# CITY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT <br> MINUTES of Meeting No. 523 <br> Thursday, September 15, 1988, 1:00 p.m. <br> Francls F. Campbell Commission Room <br> Plaza Level of City Hall, Tulsa Civic Center 

| MEMBERS PRESENT | MEMBERS ABSENT | STAFF PRESENT | OTHERS PRESENT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Bradley | Quarles | Gardner | Jackere, Legal |
| Chappelle,Chalrman  <br> Smith Taylor Mepartment |  |  |  |
| White |  |  | Hubbard, Protectlive |
| Inspectlons |  |  |  |

The notice and agenda of sald meeting were posted in the Office of the Clty Auditor on Tuesday, September 13, 1988, at 11:30 a.m., as well as In the Reception Area of the INCOG offices.

After declarlng a quorum present, Chalrman Chappelle called the meetlng to order at 1:07 p.m.

## MINUTES:

## ClarIfication of MInutes Case No. 14777

Mr. Gardner polnted out that the buyers of the property included In BOA Case No. 14777 were not clear on the Board's motion regarding any future expansion to the existing bullding. The minutes dated April 7, 1988 state, "subject to no expansion of the bullding". This ltem just surfaced because of the real estate closing set for today and, therefore, is "new business" and could not have been forseen to have been a posted Item. Mr. Gardner stated that the Tulsa Board of Adjustment needs to clarlfy today that thelr approval actlon for Case No. 14777 Included a restriction agalnst expanding for commerclal purposes any part of the exlsting bullding zoned OL (office low intensity). Thls Board action did not restrict expanding for commerclal purposes that portion of the existing bullding zoned CS (commerclal shopping), or expanding for office purposes that portion of the exlsting bullding zoned OL; provided that all other requirements of the Tulsa Bullding Code and Tulsa Zoning Code are met.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to CLARIFY the motion for approval of the Aprll 7, 1988 Minutes, Case No. 14777, to read: "subject to no expanslon of that portion of the existing bullding zoned OL for commerclal purposes, and subject to all future construction belng in compllance with Tulsa Bullding Code and Tulsa Zoning Code requirements".

## Discussion of Case No. 14929

Tom Revells, 1344 South Gary Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he was a protestant in Case No. 14929, which was heard at the September 1, 1988 meeting. He polnted out that the nelghborhood was lead to belleve that the $F$ \& $M$ Bank could bulld the drive-in facillty as a matter of right and the only question that was before the Board was the request to move it within 10' of the street.

Mr. Gardner polnted out that the bank was required to have permission from the Board (Speclal Exception) to construct the drive-In banklng facllity in the OL zoned portion of the property, as well as the varlance of the setback requested.

Mr. Revells stated that there was some type of deception on the part of the bank.

Mr. Jackere polnted out that, although the nelghborhood may have had the wrong information concerning the case, the Board was presented with correct Information at the hearing.

On MOTION of MHITE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE the MInutes of September 1, 1988.

## UNF INISHED BUSINESS

Case No. 14889

## ActIon Requested:

Special Exception - Section 320 - Accessory Uses In Agriculture Districts - Use Unit 1211 - Requests a speclal exception to allow an antlque/artist's studio as a home occupation in an AG zoned district, located 6016 East 101 st Street.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Phyllls Lynn, 6016 East 101st Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who submitted photographs (Exhlblt A-1), explalned that she is an artlist, interlor designer and writer, and would like to work from her home. Ms. Lynn stated that occasionally she sells a few pleces of antlque furnlture to dealers, but does not have customers in her home. It was noted by the appllcant that there is sufficlent space for parking on the property.

## Conments and Questlons:

Ms. White asked the appllcant how often dealers will visit her home, and Ms. Lynn replled that they will only come to her home when she calls them. She stated that there wlll probably be no more than three or four cllents each day.

Case No. 14889 (contlnued)
Ms. Bradley asked where the antlques will be stored, and the appllcant replled that she has only a few Items throughout her house and does not need storage space.

In response to Ms. Whites question concerning classes, the applicant replled that she has taught in the public school systems in the past, but no longer teaches and will not have classes in her home.

Mr. Smith Inquired as to the method used for advising the public that antlques are for sale, and the appllcant replled that she is well know in the area and gets her cllents through referrals.

Mr. Chappelle Inquired as to the number of antiques in the home, and the appllcant replled that there are approximately 10 pleces of antlque furniture displayed in the house.

Ms. Bradley asked the appllcant if she is famllar with the Home Occupation Guldelines, and Ms. Lynn answered in the affirmative.

Ms. White asked If the Interlor design business will be conducted on the property, and the appllcant stated that she could get a cllent In this fleld, but only through referrals. Ms. Lynn informed that she is renting the house and the owner is in agreement with the home business she ls proposing to operate.

## Protestants:

Tony Stevens, representative of the Steeplechase Homeowner's Assoclation, submitted a petition and letter of opposition (Exhlbit A-2), and asked the Board to deny the appllcation. He stated that it was the understanding of the homeowners in the area that commercial development would be restricted to intersections, and pointed out that the subject property is served by a dirt road and is not sultable for a business location.

## Appllcant's Rebuttal:

Ms. Lynn stated that it is not her intent to have a commerclal use move into the area, but would llke permission to do her palnting and occasionally sell to antlque dealers. She polnted out that the qulet nature of the area is the reason for moving to this location, and that she has no Intention of operating a large business.

## Additlonal Conments:

Mr. Chappelle asked Mr. Stevens the location of his property, and he explalned that he lives in the subdivision to the south of the subject tract, which is accessed by a 4001 driveway from 101 st Street.

Ms. Whlte stated that the application Includes two acres of land, and the home occupation has the potentlal of growing Into a large business.

Case No. 14889 (contInued)

## Board Action:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to DENY a Special Exception (Section 320 - Accessory Uses In Agriculture Districts - Use Unit 1211) to allow an antlque/artlst's studio as a home occupation in an AG zoned district; finding that the home occupation, as presented, is not compatible with the area and violates the spirit and Intent of the Code and the Comprehensive Plan; on the followling described property:

A part of the $E / 2, E / 2, N W / 4, N E / 4$ of Section $27, T-18-N$, R-13-E of the Indlan Base and Meridlan, Tulsa county, Oklahoma, more particularly described as follows: Beginning at the NE/c of sald $E / 2, E / 2, N W / 4, N E / 4$ of Section 27, thence west 1401, thence south 622'; thence east 140'; thence north 622I to the Polnt of Beginning, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, contalning two acres more or less, according to the US Geologlcal Survey thereof, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14486

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 1221.4 - CS District Use Conditions for Business SIgns - Use Unlt 1221 - Request a varlance of the size of wall and canopy signs, located 3727 South Memorlal Drive.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Steve WIlllams, was not present.

## Conments and Questions:

Mr. Chappelle informed that a letter (Exhlbit B-1) requesting a contlnuance, due to the pending revision of the Code concerning canopy slgns, was recelved from the appllcant.

It was noted by Ms. Bradley, that this appllcation has been continued several times, and after discussion with legal counsel, it was the general consensus of the Board that another continuance would not be in order.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to STRIKE Case No. 14486.

Action Requested:
Appeal - Section 1650 - Appeals from the Bullding Inspector - Use Unit 1221 - Appeal Bullding Inspector's decision to deny a sign permit application on the grounds of sign surface footage.

Interpretation - Section 1660 - Interpretation - Use Unit 1221 Request Interpretation of the term "non-lllumlnated background" as It appears In the term "dlsplay surface area", located 3727 South Memorlal Drive.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, MIchael Hackett, 1443 South Norfolk Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, requested by letter (Exhlbit B-1) that Case No. 14575 be continued untll February of 1989. He stated that the sign ordinance changes are pending and it wlll not be known whether the proposed regulations wlll resolve thls lssue untll they are finalized.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to CONTINUE Case No. 14575 to October 6, 1988, and directed Staff to notify the appllcant that the case wlll be heard at that time.

Case No. 14926

## ActIon Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residential Districts - Use Unit 1206 - Request a varlance of front setback from 251 to 131 and slde yard setback from 51 to 21 to allow for a carport, located 624 East 54th Place North.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Willle McHenry, 6439 North Wheellng Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that the carport in question is partially constructed, and the application was contlinued from the last meeting to allow the Board to view the property.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1206) of front setback from 251 to 131 and side yard setback from 51 to 21 to allow for a carport; finding that there are other carports in the area and that the granting of the request will not be detrimental to the nelghborhood; on the followlng described property:

Lot 3, Block 55, Valley Vlew Acres lll Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Action Requested:

Speclal Exception - Section 910 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Industrial Districts - Use Unlt 1213 - Request a speclal exception to allow a retall (seafood) market in an IL zoned district, located 14 West Brady.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, John Laur, 1716 South Phoenlx, Sulte 102, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a plot plan (Exhlbit C-1), and stated that he is the archltect for the owner of the subject property. He Informed that a farmers market has been operating at the above stated location for approximately four months, but is now in need of Board approval since Bodine seafood has been added to the sales IIne. A parklng layout (Exhlblt $\mathbf{C - 2 )}$ and location map (Exhlblt C-3) were submitted.

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Smith Inquired as to the hours of operation for the business, and Mr. Laur stated that the business is now operating from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Thursday, Friday and Saturday.

## Board Action:

> On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, SmIth, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (SectIon 910 - PrInclpal Uses Permitted In Industrial DIstricts- Use Unit 1213) to allow a retall (seafood) market In an IL zoned district; finding that the market Is already In operation and that seafood wIII merely be added to the Items offered for sale; and finding the market has been In operation for several months and has proved to be compatible with the area; on the following described property:

Lot 6 and the W/2 of Lot 7, Block 40, Original Town of Tulsa Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## MINOR VARIANCES AND EXCEPTIONS

Case No. 14935

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Distrlcts - Use Unit 1206 - Request a mlnor varlance of setback from the centerllne of Blrmingham Avenue from $50^{\prime}$ to 451 to allow for an addition to an exlsting dwelling, located 2402 North Birmingham Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Llsa Warford, 2402 North Birmingham Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, was not present.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to CONTINUE Case No. 14935 to October 6, 1988.

## NEW APPLICATIONS

## Case No. 14931

## Presentation:

Special Exception - Section 910 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Industrial Districts - Use Unit 1205 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a church and related uses in an IL zoned district, located NE/c 101st East Avenue and 50th Place.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Sam M. Lewls, 6336 South 103rd East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a plot plan (Exhlblt D-1), and stated that he is representing the Alsuma Hollness Church. He explalned that the church was formerly located on MIngo and that bullding was purchased by the Clty to allow the widenling of the street.

## Comments and Questlons:

Ms. White asked if there is a church dlagonally across from the proposed site, and the appllcant replled that there is a bullding at that location, but it is no longer a church.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 910 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Industrial Districts - Use Unit 1205) to allow for a church and related uses in an IL zoned district; per plot plan submitted; finding that the church use will be compatible with the area and will not violate the spirlt and Intent of the Code; on the following described property:

Lot 13 - 18, Block 49, Alsuma Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 1221.4(a) - Use Conditions for Business Signs Use Unit 1221 - Request a varlance of the helght restriction from 501 to 601 to allow for a business sign In a CS District, located 11710 East 11th Street.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Cralg Neon SIgn Company, was represented by Ray Toraby, 1889 North 105 East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who submitted a sign plan (Exhlblt E-1) and requested that hls cllent, Taco Bueno, be permitted to ralse an existing sign to a helght of 60'. He submitted photographs (Exhlbit E-2) which supported his explanation that the present sign is blocked by bulldings and trees and is not visible to motorlsts travelling south on the expressway. Mr. Toraby polnted out that the sign and the base will remaln the same, with only an extension of 101 beling added.

## Conments and Questlons:

Mr. Gardner polnted out that the expressway is elevated at 11 th Street and the Code stipulates that under these clrcumstances an outdoor advertising sign is not to exceed 601 In helght. If an outdoor advertlsing sign could be ralsed to 601 next to an elevated expressway, the business sign would be smaller and more in harmony than the other type.

## Protestants: None.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smith, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 1221.4(a) - Use Conditions for Business Signs - Use Unit 1221) of the helght restriction from 501 to 601 to allow for an existling business sign In a CS District; per sign plan submitted; flnding that the expressway is elevated at 11th Street and the sign is partlally blocked by surrounding bulidings and trees; and finding that a 601 outdoor advertising sign would be allowed by right at this location, due to the elevation of the expressway; on the followling described property:

A tract of land situated in the $N / 2, W / 2, N E / 4, N W / 4$ and the N/2, $\mathrm{E} / 2, \mathrm{NW} / 4$, NW/4 of Section $8, \mathrm{~T}-19-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{R}-14-\mathrm{E}$ of the Indlan Base and Merldian, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, according to the Unlted States Government Survey thereof, belng more particularly descrlbed as follows, towlt:

Beginning at a polnt 801 south and 284.87 ' west of the $\mathrm{NE} / \mathrm{c}$ of the $N / 2, W / 2, W / 2, N E / 4, N W / 4$, sald polnt belng the Intersection of the right-of-way IInes of East 11 th Street and US 1-44; thence north $89^{\circ} 43^{\prime}$ 'east and parallel to the north Ilne

Case No. 14932 (continued)
of sald Section 8 and along the south rlght-of-way Ilne of East 11th Street for 170'; thence $S$ 00171 E for 125'; thence S 55'1'46" W for 185.55'; thence S $89^{\circ} 431$ W for 200.101 to a polnt on the Easterly right-of-way IIne of US I-44; thence N $27^{\circ} 431 \mathrm{E}$ and along sald right-of-way llne for 160.19'; thence N $48^{\circ} 34^{\prime \prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ for 148.931 to the Polnt of Beginning; Less and except the following described tract: Beginning at a polnt 801 south and 284.87 l west of the $N E / c, N / 2, W / 2, W / 2, N E / 4, N W / 4$, sald polnt belng the Intersection of the rights-of-way Ilnes of East 11th Street and US 1-44; thence $N 89^{\circ} 431 \mathrm{E}$ and parallel to the north Ilne of sald Section 8 for 170'; thence S $0^{\circ} 171$ E for 125'; thence S 55ㅇ́46" W for 30.101 to the Polnt of Beginning; thence $S$ 55¹146" W for 155.43'; thence S $89^{\circ} 431 \mathrm{~W}$ for 200.101 to a polnt on the easterly right-of-way IIne of US $1-44$; thence $N 27^{\circ} 431 \mathrm{E}$ along sald right-of-way llne for 100.19'; thence $N 89^{\circ} 431$ E for 280.88' to the Point of Beginning, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14934
Action Requested:
Special Exception - Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1209 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a moblle home in an RS-3 zoned district, located 1012 West 36th Place.

## Comments and Questions:

Ms. Hubbard Informed that the appllcant is not in need of rellef for a moblle home for residentlal use. She explalned that the prevlous approval of the moblle home was made per drawlngs submitted, or residentlal use, and he is now devlating from the drawlngs by using the moblle for a use other than residentlal purposes.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Tulsa Publlc Schools, was represented by Steve Copeland, who stated that the school has been using an old bus for tool and parts storage. He informed that thelr need has outgrown the space avallable in the bus and asked the Board to allow the empty moblle home to be used for storage purposes.

## Conments and Questlons:

Mr. Gardner polnted out that thls appllcation is merely to modify the previous approval for residentlal use, per plot plan submitted at that time. He noted that the applicant is submitting a new plot plan (Exhlbit F-1).

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of MHITE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1209) to allow for a moblle home in an RS-3 zoned district; per revised plot plan submitted; and subject to the moblle home belng utllized as a storage bullding only, with no resldentlal use; on the followlng described property:

A tract of land located in the NE/4 of the SW/4, Section 23, T-19-N, R-12-E, Tulsa County, Oklahoma belng described as: Beginning at a polnt 4401 south and 1901 west of the center of Section 23, thence 3001 south, thence 3001 west, thence 3001 north, thence 3001 east to the Polnt of Beginning, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14936

## Action Requested:

Special Exception - Section 410 - Prlnclpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1205 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a day care center in an RS-3 zoned distrlct, located 3709 North Hartford Avenue.

## Conments and Questions:

Mr. Taylor informed that an identical request was approved for the vacant lot to the immediate south of the property in question, due to the fact that the appllcant supplled the wrong lot number to Staff when the appllcation was flled. He stated that the present appllcant is before the Board to request day care use on the property to the north with the exlsting dwelling.

Ms. Bradley asked If the vacant lot can also be used for the day care, and Mr. Taylor answered In the affirmative.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Lee Roberson, 215 East 55th Street North, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is not the same applicant that recelved the prevlous approval, but the same amount of chlldren wlll be cared for and the same hours wlll be kept.

## Additlonal Conments:

Ms. Bradley asked the appllcant If the day care wlll have a maximum of 16 chlldren, with hours of operatlon from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, and Mr. Roberson answered in the affirmative.

Mr. Gardner asked the appllcant if he plans to add to the existing bullding, and he replled that no additional construction is proposed.

## Case No. 14936 (continued)

Board Actlon:
On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unlt 1205) to allow for a day care center In an RS-3 zoned district; subject to a maximum of 16 chlldren; subject to the business operating 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; and subject to all State requirements for day care belng met; flinding that the granting of the speclal exception request will not be detrimental to the area; on the followlng described property:

Lot 11, Block 1, Chandler-Frates lll Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 14937

## Action Requested:

Special Exception - Section 910 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Industrial Districts - Use Unit 1226 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a sand blasting business in an IL zoned district.

Varlance - Section 930 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Industrial Districts - Use Unlt 1226 - Request a varlance of setback from the centerllne of 48th Street from 50 ' to 41 ', located 4748 South 101st East Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Wayne Wright, 4748 South 101st East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is the owner of a sand blasting business, which conslsts of rust and palnt removal from antlque cars. He polnted out that the entire operation will be completed in an enclosed $600 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. pole barn. Mr. Wright stated that the property is bordered on the north and south by vacant land, a refuse company Is located to the east and a body shop is in operation to the west.

## Conments and Questions:

Mr. Smlth asked If the automoblles are operable, and Mr. Wright sald that some have englnes and some do not have englnes. Mr. Smlth stated that he is concerned with a salvage yard beginnling on the property, and asked If the vehicles will be sand blasted and moved to another locatlon. The appllcant polnted out that the automoblles cannot set outside after the palnt is removed.

Ms. White asked If several automoblles wlll stack whlle walting to be blasted, and the appllcant sald he usually has a backlog of approximately one week. He stated that there are three cars on the lot at this time.

Case No. 14937 (contInued)
Ms. Bradley stated that she has vlewed the property and it has the appearance of a junk yard. Mr. Wright remarked that he had to move approximately 6 weeks ago and the previous occupant of the property has not removed all of his equlpment from the lot. It was noted by the appllcant that the Health Department requires that the sand blasting residue be contalned.

Mr. Smith asked if the contalnment referred to is the prevention of sand blowing in the area, and the appllcant answered in the affirmative.

Mr. Wright informed that construction of the pole barn has begun and that it is more than 411 from the centerline of 48th Street.

Ms. Bradley polnted out that 48th Street is not open on the south side of the property, and Ms. Hubbard stated that the right-of-way is in place and the setback is determined by the right-of-way.

## Protestants:

Terry Tucker, Tucker's Body Shop, which is located to the rear of the proposed business, stated that he has no objection to the business if the sand does not blow. He polnted out that it is Imperative that the sand be contalned and not be allowed to drift to the newly palnted automoblles on his lot. Mr. Tucker remarked that his business is experlencling a problem with blowing sand at this time, and he has had several palnt jobs returned.

## Additlonal Conments:

Mr. Wright stated that he was granted permission to hang drop cloths (Exhlbit G-1) from the 4 by 4 boards, but the space is not tightly enclosed.

Mr. Jackere asked the length of time needed for construction after the Bullding Permit is secured, and the appllcant replled that the structure has been approved by the Health Department and construction time wIll take approximately five days. The appllcant stated that the Health Department has approved the open alr operation while the bullding is belng bullt, and Mr. Jackere polnted out that the Health Department probably is not aware of the fact that the property is zoned IL, and that this would not be a problem In a properly zoned area.

Ms. White asked Mr. Tucker if he could support the application if the sand is properily contalned, and he replled that he is supportive of the appllcation if the sand is actually contalned.

Ms. Bradley remarked that she is not sure the sand can be properly contalned when operating thls close to a business that cannot tolerate sand in the alr.

Mr. Wright stated that his nelghbors can report any fugltive dust to the Health Department.

Case No. 14937 (contInued)
Mr. Smith stated that the business is operating in violation of the Code and suggested that the appllcation be approved, but that all business operation cease untll an approprlate bullding is constructed to contaln the dust.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-1-0 (Chappelle, Smith, White, "aye"; Bradley, "nay"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 910 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Industrial Districts - Use Unit 1226) to allow for a sand blasting business in an IL zoned district; and to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 930 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Industrial Districts Use Unit 1226) of setback from the centerline of 48th Street from 501 to 41 '; subject to all operations ceasing untll the completion of the new buliding and appurtenances, which comply with Health Department requirements, and have been inspected by that department; subject to the appllcant submitting drawlngs and plot plan to the Board; finding that the sand blasting business, if properly contalned, will not be detrimental to the area; and finding that 48th Street dead ends at 101st Street and is not open on the south side of the subject tract; on the followlng descrlbed property:

The East 51 of Lot 19, and all of Lots 20, 21, 22 and 23, Block 28, Alsuma Additlon, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Additlonal Conments:

Ms. Bradley asked Mr. Tucker if he has any questions about the approval of the application, and he replled that he understands the approval, but is not sure who to contact if there is a problem with the business.

Mr. Jackere advised the appllcant that he can contact the Health Department if a problem arlses with the business.

## Case No. 14939

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 1221.5 - Use Conditions for BusIness Signs - Use Unlt 1221 - Request a varlance of the slze of a sign to allow for an exlsting 261 10" by 61 electric awning sign (156.5 sq. ft.), located 7307 East Admiral Place.

## Presentation:

The applIcant, MIke Moydell, 1221 West 3rd Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, was not present.

## Couments and Questions:

Mr. Taylor Informed that the appllcant has requested that Case No. 14939 be continued to October 6, 1988.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to CONTINUE Case No. 14939 to October 6, 1988, as requested by the appllcant.

## Action Requested:

Special Exception - Section 410 - Principal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1205 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a fellowshlp hall in conjunction with an existing church in a RS-3 district.

Varlance - Section $440.7(c, d)$ - Speclal Exception Requirements - Use Unit 1205 - Request a varlance of frontage and a varlance of setback from the south property Ilne.

Varlance - Section 1205.3(a.1) - Use conditions - Use UnIt 1205 Request a varlance of lot area and of lot wldth.

Varlance - Section 1320.(d) - General Requirements - Use Unit 1205 Request a varlance to allow for off-site parking, located 1101 South Sandusky Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Alvin McCreary, 2700 East 51st, Sulte 140, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is archltect and construction manager for the proposed project. He submitted a plot plan (Exhlbit H-2) for a fellowshlp hall which wlll be constructed for church use. Mr. McCreary asked the Board to allow church parkIng on the lot across the street, which the church has owned approximately 10 years. Photographs (Exhlbit $\mathrm{H}-1$ ) were submitted.

## Comments and Questlons:

Ms. White asked the appllcant if the church would be opposed to the execution of a tie contract which would tle all of the church property together, thus preventing the sale of one portion without the other.

Mr. McCreary replled that the Church Board is agreeable to a tle contract if it is a requirement of the Board.

Ms. Hubbard explalned that if the church property was only one lot the frontage requirement would be met, but the fact that the lots are separate requires rellef from thls Board.

Mr. Gardner polnted out that Toledo Avenue separates the property, but if all church property on the west side of Toledo was only one lot, as well as on the east slde, part of the rellef requested would not be needed.

## Case No. 14941 (continued)

## Board Action:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unlt 1205) to allow for a fellowshlp hall in conjunction with an exlsting church In an RS-3 District; to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 440.7(c,d) - Speclal Exception Requirements - Use Unit 1205) of frontage and a varlance of setback from the south property IIne; to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 1205.3(a.1) - Use conditions - Use Unlt 1205) of lot area and of lot width; and to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 1320.(d) General Requirements - Use Unlt 1205) to allow for off-site parking; per plot plan submitted; subject to the execution of a tle contract on all church propertles under thls appllcation; finding that the fellowshlp hall will be used for activitles of the exlsting church, which has been at this location for many years and has proved to be compatible with the area; and finding a hardshlp demonstrated by the fact that the church property is divided Into several small lots; and finding that the granting of the requests will not cause substantlal detriment to the area, and will be in harmony with the spirlt and Intent of the Code and the Comprehensive Plan; on the followlng described property:

Lots 1, 2, 17, 18, 19, 20, Block 1, Beverly Helghts Addition and Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 21, 22, 23, and 24, Block 4, Beverly HIlls Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## OTHER BUSINESS

## Case No. 14619

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Dlstricts - Use Unlt 1206 - Request a varlance of setback from the south property line to 51 and a varlance of lot area from 9000 sq. ft. to 8379 sq. ft., located SE/c East 21 st Street and South Rockford Avenue.

## Conments and Questions:

Mr. Taylor Informed that this application has been previously approved, subject to the appllcant returning with a site plan for Board review.

## PresentatIon:

The appllcant, Rondall KIng, was represented by Boyd McGulre, 6708 East 65th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who submitted a site plan (Exhlblt J-1) for the Board's revlew.

Mr. Gardner explalned that the lot spllt was approved, but because of the conflguration of the lot and setbacks on three sldes, any type of construction on the lot would require rellef from the Board. He stated that the Board was supportive of the varlance at the prevlous meetling, but requested a revlew of the slte plan before construction began on the property.

Case No. 14619 (continued)
Comments and Questions:
Ms. White asked If the construction has been approved by Stormwater Management, and Ms. Hubbard stated that the application has gone to that department, but is not sure if the review is complete at this time.

Board Action:
On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Smlth, Whlte, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE the site plan for Case No. 14619, as submltted, subject to approval of dralnage by Stormwater Management.

There belng no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 2:42 p.m.


