CITY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
MINUTES of Meeting No. 528
Thursday, December 1, 1988, 1:00 p.m.
Francls F. Campbell Commission Room
Plaza Level of City Hall, Tulsa Civic Center

| MEMBERS PRESENT | MEMBERS ABSENT | STAFF PRESENT | OTHERS PRESENT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bradley | Quarles | Jones | Hubbard, Protective |
| Chappelle, | Moore |  |  |
| Chalirman |  |  |  |
| Shith |  |  |  |

The notice and agenda of sald meeting were posted In the Office of the Clty Auditor on Tuesday, November 29,1988, at 12:45 p.m., as well as in the Reception Area of the INCOG offices.

After declarling a quorum present, Chalrman Chappelle called the meetling to order at 1:05 p.m.

## MINTES:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smith, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE the Minutes of November 17, 1988.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

## Case No. 14410

Act Ion Reguested:
Varlance - Section 320.2(b) - Accessory Use Conditions - Use
Unit 1221 - Request a varlance to allow for a second Identification sign (existing) In an AG zoned district, located South Memorial at 108th Street South.

## Presentation:

The ajplicant, E. A. Schermerhorn, was represented by LIndsay Perkins, 2217 East Skelly Drive, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who submitted a sign plan (Exhlbit A-1) and photographs (Exhlblt A-2). Mr. Perkins Informed that there are two existing signs on the property, and that he is before the Board at this tlme because the owners devlated from the orlginal site plan In the placement of one sign. He polnted out that the business would be permitted four signs if the zoning on the subject tract was the same as the CS zonling across the street, and asked the Board to approve the two slgns at thelr present location.

Case No. 14410 (contInued)
Comments and Questions:
Ms. Bradley polnted out that it was her concern at the last meeting that the exact location of the slgns was not deslgnated on the slgn plan.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of MHITE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 320.2(b) - Accessory Use Conditions Use Unlt 1221) to allow for a second Identiflcation sign (exlsting) In an AG zoned district; per sign plan submitted; finding a hardship Imposed on the applicant by the mixed zonlng classifications in the area; and the fact that the slgns would be allowed by right if zonlng on the subject tract was the same as the CS zonlng on the property across the street; on the followlng descrlbed property:

A tract of land located In the NE/4, SE/4, Section 26, T-18-N, R-13-E of the Indlan Base and Merldian, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, according to the US Government Survey thereof, more partlcularly described as follows:

Commencing at the Intersection of the center IInes of Memorial Drive and 111 th Street South, this also belng the SE/c of sald Section; thence $N 0^{\circ} 00131^{\prime \prime} W$ 1655.091 along the existing centerllne of Memorlal Drive; thence S $88^{\circ} 47^{\prime \prime} 1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 115.001$ to a polnt on the west right-of-way Ilne of Memorlal Drive (US HIghway 64) belng the polnt of beglnnlng; thence S $88^{\circ} 477^{\prime \prime} 1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$
 $554.71^{\prime}$; thence $N 01^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 990.5^{\prime \prime}$; thence $N 88^{\circ} 47^{\prime \prime 1} 5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ 1215.021 to a polnt on the west right-of-way Ilne of Memorial Drive; thence $S 01^{\circ} 00131^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} \mathrm{307.731}$; thence $\mathrm{S} 04^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ 100.50'; thence $S$ 0100131" E 247.38' to the polnt of Beginning, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14975

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Resldential Distrlcts - Use Unit 1206 - Request a varlance of front yard setback from $25^{\prime}$ to $1^{\prime}$ to allow for a carport, located 5728 East 18th Street.

## Presentation:

The applicant, David Lamie, was not present.

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Jones stated that, due to Mr. Lammle's absence at the prevlous meeting, Staff requested by letter that the applicant be represented at this hearling. In Mr. Lamme's reply (Exhlbit B-1) he informed Staff that the case was presented at a previous meeting and that the Board has sufflclent Information to act on the application without hls maklng another personal appearance.

Case No. 14975 (contInued)
After discussion, It was the general consensus of the Board that, although there are numerous carports in the area, there are none located within 1 ' of the property IIne.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradey, Chappelle, Smith, Whlte "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to DENY a Varlance (Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Districts - Use Unlt 1206) of front yard setback from 251 to $1^{\prime \prime}$ to allow for a carport; flnding that that there are no carports in the area that are located within 1 l of the property Ilne; and that the granting of the varlance request would violate the splrit and Intent of the Code and the Comprehensive Plan; on the followlng descrlbed property:

Lot 2, Block 6, Gleason VIllage Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## MINOR VARIANCES AND EXCEPTIONS

Case No. 14993

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residential Distrlcts - Use Unit 1206 - Request a mlnor varlance of lot width from 751 to 651 to allow for a lot spllt, located NE/c of 22nd Place and South Atlanta Place.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, James Mahoney, 3134 East 26th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, explalned that the property in question contalns three 501 lots and wlll be spllt to create two lots, one 851 wide and one 651 wide. It was noted that the lots In the area range from 601 to 751 In width.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradey, Chappelle, Smlth, White "ayen; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1206) of lot width from 751 to 651 to allow for a lot spllt; flnding that there are other lots in the area that are more narrow than the lot In question; and that the granting of the varlance request will not be detrimental to the nelghborhood; on the followlng descrlbed property:

Lots 62, 63 and 64, Block 1, Harters Fourth Resubdivision, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 14998

## Act Ion Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Distrlcts - Use Unlt 1206 - Request a mlnor varlance of front yard setback from 251 to 22.91 to allow for an exlstling porch, located 10615 East 100th Street South.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Bruce Straub, 2431 East 61st Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a plat of survey (Exhlblt $X-1$ ) and stated that the exlsting porch extends across the bullding Ilne 2.1'. He Informed that the property is for sale and this action is to clear the title.

## Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smith, White "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Dlstricts - Use Unit 1206 - Request a minor varlance of front yard setback from 25 ' to 22.91 to allow for an existing porch; per survey submitted; on the followling descrlbed property:

Lot 11, Block 4, Cedar Ridge Helghts Additlon, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## NEM APPL ICATIONS

Case No. 14991

## Action Requested:

Speclal Exception - Section 410 - PrInclpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1209 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a moblle home In an RS-3 zoned district.

Varlance - Section 440 - Speclal Exception RequIrements - Use Unit 1209 - Request a varlance of the time regulation from one year to flve years, located 2810 Gllcrease Museum Road.

Presentation:
The appllcant, Fred Peeples, 1639 North Boston, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is planning to Install a moblle home on 10 acres of his property at the above stated location. He Informed that the moblle home wlll be a temporary residence untll a house is constructed on the site.

## Conments and Questions:

Ms. Hubbard asked Mr. Jones If the property has frontage on a dedicated right-of-way, and he replled that the road is dedicated, but may not be Improved.

Case No. 14991 (contlnued)
Mr. Smith asked the appllcant if a percolation test has been completed, and Mr. Peeples answered In the afflrmative. He stated that Clty water is not avallable and a well wlll be drilled on the property.

Mr. Smlth asked the appllcant If he plans to locate other moblle homes on the property, and he replled that there wlll be no other moblles on the tract.

Ms. Bradley. Inquired as to the date construction will begin on the house, and Mr. Peeples replled that he ls not sure, but construction will begin some time durling the flve-year perlod.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradey, Chappelle, Smlth, White "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Special Exception (Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unlt 1209) to allow for a moblle home In an RS-3 zoned distrlct; and to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 440 - Speclal Exception Requirements - Use UnIt 1209) of the time regulation from one year to flve years; subject to Health Department approval and Bullding Permit; finding that the granting of the request wlll not be detrimental to the area; on the following descrlbed property:

A tract of land In the $N E / 4, N E / 4, S E / 4$, Section 21, $T-20-N$, R-12-E of the IBM, Osage County, Oklahoma, belng more partlcularly described as follows: Beginnlng at the NE/c of sald $N E / 4$, $N E / 4$, $S E / 4$, thence $S 7^{\circ} 46151^{\prime \prime} W$ a distance of 645.99', thence $S 0^{\circ} 12131$ n a distance of 658.42', thence N 8748'05" E a distance of 645.44', thence N $0^{\circ} 10^{\prime \prime} 44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ a distance of 658.66' to the Polnt of Beginning, contalning 9.757 acres, more or less. Subject to a 101 road easement along the south Ilne of property descrlbed above.

AND
The NW/4, NE/4, SE/4, Section 21, T-20-N, R-12-E of the $I B M_{0}$ Osage County, State of Oklahoma, according to the US Government Survey thereof, belng more partlcularly descrlbed as follows: Beginning at the $\mathrm{NE} / \mathrm{c}$ of sald $\mathrm{NW} / 4, \mathrm{NE} / 4, \mathrm{SE} / 4$; thence S 8746'51" W a distance of 645.98'; thence S 0014112" E a distance of 658.17'; thence $N$ 87048'05" E a dlstance of 645.65'; thence N $0^{\circ} 12131^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ a distance of 658.42' to the Polnt of Beginnling, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa, County, Oklahoma.

## ActIon Requested:

Varlance - Sectlon 1221 - Use conditlons for Buslness SIgns - Use Unit 1221 - Request a varlance to allow for an existing off-site directlonal slgn, located NW/c 103rd East Avenue and 47th Place.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Wayne Wright, 4748 South 101 st East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, requested by letter (Exhlblt C-1) that Case No. 14994 be contlnued to allow additional tlme for preparation.

Protestants: None.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, White "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to CONTINUE Case No. 14994 untll December 15, 1988, as requested by the applicant.

Case No. 14995
Action Reguested:
Speclal Exception - Section 710 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Commerclal Distrlcts - Use Unlt 1215 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a Use Unit 15 (trade school) In a CS zoned district, located NE/c 31 st Street and South SherIdan.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Paul Baker, Jr., 4815 South Harvard, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that the requested use is allowed by right on part of the property in question, and asked the Board to approve the use on the remalning portion.

## Conments and Questions:

Mr. Chappelle advised that Staff has recelved a letter (Exhlblt D-1) from Terry Wilson, District 5 Plannlng Team Chalrman, requesting a contlnuance of this case untll early 1989, and asked the applicant If he has met with the homeowners in the area to dlscuss the trade school.

Mr. Baker replled that he has spoken with a representative of the Whitney Homeowner's Assoclation concerning the project.

Mr. Chappelle Informed Mr. Baker that It Is customary for the Board to grant one contlnuance to elther the appllcant or the protestant If requested.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, White "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to CONTINUE Case No. 14995 to December 15, 1988, as requested by Terry WIIson, Dlstrict 5 Plannlng Team Chalrman.

Case No. 14996

## Action Requested:

Special Exception - Section 710 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Commerclal Districts - Use Unlt 1223 and 1209 - Request a special exception to allow for warehouse uses, outdoor storage of motor vehicles and for a moblle home (securlty purposes) in a CG zoned district.

Varlance - Section 1340(d) - Design Standards for Off-Street Parking Areas - Use Unlt 1223 - Request a varlance to allow for existing gravel parkIng, located 13003 East Admiral Place.

## Presentation:

The applicant, John Tlmmons, Tlmmons Oll Company, 3245 East 28th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who submltted a location map and plot plan (Exhlbit E-1), requested permission to locate a warehouse and a moblle home on the subject property. He explalned that the warehouse wlll be used for storage of motor olls and lubricants, and the moblle home will provide a residence for a full-time securlty guard. Mr. Timmons explalned that a vehicle storage lot will be located on the back portion of the 9 -acre graveled tract. It was noted that the parking area in front of the office is constructed of hard-surface materlal.

## Comments and Questlons:

Ms. White Inquired as to the number of vehlcles stored on the property, and the appllcant replled that he does not plan to begin the storage business for approximately two years, but could store as many as 300 vehicles. Mr. Timmons stated that the project will not be fully developed for about 10 years.

Ms. Bradley asked Mr. Jones If a varlance of the requirement for all-weather surface on this property was granted in 1986, and Mr. Jones stated that there is some question as to whether or not the varlance was ever utllized.

Mr. Timmons Informed that the approved flea market, with gravel parking, has been in operation at this location slnce the Board of Adjustment hearlng in 1986.

## Protestants:

Brlan Sampson, 29 North 129th East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he llves to the west of the property in question and the gravel parking lot for the flea market has created a dust problem in the past. He suggested that the parklng lot be paved to allevlate thls problem.

Ms. White asked Mr. Sampson if he is opposed to the location of the moblle home on the property, and he replled that he is not opposed to the moblle, but is opposed to the gravel parking.

Mr. Timmons informed that there will be a minimal amount of traffic at this time, and a hard surface will be added as the business becomes larger.

Carl Sampson, 27 North 129th East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he had not previously spoken with the applicant concerning the proposed use. After a brlef discussion with Mr. Timmons outside the commission room, Mr. Sampson Informed the Board that he does not oppose the application. Mr. Timmons stated that he explalned to Mr. Sampson that all entrance driveways will be hard surface and a fence will be Installed to prevent unnecessary entry of vehicles Into the storage area. He stated that Mr. Sampson was concerned that Increased trafflc might cause the nelghborhood to experlence a dust problem.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 3-0-1 (Bradley, Chappelle, White "aye"; no "nays"; Smlth, "abstalnlng"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 710 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Commerclal Districts - Use Unit 1223 and 1209) to allow for warehouse uses, outdoor storage of motor vehicles and for a moblle home (securlty purposes) In a CG zoned district; and to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 1340(d) - Design Standards for Off-Street Parking Areas - Use Unlt 1223) to allow for exlsting gravel parking; per plot plan submitted; finding a hardshlp demonstrated by the large slize of the lot, mixed zoning classlflcatlons and the fact that the tract is bounded on two sides by expressways; on the following described property:

A tract of land located In Lots 3, and 4, Section 4, T-19-N, R-14-E, of the Indlan Base and Merldian, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, according to the US Government Survey thereof, more partlcularly descrlbed as follows, towlt:

Beginning at a point 751 north and $572.41^{\prime}$ east of the SW/C of sald Lot 4; thence east and parallel to the south llne of sald Lot 4, a distance of 2501 to the northwesterly right-of-way Ilne of US 66 Bypass, thence $N$ 69³7'42" $E$ along sald right-of-way IIne a distance of 334.13'; thence northeasterly along sald right-of-way llne and along a curve to the right with a radius of 1969.83' a distance of 499.95'; thence N $74^{\circ} 24$ '53" $E$ along sald right-of-way Ilne a distance of 81.23'; thence N 5309'23' W a distance of 486.071 to a polnt on the north llne of sald Sectlon 4; sald polnt belng 1364' west of the $\mathrm{NE} / \mathrm{c}$ of sald Lot 3; thence west along the north Ilne of sald Section 4 a distance of $529.50^{\prime}$; more or less, to a polnt that is 772.411 east of the NW/c of sald Section 4; thence south a distance of 200'; thence west a distance of 200'; thence south a distance of 411.71 to the Point of Beginning, and that parcel of land beginning at a polnt 672.41' east of the NW/c of Lot 4, Section 4, T-19-N, R-14-E, thence south 2001 to a polnt; thence east 100' to a polnt; thence

Case No. 14996 (contlnued)
north 2001 to a polnt; thence west 1001 to the Polnt of Beginning; LESS AND EXCEPT the west 143.691 of the south 411.71 of sald tract, and LESS AND EXCEPT the west 43.691 of the north 2001 of sald tract, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 14997

## Action Requested:

Special Exception - Section 410 - Principal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1205 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a day care center in an RS-2 zoned distrlct, located 109 East 38th Place.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Dee Barcus, 2652 South Trenton, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a location map (Exhlbit F-1) depicting the existing and proposed day care centers, and explalned that the house in question Is presently belng used for offlces and to care for school age chlldren before and after school. He Informed that the two existing day care centers have been used for day care purposes for approximately 30 years.

## Comments and Questlons:

Ms. Bradley asked the appllcant If he ls proposing to tle the two houses together, and he replled that the yards have a common gate, but the houses will not be tled.

In response to Ms. Bradley's question, the appllcant Informed that he has owned the house to the north for approximately nlne years, but the house to the west is rented.

Ms. White Inquired as to the days and hours of operation, and Mr. Barcus replied that the day care wlll operate from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, and each house can accommodate 24 chlldren.

In response to Ms. Bradley's Inquiry as to the schedule for arrival and plck-up of the chlldren, Ms. Barcus stated that the chlldren arrive and are plcked up at varlous times during the morning and afternoon.

Ms. Bradley asked Ms. Barcus If employee parklng is provided, and she replled that they are Instructed to park on the street, but only In front of her property.

Ms. White asked If there is avallable space to provide off-street parking for the employees, and Ms. Barcus stated that the lots are narrow and no space is avallable, but that parklng has not been a problem over the nlne years they have been operatlng the day care centers. She polnted out that all of the elght employees do not drive thelr cars to work, and noted that only one addltlonal teacher wlll be added for the new bullding.

Case No. 14997 (contInued)
Ms. Hubbard explalned that it is possible that the appllcant will not have sufficlent livablilty space on the lot if a portion is paved for off-street parklng.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-1 (Chappelle, White, Smith, "aye"; Bradley, "nay"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unlt 1205) to allow for a day care center In an RS-2 zoned district; finding that, although the day care operation wlll be Increased by one-third, only one additional employee will be added to the staff; and finding that the day care business has been in the area for a number of years and has proved to be compatible with the nelghborhood; on the followling descrlbed property:

Lot 11, Block 1, MIchael Jane AddItlon, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14999

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Distrlcts - Use Unlt 1206 - Requests a varlance of rear yard setback from 201 to $10^{\prime}$ to allow for an addition to an exlsting dwelling, located 3313 South Urbana Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Robert Plland, 3313 South Urbana, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who submitted a slte plan and elevations (Exhlbit G-2), explalned that it has become necessary to add an additlonal bedroom to the existing residence. He informed that the addition will extend 23' 6" to the east and will be 111 from the property IIne. It was noted that two-story condominlums are located on the tract to the east and are within 10' of the lot Ilne. A plat of survey (Exhlbit G-1) was submitted.

Protestants: None.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, White "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1206) of rear yard setback from 20' to 101 to allow for an addtion to an exlstling dwelling; per site plan submitted; finding a hardshlp demonstrated by the irregular shape of the lot; finding that the property in question is abutted by RS-3 zonlng to the east, with condominlums located 11' from the property Ilne; and finding that the granting of the varlance request wlll not cause substantlal detriment to the nelghborhood or violate the spirlt and Intent of the Code or the Comprehensive Plan; on the following descrlbed property:

Case No. 14999 (contlnued)
Lot 6, Block 1, Conway Park III Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 15000

## ActIon Reguested:

Varlance - Section 930 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Industrial Distrlcts - Use Unlt 1225 - Request a varlance of setback from the property Ilne on Marshall Street from 251 to 01 and from the rear property llne (RM-1 zonlng) from 751 to 01.

Varlance - Section 1225.3 - Use Conditions - Use Unit 1225 Requests a varlance of the screening requirements on rear property IIne, located 1535 East Marshall Street.

## Comments and Questlons:

Mr. Jones stated that the appllcant may need addItlonal rellef if the varlance requests are approved.

Ms. Hubbard informed that the additional rellef required is a varlance of parklng spaces from 14 to 12, and of loading berths from 1 to 0.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Duane Phillips, 8128 East 63rd Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submltted a slte plan (Exhlblt $\mathrm{H}-2$ ) and location map (Exhlbit H-1), and stated that the new construction will allgn with the existing bullding to the west. Mr. Phillips Informed that his cllent operates a machlne shop and is in need of additional storage space for raw materlals, which would reduce the usual walting perlod for supplles to arrlve for each Job. He stated that several Jobs have been canceled because the materlal was not readlly avallable.

## Additlonal Comments:

Mr. Smith Inquired as to the maxImum number of employees for the buslness, and the appllcant replled that there are approximately 15.

Ms. Bradley asked If the proposed bullding is to the west of the existing bullding, and Mr. Phllilps answered In the afflrmative.

In response to Mr. Chappelle's Inquiry, the appllcant Informed that a residence is located to the east, and Industrlal Hard Chrome, a chrome manufacturing business, is in operation to the west.

## Interested Partles:

RIchard Gonzales, 1210 North St. Louls, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that his property abutts the property in question and volced a concerned as to the location of the new construction. After an explanation of the plans, Mr. Gonzales stated that he ls not opposed to the appllcation.

Betty Butler, 1227 North St. Louls, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that she does not oppose the expansion, but requested the Installation of a fence along the boundary llne. She polnted out that the operation of the compressors to the east of the bullding is nolsy and debris from the business clutters the surrounding propertles.

Case No. 15000 (continued)
Mr. Smlth asked if the compressors run 24 hours a day, and Ms. Butler answered In the affirmative.

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Phillips stated that he will withdraw the varlance of screening requirements if the nelghbors request a fence, and Informed the Board that negotlatlons are under way to purchase property to the north for parking.

Ms. Hubbard polnted out that the property to the north will require rezoning to allow parking.

Mr. Jones Informed that the Zonlng Code states that a speclal exception can be obtalned for off-street parking on property abutting Industrial zoned property, however, a publlc hearling has been called before the Planning Commission to delete that provision.

Due to the fact that there was a question as to the actual location of the bullding in relationshlp to the north boundary line, and as to whether or not the bullding could serve as partlal screening, it was the general consensus of the Board that the case should be continued until December 15, 1988. Mr. Smith requested that the appllcant supply a site plan with proper measurements at that time.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smith, White "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to CONTINUE Case No. 15000 to December 15, 1988, to allow the appllcant sufficlent time to revise the site plan and to apply for addltlonal parking rellef.

Case No. 15001
Action Requested:
Special Exception - Section 410 - Principal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1205 - Request a speclal exception to allow for an existing recreation center and day care center In an RS-3 zoned district, located SW/c of 51st Street North and North Frankfort Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Mark Rounds, was represented by Randy Nicholson, Supervisor of Planning Division, Park and Recreation Department, City of Tulsa. He submitted a plot plan (Exhlbit J-1) and requested permission for the operation of a day care program In the communlty center at the above stated location. He Informed that the community center was constructed In 1963. It was noted that the center will open for day care only between the hours of 7:30 a.m. and 3:30 p.m., with the publlc hours belng from 3:30 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. durling the week days, and all day on Saturday.

Mr. Chappelle asked If this use is In conjunction with the City's park program, and Mr. Nicholson answered in the affirmative. Mr. Chappelle Informed that he wlll abstaln to avold a conflict of Interest.

Case No. 15001 (contlnued)
Ms. Bradley Inquired If additional construction wlll take place on the property, and Mr. Nicholson replled that no new construction is planned for the 10,000 sq. ft. bullding.

Ms. Bradley asked If the same use wlll contlnue durling the summer months, and Mr. Nicholson stated that the day care operation is only planned for those perlods when school is in sesslon, and will be avallable for public use during the summer.

## Protestants:

NIneta Smlth, 284 East 51st Place North, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that she has operated a day care center north of Chamberlaln Park for approximately 10 years and expressed a concern that the new day care use wlll negatively affect her business. She Informed that there are also numerous day care homes operating in the near vicinity of the proposed day care center.

Mr. Smith asked If the Clty will charge for the day care services, and Mr. Nicholson stated that there wlll be a fee, but the Task Force study found that the need was to provide quallty and economical day care. He informed that a total of 20 chlldren will be served at this facllity.

Mr. Jones noted that INCOG records do not reflect that a day care was approved for thls area, and asked Ms. Smlth If she flled an appllcation when she began operatlon 10 years ago.

Ms. Smlth advised that she did file an appllcation for her day care business.

Ms. Whlte remarked that thls area should be able to support two small day care centers.

Mr. Smlth and Ms. Bradley volced a concern with government agencles competing with private enterprlse, and Mr. Nicholson stated that usually the Park Board does not become Involved In supplying a need unless that need is not belng supplled by private enterprise.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-1 (Bradey, Smlth, White "aye"; no "nays"; Chappelle, "abstalning"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Special Exception (Section 410 - Principal Uses Permitted In Residential Districts - Use Unit 1205) to allow for an existing recreation center and day care center In an RS-3 zoned district; subject to a maximum of 20 chlldren; flnding that the day care center and the recreation center wlll operate at different hours during the day, and that the proposed buslness will be compatible with the area; on the following described property:

Blocks 1, 2 and 6, Falrhlll 2nd Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 15002

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 930 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Industrlal Distrlcts - Use Unit 1223/25 - Request a varlance of setback from the west property llne (RS-3 District) from 75' to 31' to allow for a bullding, located 12716 East Plne.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Precise Machining, was represented by Steve Mosher, 14616 East 12th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he owns a five-acre tract on Pine and asked the Board to allow the construction of an additional bullding on the west portion of the property. He informed that there are presently two existing bulldings, with a total of $15,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of floor space, and the new bullding, which wlll allgn with the exlsting structures, will provide 5,000 sq. ft. of storage area. A brochure (Exhlblt K-1), locatlon map (Exhlbit K-2) and site plan (Exhlblt K-3) were submitted.

## Comments and Questions:

In response to Ms. White's Inquiry as to the type of storage, Mr. Mosher Informed that he needs addltional space for storage of materlals used in his business. He Informed that Rockwell and McDonnell Douglas supply a lot of materlals for hls buslness and additional storage space is required.

Ms. Bradley asked if the proposed bullding will be simllar to the existing structures, and Mr. Mosher answered In the affirmative.

Protestants: None.
Board ActIon:
On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 3-0-1 (Bradley, Chappelle, White "aye"; no "nays"; Smith, "abstalning"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 930 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Industrial Districts - Use Unit 1223/25) of setback from the west property Ilne (RS-3 District) from 75' to 311 to allow for a bullding; per plot plan submitted; finding that there are two slmilar bulldings existing on the tract and the granting of the request will not be detrimental to the area; on the following described property:

The W/2, NW/4, NE/4, NE/4, Section 32, T-20-N, R-14-E, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## OTHER BUSINESS

## Case No. 15012

## Action Reguested:

The appllcant, David Stevens, requested a refund of flllng fees.
Comments and Questions:
Mr. Jones informed that the appllcation was withdrawn prior to processing, and suggested that the entire flling fee, in the amount of $\$ 125.00$, be refunded to Mr . Stevens.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a refund of flling fees in the amount of $\$ 125.00$; finding that the application was withdrawn prior to processing.

There belng no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 2:43 p.m.


