## CITY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

MINUTES of Meeting No. 511
Thursday, March 17, 1988, I:00 p.m.
Clty Commission Room, Plaza Level
Tulsa Civic Center
MEMBERS PRESENT
Bradley
Quarles,
Vice Chalrman
Smith

MEMBERS ABSENT
Chappelle
White

STAFF PRESENT
Gardner
Jones
Moore

OTHERS PRESENT
LInker, Legal
Department
Hubbard, Protective
Inspections

The notice and agenda of sald meeting were posted In the Office of the City Auditor on Tuesday, March 15, 1988, at 4:06 p.m., as well as in the Reception Area of the INCOG offices.

After declaring a quorum present, Vice Chalrman Quarles called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m.

## MINUTES:

All members of the Board that were present Informed that they did not recelve a draft copy of the March 3, 1988 minutes.

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Quarles, Smith, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Chappelle, White, "absent") to CONTINUE approval of the Minutes of March 3, 1988, to April 7, 1988.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Case No. 14747
Action Requested:
Special Exception - Section 410 - Principal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1205 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a playground in conjunction with an exlsting YWCA, located 2227 East 20th Street.

## Presentation:

The appl Icant, Mary Espey, 5155 East 51st Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that the application was prevlously contlnued to allow the YWCA officials to continue negotlations for the purchase of property located between the existing pool and the proposed playground. She Informed that they have recently agreed on a price and their ownershlp will now be continuous from Louls Avenue east to the playground.

Protestants: None.

Case No. 14747 (cont|nued)
Board Action:
On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Quarles, Smith, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Chappelle, White, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exceptlon (Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted in Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1205) to allow for a playground in conjunction with an exlsting YWCA; finding that the playground will be compatible with the nelghborhood; and finding that the use does not violate the spirlt and Intent of the Code or the Comprehensive PIan; on the following described property:

Lot 17, Block 7, Woodward Park Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Additional Comments:

Mr. Gardner polnted out to the appllcant that it wlll be necessary to have Board approval if the recently purchased residence is used for purposes other than residentlal use.

## MINOR VARIANCES AND EXCEPTIONS

Case No. 14767

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 280 - Structure Setback from Abutting Streets Use Unit 1221 - Request a mlnor varlance of setback from the centerllne of North MIngo Road from 50' to 361 to allow for a business sign, located 4591 North MIngo Road.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Terry Howard, was represented by Charles Hare, 6550 East Independence, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who asked permission to change the logo on an exlsting sign at the above stated location. He stated that the request is made because of a change in ownership from DX Oll Company to Sun Oll Company. He polnted out that the base and pole have been been in place for several years and do not meet the setback requirement.

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Quarles asked the appllcant if the slze of the sign is in compllance with Code requirements, and he answered in the affirmative.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Quarles, Smlth, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Chappelle, White, "absent") to NPPROVE a Varlance (Section 280 - Structure Setback from Abutting Streets - Use Unit 1221) of setback from the centerline of North Mingo Road from 501 to 361 to allow for a business sign; subject to the execution of a removal contract; finding that the existing sign

Case No. 14767 (continued)
pole has been in place for several years and that only the sign logo will be changed, with the total square footage of the sign remalning the same; and finding that granting of the varlance request will not be detrimental to the area; on the following described property:

The west 198' of the $N / 2, N W / 4$, NW/4, less the north 60' and west 401 thereof, Section 18, T-20-N, R-14-E, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma.

## Case No. 14768

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 280 - Structure Setback from AbuttIng Streets Use Unlt 1221 - Request a minor varlance of setback from the centerllne of East 31 st Street from 501 to 321 to allow for a business sign, located 3344 East 31st Street.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Terry Howard, was represented by Charles Hare, 6550 East Independence, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who asked permission to change the logo on an exlsting slgn at the above stated location. He stated that the request is made because of a change in ownership from DX Oll Company to Sun Oll Company. He polnted out that the base and pole have been been in place for several years and do not meet the setback requirement.

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Quarles asked the applicant if the size of the sign is in compllance with Code requirements, and he answered in the affirmative.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Quarles, Smith, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Chappelle, White, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 280 - Structure Setback from Abutting Streets - Use Unit 1221) of setback from the centerline of East 31st Street from 501 to 321 to allow for a business sign; subject to the execution of a removal contract; finding that the existing sign pole has been in place for several years and that only the sign logo will be changed, with the total square footage of the sign remalning the same; and finding that granting of the varlance request will not be detrimental to the area; on the following descrlbed property:

The east 140' of the north 1401 of Lot 3, Albert Plke 2nd Addition to the Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma.

ActIon Requested:
Varlance - Section 280 - Structure Setback from Abutting Streets Use Unit 1221 - Request a minor varlance of setback from the centerllne of Peorla Avenue 501 to 341 to allow for a business sign, located 3535 South Peorla Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Terry Howard, was represented by Charles Hare, 6550 East Independence, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who asked permission to change the logo on an exlsting slgn at the above stated location. He stated that the request is made because of a change in ownershlp from DX Oll Company to Sun Oll Company. He polnted out that the base and pole have been been In place for several years and do not meet the setback requirement.

## Comments and Questlons:

Mr. Quarles asked the appllcant if the slze of the sign is in compllance with Code requirements, and he answered in the affirmative.

Protestants: None.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Quarles, Smith, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Chappelle, White, "absent") to NPPROVE a Varlance (Section 280 - Structure Setback from AbuttIng Streets - Use Unit 1221) of setback from the centerline of Peorla Avenue 501 to 341 to allow for a business sign; subject to the execution of a removal contract; finding that the existing sign pole has been in place for several years and that only the sign logo will be changed, with the total square footage of the sign remalning the same; and finding that granting of the varlance request wlll not be detrimental to the area; on the followlng descrlbed property:

Lots 3 and 4, Block 4, Ollver's AddItion, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14770

## ActIon Requested:

Varlance - Section 280 - Structure Setback from Abutting Streets Use Unlt 1221 - Request a minor varlance of setback from the centerlline of Peorla Avenue from 50' to 46' and 401 and from the centerllne of 41 st Street from 501 to 371 to allow for business signs, located 3939 South Peorla Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Terry Howard, was represented by Charles Hare, 6550 East Independence, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who asked permission to change the logo on an existing sign at the above stated location. He stated that the request is made because of a change in ownership from DX Oll Company to Sun Oll Company. He polnted out that the base and pole have been been in place for several years and do not meet the setback requirement.

Case No. 14770 (continued)
Comments and Questions:
Mr. Quarles asked the applicant if the size of the sign is in compllance with Code requirements, and he answered In the affirmative.

Protestants: None.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Quarles, Smlth, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Chappelle, White, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (SectIon 280 - Structure Setback from Abutting Streets - Use UnIt 1221) of setback from the centerline of Peorla Avenue from 50' to $46^{\prime}$ and 401 and from the centerllne of 41 st Street from 501 to 371 to allow for buslness signs; subject to the execution of a removal contract; finding that the existing sign poles have been In place for several years and that only the sign logo will be changed, with the total square footage of the sign remalning the same; and finding that granting of the varlance request will not be detrimental to the area; on the following descrlbed property:

The north 1501 of the south 1851 of the east 1501 of the west 185' of the S/2, SW/4, SW/4, Section 19, T-19-N, R-13-E, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14771
Action Requested:
Varlance - Section 280 - Structure Setback from Abutting Streets Use Unlt 1221 - Request a mlnor varlance of setback from the centerline of 21 st Street from 60' to $45^{\prime}$ to allow for business sign, located 2102 South Utica Avenue.

## Presentation:

The applIcant, Terry Howard, was represented by Charles Hare, 6550 East Independence, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who asked permission to change the logo on an exlsting slgn at the above stated location. He stated that the request is made because of a change in ownership from DX Oll Company to Sun Oll Company. He polnted out that the base and pole have been been In place for several years and do not meet the setback requilrement.

Conments and Questlons:
Mr. Quarles asked the applicant if the size of the sign is in compllance with Code requirements, and he answered in the affirmative.

Protestants: None.

Case No. 14771 (contlnued)
Board ActIon:
On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Quarles, Smith, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Chappelle, White, "absent") to NPPROVE a Varlance (Section 280 - Structure Setback from Abutting Streets - Use Unit 1221) of setback from the centerline of 21 st Street from 60' to 451 to allow for business sign; subject to the execution of a removal contract; finding that the existing sign pole has been in place for several years and that only the sign logo will be changed, with the total square footage of the sign remalning the same; and finding that granting of the varlance request wlll not be detrimental to the area; on the followlng descrlbed property:

Lots 1, 2, 3, and the east 29.321 of Lot 4, Terwllleger Terrace AddItion, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 14772

## ActIon Requested:

Varlance - Section 280 - Structure Setback from Abutting Streets Use Unit 1221 - Request a minor varlance of setback from the centerllne of Memorlal Drive from 60' to 55' to allow for a business sign, located southwest corner 55th Street and Memorlal Drive.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, A-Max Sign Company, was represented by Brlan Ward, 9520 East 55th Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who submitted a sign plan (Exhlblt A-1) and photographs (Exhlbit S-2). He asked the Board to allow him to add 18 square feet to the exlsting rock and wood sign, which was constructed when the required setback on Memorlal was 50 '.

## Comments and Questlons:

Ms. Bradley asked the, slze of the existing sign, and Mr. Ward replled that the sign is approximately 61 by 6 '.

Mr. Smith asked if the sign will block the visibility of motorlsts negotlating the turn, and he replled that the visibility of oncoming trafflc will not be blocked by the sign.

## Protestants:

Barber Winder, 5602 South Memorlal Drive, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that she and her husband are owners of an offlce bullding at the above stated address. She Informed that other offices in the area have conformed to the Code requirements and asked that the applicant be required to malntaln the same standard.

Janet Cralg, 5620 South Memorlal, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that she and her husband have an offlce bullding in the area and, due to an encroachment, had to remove thelr sign and reconstruct it further from the street. She requested that the application be denled.

## Additlonal Comments:

Ms. Bradley asked Mr. Ward to state a hardshlp for this case, and he replled that the sign is approprlate for the area and is needed for the dentlstry business.

Case No. 14772 (cont|nued)
Mr. Gardner asked Mr. Ward why the sign is belng placed $5^{1}$ closer to the street than the required setback, and he replled that there is already an exlsting structure at thls location and additional signage wlll be placed on top of the exlsting one.

There was discussion as to the number of square feet in the sign.
Mr. Llnker advised that the Board should consider only the varlance request at thls time.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Quarles, Smlth, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Chappelle, White, "absent") to NPPROVE a Varlance (Section 280 - Structure Setback from Abutting Streets - Use Unlt 1221) of setback from the centerline of Memorlal Drive from 60' to 55' to allow for a business sign; subject to the execution of a Removal Contract; and subject to the overall square footage of the sign meeting Code requirements; finding that the sign has been at the present location since 1973 and that the proposed addition to the sign wlll not be closer to Memorlal Drive than the existing sign; on the following described property:

Lots 9 and 10, Block 1, Memorlal Drlve Offlce Park Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 14776

## ActIon Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1206 - Request a mlnor varlance of setback from 251 to 211 to allow for an exlstlng carport, located 1562 East 59th Street.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Michael Gidley, 1562 East 59th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted photographs (Exhlbit B-1) and requested that he be allowed to leave an exlsting carport at its present location. Mr. Gidley Informed that the 221 length of the carport is needed to protect his vehlcles, one of which is an $181 / 21$ Suburban. He stated that the carport does not obstruct the vlew of the abutting property owners.

## Comments and Questlons:

Mr. Quarles asked the appllcant if he constructed the carport, and he replled that he had the carport bullt last fall by Standard Bullders.

Mr. Smith asked if the requested $21^{\prime}$ setback is measured from the curb, and Mr. Gidley answered in the affirmative.

Case No. 14776 (contlinued)
Mr. Gardner Informed that the required setback is 251 from the property Ilne, not from the curb, and polnted out that the appllcant has not advertlised for sufflclent rellef.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Quarles, Smith, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Chappelle, White, "absent") to CONTINUE Case No. 14776 to Aprll 21, 1988, to allow the case to be readvertlsed for addltional rellef.

## Case No. 14778

ActIon Requested:
Varlance - Section 280 - Structure Setback from Abutting Streets Use Unlt 1221 - Request a mlnor varlance of setback from the centerllne of 51st Street from 50' to 371 to allow for a business slgn, located 2816 East 51st Street.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Stokley Outdoor, was represented by Steve Nelson, 10111 East 45th Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who submitted a plot plan (Exhlbit C-1) and photographs (Exhlbit C-2). He Informed that he is requesting a varlance of the setback for a commerclal sign with a concrete pedestal. Mr. Nelson explalned that there is no Identiflcation for the bullding at this time and space Is IImlted for the Installation of the sign. He Informed that there is a simllar structure in the area that ls as close to the street as the proposed sign.

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Quarles asked If the slgn will block the vlew of motorlsts exiting the property, and he stated that It wlll not.

Mr. Smlth asked the appllcant If he has conferred with the Traffic Engineer to determine if there is sufficlent sight distance on the corner, and he replled that he has not spoken with anyone from that department.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Quarles, Smith, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Chappelle, White, "absent") to NPPROVE a Varlance (Section 280 - Structure Setback from AbuttIng Streets - Use Unit 1221) of setback from the centerllne of 51st Street from 501 to 371 to allow for a buslness sign; subject to the execution of a removal contract; and subject to Trafflc Engineer approval; finding that there are other sign structures in the area that are as close to the street as the sign in question; on the following described property:

The north 1501 of Lots 1 and 2, Block 8, VIlla Grove Gardens Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 14779

## ActIon Requested:

Varlance - Section 280 - Structure Setback from Abutting Streets Use Unit 1221 - Request a minor varlance of setback from the centerllne of Harvard Avenue from 50' to 42 ' to allow for a business sign, located 1629 South Harvard Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appl Icant, David Calahan, 1629 South Harvard, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a plot plan (Exhlbit D-1) and photographs (Exhlbit D-2), and stated that he is co-owner of C and C Offlice Machines. He explalned that the sign is not visible from the north, due to the fact that the bullding in that direction has been constructed close to the street, and requested permission to place the business sign 421 from the centerllne.

## Comments and Questions:

Ms. Bradley asked how far the nearby Murdock Real Estate sign Is from the centerllne of Harvard, and the appllcant replled that it is approximately 421 or 431 from the centerllne.

Mr. Callahan Informed that there are other sign structures as close to the street as the one In question.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Quarles, Smlth, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Chappelle, White, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Sectlon 280 - Structure Setback from Abutting Streets - Use Unlt 1221) of setback from the centerllne of Harvard Avenue from 501 to 421 to allow for a business sign; per plan submitted; subject to the execution of a removal contract; finding that the granting of the varlance request will not be detrimental to the area and that the sign in question will allgn with exlsting signs along Harvard; on the following described property:

Lot 6, Block 8, Sunrlse Terrace Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14780
Action Requested:
Varlance - Section 280 - Structure Setback from Abutting Streets Use Unlt 1221 - Request a minor varlance of setback from the centerline of Admiral Place from 50' to 351 to allow for a business sign, located 4611 East Admiral Place.

Presentation:
The appllcant, Terry Howard, was represented by Charles Hare, 6550 East Independence, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who asked permlssion to remove five existing signs at the above stated location and replace them with one tenant type sign. He explalned that the exlsting signs for the shopping center are located 351 from the centerline of Admiral Place.

Case No. 14780 (contlnued)
Protestants: None.

## Board Act Ion:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Quarles, Smlth, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Chappelle, White, "absent") to NPPROVE a Varlance (SectIon 280 - Structure Setback from AbuttIng Streets - Use Unlt 1221) of setback from the centerllne of Admlral Place from 501 to 351 to allow for a new buslness sign; subject to the execution of a removal contract; and subject to the removal of all other pole signs on the property; finding that the flve exlsting slgns will be removed from the shopplng center and replaced with only one slgn at the same 35' setback; and findlng that there are other signs along Admlral Place that are as close to the street as the one proposed for the center; on the following described property:

Lot 7, Block 1, Stanford Helghts Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14781
ActIon Requested:
Varlance - Section 280 - Structure Setback from AbuttIng Streets Use Unlt 1221 - Request a mlnor varlance of setback from the centerllne of Yale Avenue from 601 to 501 to allow for a business slgn, located 4810 East Skelly Drlve.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Terry Howard, was represented by Charles Hare, 6550 East Independence, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who asked permission to change the logo on an exlsting sign at the above stated location. He stated that the request is made because of a change in ownershlp from DX Oll Company to Sun Oll Company. He polnted out that the base and pole have been In place for several years and do not meet the setback requirement.

## Comments and Questlons:

Mr. Quarles asked the applicant if the slze of the sign is in compllance with Code requirements, and he answered In the affIrmative.

Protestants: None.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Quarles, SmIth, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Chappelle, Whlte, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 280 - Structure Setback from AbuttIng Streets - Use Unlt 1221) of setback from the centerllne of Yale Avenue from 60' to 501 to allow for a buslness sign; subject to the execution of a removal contract; flnding that the exlsting sign pole has been In place for several years and that only the sign logo wlll be changed, with the total square footage of the sign remalning the same; and finding that granting of the varlance request will not be detrimental to the area; on the followlng descrlbed property:

Case No. 14781 (contInued)
A part of Lot 1, Interstate Central, an Addition to Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, descrlbed as follows:

Beginnlng at the $N E / c$ of sald Lot 1 , sald polnt belng the Intersection of the west right-of-way IIne of South Yale Avenue and the south right-of-way IIne of 1-44, thence due south along the east Ilne of Lot 1 , a distance of 150.01 to a polnt, sald polnt belng 50.01 north of the SE/c of Lot 1 , thence due west, parallel with $50.0^{\prime}$ perpendicularly distant from the south Ilne of Lot 1, a dlstance of 174.33', thence N $35^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ W parallel with and 50.01 perpendicularly distant from the southwest Ilne of Lot 1, a distance of 62.921 to a polnt on the south right-of-way IIne of $1-44$ and 60.01 northeast of the westerly
 right-of-way Ilne of l-44 a dlstance of 169.39', thence N 8954'54" E a dlstance of 73.41' to the Polnt of BegInnlng and contalning $23,898.83 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of 0.5486 acres more or less, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## NEN APPL ICATIONS

## Case No. 14766

Action Requested:
Varlance - Section 1213.3(b) - Use Conditions - Use Unit 1213 Request a varlance of the screening requirement to permit a screenlng fence off the property.

Varlance - Section 1221.3 - General Use Condltions for Business Signs - Use Unlt 1213 - Request a varlance of the Board approved 32' setback from the centerllne of UtIca Avenue to 301 to permit the relocation of a sign.

Request approval of amended plot plan from Board of Adjustment No. 10694.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, David Grooms, 901 North MIngo, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he ls representing the Qulk Trip Corporation and Informed that the abutting western $50^{\prime}$ of land has been purchased to allow the reconstruction of an exlsting faclllty and the addltion of a new gas Isle and canopy. He explalned that the Board has prevlously approved a varlance for the Installation of a screenling fence off the subject property, per plot plan. Mr. Grooms Informed that an amended plot plan (Exhlblt E-1) has been drawn up, which Indicates the location of the screening fence and the sign.

Protestants: None.

Case No. 14766 (continued)

## Comments and Questlons:

Mr. Gardner Informed that the prevlously approved plot plan allowed a 321 setback and the present request is for a 301 setback.

Mr. Grooms Informed that the fence Is presently Installed on top of a retalning wall on the north portion of the property. He polnted out that there is a steep grade to the back of the bullding and If the fence is Installed on the ground at the property IIne It will not screen properly.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Quarles, Smlth, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Chappelle, White, "absent") to NPPROVE a Varlance (Section 1213.3(b) - Use Condltions - Use Unlt 1213) of the screening requirement to permit a screening fence off the property; to NPPROVE a Varlance (SectIon 1221.3 - General Use Conditlons for Business Signs - Use UnIt 1213) of the Board approved 321 setback from the centerllne of Utica Avenue to 301 to permit the relocation of a sign; and to APPROVE an amended plot plan from Board of Adjustment Case No. 10694; subject to the amended plot plan submitted; finding that, due to the steep grade, the location of the screening fence on the retalning wall will provide adequate screenlng for the lots to the north; on the followlng descrlbed property:

Lots 21 - 24, Block 8, Lynch-Forsythe Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14773

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 1221.3 - General Use Conditions for Business Signs - Use Unit 1221 - Request a varlance to exceed the permitted one sign per 1501 of arterlal street frontage and a varlance of the permitted 2 sq . ft. of slgnage per sign for each Ilnear foot of frontage, located 3900 South Memorlal.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Terry Howard, was represented by Charles Hare, 6550 East Independence, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who submltted a drawlng (Exhlbit F-1) for a sign which his company ls proposing to Install at the above stated location. He Informed that Fred Jones Motor Company has recently purchased the subject property and is moving thelr Hyundal dealershlp to thls location. Mr. Hare stated that there is a 672 sq . ft. bulletin board (outdoor advertising sign) on the south portion of the property. He Informed that a $320 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. buslness sign was removed from the lot and asked permission to replace it with a business sign that will contaln $144 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. of advertising space.

## Cooments and Questlons:

Mr. Smlth asked Mr. Gardner to state the allowed signage for the property, and he replled that the two lots are nonconforming as relating to signage. He Informed that the Code states that one sign Is allowed per 1501 of street frontage. Mr. Gardner stated that the northern lot in question has less than 150 of frontage and is entitled to one sign. He noted that the applicant ls entitled to two signs on the south lot, but the dlsplay surface area of the exlsting and proposed sign exceeds the allowed footage.

Mr. Gardner asked the appllcant if the new sign wlll be on the lot to the south, and he answered In the affirmative. Mr. Hare Informed that the north lot will be utlllzed for used car sales and wlll have a 21 by 61 sign. Mr. Gardner pointed out that the proposed signs for the two lots will be In compllance with the Sign Code if the size of the outdoor advertising sign is not taken into consideration. He informed that the southern lot previously had approxImately 992 sq . ft. of signage, and wlll have approximately 836 sq. ft. after the new southern sign is installed. The ordinance permits 306 sq. ft. for two slgns, or $459 \mathrm{sq} . f t$. for only one sign.

## Interested Partles:

Mr. Quarles Informed that the Board has recelved one letter of support (Exhlblt F-2) from Hale Plumblng Company, which ls located to the south of the car lot.

## Board Act Ion:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Quarles, Smlth, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Chappelle, White, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 1221.3 - General Use Conditions for Business Signs - Use Unit 1221) to exceed the permitted one sign per 150' of arterlal street frontage and a Varlance of the permitted 2 sq. ft. of signage per sign for each llnear foot of frontage; finding that the total signage for the two lots will not exceed the previous amount of signage; finding that the exlsting outdoor advertising sign has been on the property for many years and is nonconforming; and finding that the granting of the varlance will not be detrimental to the area, but will actually reduce the amount of slgnage for the two lots in question; on the followling described property:

A part of the SE/4 of the SE/4 of Section 23, T-19-N, R-13-E of the Indlan Base and Meridlan, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma more particularly described as follows, towit:

## Case No. 14773 (cont|nued)

Commencing at the NE/c of the SE/4 of the SE/4 of Section 23, T-19-N, R-13-E of the Indlan Base and Meridlan, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, thence S $0^{\circ} 031001$ W, along the east Ilne of Section 23 and the centerline of South Memorial Drive, a distance of 430.00 '; thence $\mathrm{N} 89^{\circ} 577^{\prime \prime} 531 \mathrm{~W}$ a dlstance of 75.001 to a point on the west IIne of a right-of-way easement of South Memorlal Drive and the Polnt of Beginning; thence N $89^{\circ} 57$ I53" W a dlstance of 305.00'; thence $N 0^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 001 \mathrm{E}$ a dlstance of 227.75'; thence S 89¹4'46" E a distance of 181.12'; thence S $0^{\circ} 12156^{\prime \prime} W$ a distance of 25.05'; thence S 6704113" E a distance of 14.69'; thence S $77^{\circ} 19^{\prime \prime} 1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ a dlstance of 10.45'; thence $S 68^{\circ} 291301 \mathrm{E}$ a dlstance of 107.701 to a polnt on the west IIne of right-of-way easement of South Memorlal Drive; thence $S 0^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} W$, parallel to and 75.001 perpendicularly distant from the east Ilne of sald Section 23 and the centerline of South Memorlal Drive a distance of 153.001 to the Polnt of Beginning and contalning 62,985.42 square feet or 1.4459 acres more or less.

AND
Commenclng at the NE/c of the SE/4 of the SE/4 of Section 23; thence $S 0^{\circ} 03{ }^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ along the east IIne of Section 23 and the centerllne of South Memorlal Drive, a distance of 430.00'; thence N $89^{\circ} 57$ '53" $W$ a dlstance of 75.001 to a polnt on the west IIne of a right-of-way easement of South Memorlal Drive; thence $\mathrm{N} 0^{\circ} 03^{100 \prime} \mathrm{E}$ along the west Ilne of sald right-of-way easement, parallel to and 751 perpendicularly distant from the east Ilne of said Section 23, a distance of 153.00 ' to the Polnt of Beginning; thence $\mathrm{N} 68^{\circ} 291301 \mathrm{~W}$ a dlstance of 107.70'; thence $\mathrm{N} 77^{\circ} 19^{\prime \prime} 1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ a distance of 10.46'; thence $\mathrm{N} 67^{\circ} 04^{\prime 1} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ a dlstance of 14.69'; thence $N 0^{\circ} 12$ '56" E a dlstance of 25.05'; thence $\mathrm{N} 89^{\circ} 14145^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ a distance of 181.12'; thence $\mathrm{N} 0^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 001 \mathrm{E}$ a dlstance of 98.83'; thence $N$ 5345'16" E a distance of 124.07'; thence S 8957'53" E a distance of 57.39'; thence S $47^{\circ} 56^{\prime \prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ E a dlstance of 198.66'; thence S $0^{\circ} 031001 \mathrm{~W}$, along the west IIne of a right-of-way easement of South Memorlal Drive, parallel to and 751 perpendicularly distant from the east Ilne of sald Section 23 and the centerline of South Memorlal Drive, a distance of 114.00 to the Polnt of Beginning, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14774

## Action Requested:

Appeal - Section 1650 - Appeals from the Bullding Inspector - Use Unlt 1213 - Request an appeal from the declsion of the Bullding Inspector In lssulng a zonlng clearance permit for a sexually orlented buslness, located 5925 East 11th Street.

Case No. 14774 (contInued)
Presentation:
The appllcant, Blake Champlln, 1211 South Canton, Tulsa, Oklahoma, was present. A petltion of protest (Exhlblt Z-4), a copy of the notice of appeal (Exhlbit Z-3) and a response (Exhlbit Z-5) to the dismlssal request were submitted.

## Corments and Questions:

Mr. Taylor Informed that Mr. Sallsbury, attorney for the owner of the sexually orlented business, has requested by letter (Exhlbit Z-1) that Case No. 14774 be continued to Aprll 21, 1988. Mr. Sallsbury stated that addltional time ls needed to complete surveys and maps required for the protest. A letter (Exhlbit Z-2) requesting dismlssal of the appeal was also submitted.

Additlonal Conments:
The applicant, Blake Champlln, stated that he has no objection to the requested contInuance.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Quarles, Smith, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Chappelle, White, "absent") to CONTINUE Case No. 14774 to Aprll 21, 1988, as requested by the protestant.

Case No. 14775

## Action Requested:

Special Exception - Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1209 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a moblle home in an RS-3 zoned district.

Varlance - Section 440 - Speclal Exception Requirements - Use UnIt 1209 - Request a varlance of the time regulation from one year to permanently.

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residential Districts - Use Unlt 1209 - Request a varlance of the side yard setbacks, located 156 South 34th West Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Johnny Yeatman, 157 South 34th West Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, Informed that hls home burned In January and asked the Board to allow hlm to Install a moblle home on the property. Photographs (Exhlbit G-1) were submitted. Mr. Yeatmen stated that he has Ilved on the street for many years and would llke to continue to reside in the area. He Informed that there are other moblle homes In the nelghborhood, with one belng down the street from his lot and one approximately one block away.

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Quarles Inquired as to the distance from the subject property to the nearest moblle home, and Mr. Yeatman replled that the moblle home on 34th Street is approximately four houses (300' or 400') from his property.

Case No. 14775 (continued)
Ms. Bradley inquired as to the slze of the moblle home in question, and the applicant replled that it is a double wide moblle, 42' by 56'. Photographs (Exhlblt G-1) were submitted.

## Protestants:

Mr. Quarles Informed that one letter of protest (Exhlblt G-2) was recelved from a resident in the area.

## Interested Parties:

Loretta Lowery, 128 West 34th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, mother of the appllcant, stated that the moblle home is much nlcer than the house that was previously on the property.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Quarles, Smith, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Chappelle, Whlte, "absent") to APPROVE a Special Exception (Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residential Districts - Use Unit 1209) to allow a moblle home In an RS-3 zoned district; to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 440 - Speclal Exception Requirements - Use Unlt 1209) of the time regulation from one year to permanently; and to NPPROVE a Varlance (Section 430.1 Bulk and Area Requirements in Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1209) of the slde yard setbacks; subject to the moblle home belng a double wide, with a pltched roof (as shown in photographs Exhlblt G-1); finding that there are other moblle homes in the area and the granting of the requests will not be detrimental to the nelghborhood and will be in harmony with the spirit and intent of the Code and the Comprehensive Plan; on the following described property:

Lot 14, Block D, Joe Subdivision, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14777

## Action Requested:

Use Varlance - Section 610 - Principal Uses Permitted In Office Distrlcts - Use Unlt 1214 - Request a use varlance to allow for Use Unit 14 in an OL zoned district, located 7712 East 71st Street.

## Presentation:

The applicant, McDowell and Assoclates, was represented by Dave Jackson, 8455 South College, Tulsa, Oklahoma.

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Smith Informed that he is unable to hear thls case, due to the fact that his company has recently surveyed the property in question.

Due to the absence of two Board members and the fact that Mr. Smith abstalned, it was necessary to continue the case for lack of three affirmative votes required to pass the request.

## Case No. 14777 (contlnued)

## Board Action:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Quarles, Smlth, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Chappelle, White, "absent") to CONTINUE Case No. 14777 to Aprll 7, 1988, due to lack of three affirmative votes required to grant the request.

## Case No. 14782

## Action Requested:

Special Exception - Section 710 - Principal Uses Permitted in Commerclal Districts - Use Unlt 1215 - Request a speclal exception to allow a greenhouse/solarlum showroom in a CS zoned district, located 5345 East 41st Street.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Carol Fleld, was represented by Mark Cundlth, Route 2, Inola, Oklahoma, contractor for the project. After submitting a plot plan (Exhlbit $\mathrm{H}-1$ ) and a brochure (Exhlbit $\mathrm{H}-2$ ), Mr. Cundlth stated that the showroom wIll be lease space in the mall at the above stated location. He explalned that the space will be used for retall plant sales and office space.

## Comments and Questions:

Ms. Hubbard explalned that the appllcant is before the Board today because greenhouse sales are Ilsted under Use Unlt 15 in the zonling ordinance, and he has the burden of proving that the use is in harmony with the surrounding uses.

Mr. Smlth asked if all sales wlll be conflned to the Inside of the bullding, and the appllcant answered in the afflrmative.

Mr. Cundlth stated that the plot plan lllustrates a greenhouse on the front of the bullding, but this is an addition that is to be constructed in the future. He informed that only interior remodelling is planned at this time.

Mr. Smlth asked if outside sales wlll be held perlodically, and Mr. Cundlth stated that there wlll be no outside sales.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Quarles, Smith, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Chappelle, White, "absent") to APPROVE a Special Exception (Section 710 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Commerclal Districts - Use Unit 1215) to allow a greenhouse/solarlum showroom in a CS zoned district; subject to all ltems for sale belng contalned within the bullding, with no outside storage; and subject to no outside sales of plants or materlals; finding that the plant sales conducted inside the bullding will be compatible with the surrounding uses in the shopping center; on the following described property:

Case No. 14782 (contInued)
A part of the SE/4, SW/4, of Section 22, T-19-N, R-13-E, of the Indian Base and MerIdian, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, being more particularly described as follows, towlt:

Beginning at the SE/c of said $\mathrm{SE} / 4$, $\mathrm{SW} / 4$, thence $\mathrm{N} 89^{\circ} 591401 \mathrm{~W}$ along the south lIne thereof for a distance of 466.411 to the point of beginning; thence due north a distance of 819.58' to a point on the south lIne of Block 9, HIghvlew Estates Addition; thence due west along said south lIne of said Block 9 a distance of 300'; thence due south for a distance of 819.55' to the south lIne of Section 22; thence S 89<super>59140" E a distance of 3001, more or less to the point of beginning, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## OTHER BUSINESS

## Case No. 14740

Action Requested:
Special Exception - Section 710 - Principal Uses Permitted In Commercial Districts - Use Unit 1215 - Request a special exception to allow a greenhouse/solarlum showroom in a CS zoned district, located 5345 East 41 st Street.

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Taylor advised that the applicant, Harry let Westerman, 1145 South Utica, Tulsa, Oklahoma, Informed Staff that she Intends to use the original plot plan and asked that this application to amend the plot plan be withdrawn.

## Board Act Ion:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Bradley, Quarles, Smith, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Chappelle, White, "absent") to WITHDRAN Case No. 14740, as requested by the app Icant.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 2:10 pom.


