the TMAPC; flnding a hardshlp demonstrated by the large slze and Irregular shape of the tract, with limlted street frontage; on the following described property:

Lot 8, Interstate Central Extended AddItion, CIty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15122
ActIon Requested:
Varlance - Section 430 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1206 - Request a varlance of the required llvablllty space from 4000 sq ft to 2947 sq ft, located 835 South Knoxville Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appl Icant, Ed Eaves, 7623 South Winston, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is proposing to construct an addition to an exlsting home at the above stated location, which wlll reduce the llvabllity space to 2947 sq ft. He Informed that the addition will contaln approxImately 380 sq ft of floor space. A plot plan (Exhlblt P-1) was submitted.

## Comments and Questions:

Ms. Bradley Informed that she has vlewed the subject property, and the construction site ls not visible from the street. She polnted out that the majorlty of the houses in the nelghborhood have had similar additions, due to the narrow lots in the area.

Case No. 15122 (contlnued)

## Interested Partles:

Connle Ullman, a representative of All-Care Management Company, stated that this company owns the property at 823 South Knoxville. She informed that she was interested in the nature of the application and attended the meetling to determine why the livabllity space was belng reduced on the subject tract. Ms. Uliman Informed that, after hearlng the Intent of the appllcant, she is not opposed to the proposed construction.

## Protestants: None.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 430 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residential Districts - Use Unit 1206) of the required Ilvability space from 4000 sq ft to 2947 sq ft; per plot plan submitted; finding that the lots are narrow and that there are simlar additions to the back portion of numerous homes in the nelghborhood; on the followling described property:

Lot 9, Block 5, Braden Helghts Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 15123
ActIon Requested:
Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residential Districts - Use Unit 1206 - Request a varlance of the required livabllity space from 4000 sq ft to 3300 sq ft, located 252 East 28th Street.

## PresentatIon:

The applicant, Jack Arnold, 7318 South Yale, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is the current owner of the property in question. He requested permission to construct a house that wlll comply with all required setbacks, but is in need of a varlance of the required Ilvabllity space. Elevations (Exhlblt R-1), a plot plan (Exhlbit R-2) and photographs (Exhlbit R-3) were submitted.

## Coments and Questlons:

It was noted by Ms. White, that the lot In question was the side yard of the house to the west, and asked If the approval of thls appllcation would reduce the livabllity space for that structure.

Mr. Jackere explalned that the house to the west and the former side yard for that property are two separate lots, and the lot used for a side yard is not considered when determining livabllity space for the exlstling dwelling. He polnted out that very few of the houses In the older area comply with the livabllity space requirement.

Case No. 15123 (contlinued)
Mr. Arnold noted that he has vlewed archltectural plans of homes prevlously bullt in the area, and is attempting to construct a house that will be in character with the existing nelghborhood.

## Interested Partles:

David Ellson, 256 East 28th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he Is not a protestant in this case, but is concerned with the construction on an undersized lot. He informed that he has now revlewed the plans and is not opposed to the appllcation if there are no setback violations.

Mr. Smlth noted that the lot seems to be comparable to all other lots in the area.

Mr. Smlth asked Mr. Arnold If any brlck or stone, which might protrude outside the foundation, wlll encroach Into the 5' slde yard setback, and he replled that the entlre house will be contalned Inside the requlred setback IIne.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, White, "aye"; ne:"nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residential Districts - Use Unlt 1206) of the required Ilvability space from 4000 sq ft to 3300 sq ft; per plot plan and elevations submitted; finding that the lots in this older area do not meet the present bulk and area Code requlrements; and that the granting of the varlance request will not be detrimental to the area, or violate the spirlt and intent of the Code; on the following described property:

Lot 3, Block 21, Sunset Terrace Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## OTHER BUSINESS

Case No. 14870

## ActIon Reguested:

Amend minutes to add legal description.

## Comments and Questlons:

Mr. Taylor Informed that the legal description for Case No. 14870 was Inadvertently omitted from the July 7, 1988 minutes.

Case No. 14870 (continued)
Board Action:
On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE an amendment to the July 7, 1988 minutes in order to add the following legal description for Case No. 14870:

East 3', south 210', north 375' of Lot 5, and the west 206', south 210', north 375' of Lot 6, J. P. Harters AddItIon to the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

There belng no further business, the meetling was adjourned at 2:15 p.m.

Date Approved $S-4-84$


