## CITY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

MINUTES of Meeting No. 508
Thursday, February 4, 1988, 1:00 p.m.
City Commission Room, Plaza Level
Tulsa Civic Center

## MEMBERS PRESENT

Chappelle,
Chalrman
Smith
White

MEMBERS ABSENT
Bradley
Quarles

STAFF PRESENT
Gardner
Jones Pltts

OTHERS PRESENT
Jackere, Legal
Department
Hubbard, Protective
Inspections

The notice and agenda of sald meeting were posted in the Office of the City Auditor on Tuesday, February 2, 1988, at 10:29 a.m., as well as in the Reception Area of the INCOG offices.

After declaring a quorum present, Chalrman Chappelle called the meeting to order at 1:02 p.m.

## MIMNTES:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappelle, Smith, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to NPPROVE the MInutes of January 21, 1988.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Case No. 14675

## Action Requested:

Special Exception - Section 710 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Commerclal Districts - Use Unit 1217 - Request a speclal exception to allow for an existing automoblle repair shop in a CS zoned district.

Varlance - Section 730 - Bulk and Area Requirements in Commerclal Dlstrlcts - Use Unlt 1217 - Request a varlance of setback from the north property Ilne from 101 to 11 to allow for an addition to an exlsting bullding, located 2245 East 6th Street.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Curtls Davenport, was represented by Robert Todd, 2519 East 21 st Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who stated that hls cllent Is actually requesting a varlance from $10^{\prime}$ to $419 \prime$. He stated that thls case was heard by the Board at an earlier meeting and his cllent was given additional time to remove some of the debrls from the lot. Mr. Todd informed that 5 cars have been removed and the remalning vehlcles are tagged. He asked that hls cllent be permitted to construct an addltion to the exlsting garage. A plot plan (Exhlblt L-2) was submltted.

Case No. 14675 (contlnued)
Comments and Questions:
Mr. Smlth asked what is located to the west of the subject property, and Mr . Todd replled that a juke box repair shop is in operation to the west.

## Protestants:

Fran Pace, Dlstrict 4 Chalrman, stated that she attended the prevlous hearlng and after vlewlng the property could not see a substantlal Improvement In the appearance of the lot. She Informed that it appears that a salvage business is in operation on the lot and asked the Board to deny the application.

## Appllcant's Rebuttal:

Mr. Todd polnted out that his cllent has been in business at the present location since 1948, and relterated that 5 vehicles have been removed from the lot and the remalnder now carry an Oklahoma tag. He Informed that the business is an auto repalr shop and not a salvage yard, and that Mr. Davenport is attempting to bring the property up to Code Enforcement specIflcatlons.

Ms. White asked how many Inoperable cars remaln on the lot, Mr. Todd replled that all of the remalnlng cars are in runnling order.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappelle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 710 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Commerclal Districts - Use Unit 1217) to allow for an existing automoblle repalr shop in a CS zoned district; and to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 730 - Bulk and Area Requlrements In Commerclal Distrlcts - Use UnIt 1217) of setback from the north property IIne from $10^{\prime}$ to $419 \prime$ to allow for an addition to an exlsting bullding; per plan submitted; subject to Code Enforcement approval; finding that all vehlcles stored on the lot are operable, with an Oklahoma Ilcense tag attached; and finding that the auto repalr shop has been In operation at the present location for many years; on the following described property:

Lot 26, Block 4, HIllcrest Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14689
Action Requested:
Special Exception - Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted in Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1205 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a church and church related uses In an RS-3 zoned district, located $1 / 4 \mathrm{mlle}$ north of $\mathrm{NE} / \mathrm{c}$ 145th East Avenue and 21 st Street.

## Case No. 14689 (continued)

Presentation:
The applicant, Leroy Veale, 5612 South 68th East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a plot plan (Exhlbit A-1), and asked the Board to allow the placement of a prefab bullding on the property at the above stated location. He explalned that the church has purchased a bullding from the school system and lt will be used for worship services untll a new facllity is constructed.

## Comments and Questlons:

Ms. White asked the appllcant to state the times services will be held, and he replled that services will be held on Sunday morning and evenlng, as well as Wednesday evenIng.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappelle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1205) to allow for a church and church related uses in an RS-3 zoned district; per plan submitted; subject to regularly scheduled church services only (no private school or day care); finding that the granting of the speclal exception request will not be detrimental to the area and will be in harmony with the spirit and Intent of the Code and the Comprehensive Plan; on the following descrlbed property:

The south 3001 of the west 6601 of the $S / 2, S / 2, N W / 4, S W / 4$, Section 10, $\mathrm{T}-19-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{R}-14-\mathrm{E}$, Clty of Tulsas Tulsa County, Ok lahoma.

## MINOR VARIANCES AND EXCEPTIONS

Case No. 14720

## ActIon Requested:

Varlance - Section 430 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Districts - Use Unlt 1206 - Request a mlnor varlance of the front setback from 251 to $20.6^{\prime}$ to allow for an exlsting dwelling unlt, located 5215 South 32nd West Place.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Gerald Snow, 820 North Lynn Lane, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that the house in question is located In a cul-de-sac and a portion of the structure encroaches into the front setback. He polnted out that the garage portion of the house sets closer to the street than the remalnder of the home.

## Case No. 14720 (continued)

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Smith Informed that his company completed work on this subdivision a few years ago and volced a concern that he may need to abstaln.

Mr. Snow commented that he is in need of Immedlate rellef from this Board in order to close a sale on the subject property.

Mr. Jackere asked Mr. Smith if he stands to lose or galn from the outcome of thls case, and he replled that the outcome is of no Importance to him or his company. Mr. Smlth stated that he wlll not make or second a motlon, but wlll vote on the case, which will allow the applicant to complete his pending sale of the property.

## Protestants:

## Board Action:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappelle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to NPPROVE a Varlance (Section 430 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1206) of the front setback from 251 to 20.61 to allow for an exlsting dwelling unlt; finding a hardship Imposed on the appllcant by the slze and shape of the lot, and the curvature of the street; on the followling descrlbed property:

Lot 8, Block 1, Snowcrest West Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14727

## ActIon Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1206 - Request a minor varlance of setback from the centerllne of East 63rd Street South from 601 to 501 to allow for a dwelling unlt, located 6168 South New Haven Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Jon Vrooman, 6138 South Loulsville, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that, due to the corner lot locatlon, and setbacks from two streets, It is very difficult to place a house on the property within the setbacks. Mr. Vrooman stated that he is also attempting to IImlt construction to the flat portion of the lot. A site plan (Exhlblt B-1) was submitted.

Protestants: None.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappelle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area requirements in Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1206) of setback from the

Case No. 14727 (continued)
centerlline of East 63rd Street South from 601 to 501 to allow for a dwelling unlt; per plot plan submitted; finding a hardship Imposed on the appllcant by the corner lot location, with major setbacks from two streets; on the following described property:

Lot 9, Block 4, Braeswood Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 14729

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Districts - Use Unlt 1206 - Request a minor varlance of front yard setback from 301 to 271 to allow for an exlsting dwelling unlt, located NE/c 25th Street and South Owasso Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, James Brackett, 3110 South Toledo, Tulsa, Oklahoma, requested by letter (Exhlblt C-1) that Case No. 14729 be contInued to March 3, 1988 to allow sufflclent time to advertlse for addltional rellef.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappelle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to CONTINUE Case No. 14729 to March 3, 1988, to allow sufficlent time for addltional advertising.

## Case No. 14730

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1206 - Request a mlnor varlance of lot frontage from $30^{\prime}$ to $10^{\prime}$ and a lot width from 1001 to 90.261 In order to permit a lot spllt (No. 16986), located 2645 East 41 st Street.

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Jones Informed that the lot split was approved by TMAPC on February 3, 1988.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Joe Coleman, 2645 East 41 st Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that the lots Involved in thls lot spllt are approximately the same slze as, or larger than, those in the surrounding area. He Informed that the exlsting garage to the west of the house will be relocated to the rear of the lot In order to provide parking spaces for the Interlor lots. A plot plan (Exhlbit D-1) was submitted.

## Case No. 14730 (continued)

Comments and Questlons:
Ms. White asked if the exlsting house is in the center of the property, and the appllcant answered In the affirmative.

## Protestants:

Bob Farris, 4002 South Columbla Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that his property abutts the subject tract on the northeast and asked why the varlance ls requested.

Mr. Gardner explalned that the appllcant is attemptIng to Install a prlvate street along the west boundary and have a total of three homes on the property. He polnted out that there are three houses to the east of the subject tract that are on less property. Mr. Gardner informed that the applicant is before the Board today because the three lots do not have 301 of frontage on 41 st Street.

Chester Bloomfleld, 2708 East 40th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is concerned with a watershed problem in the area.

Chrlstine Lancaster, 22 South Columbla Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, remarked that water runs under her house during ralny seasons.

Terry McCall, 416 South 20th Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, Informed that the new way of stacking the houses behind each other is not compatible with the design of the older nelghborhood.

## Additional Comments:

Ms. White asked Mr. Coleman to address the water problem in the rebuttal.

## Applicant's Rebuttal:

The applicant informed that the construction will not affect the dralnage in the area. He stated that all of the houses will face the private street to the west and that the overall nelghborhood wlll be Improved by this development.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappelle, White, Smlth "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements in Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1206) of lot frontage from 301 to $10^{\prime}$ and a lot width from 1001 to 90.26' in order to permit a lot spllt (No. 16986); per site plan submitted; subject to Stormwater Management approval; finding a hardshlp demonstrated by the shape and large slze of the tract and the fact that there are other lots In the area that are as small as, or smaller than, the proposed lots In question; on the followling descrlbed property:

Lot 3, Block 3, Deatherage Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Distrlcts - Use Unit 1206 - Request a minor varlance of setback from the centerllne of 31 st Street from 551 to 471 to allow for an addition to an exlsting dwelling, located NE/c 31 st Street and Peorla Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Gall Butler, 3047 South Peorla, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a site plan (Exhlbit E-1), and asked the Board to allow the construction of an addition to an existing dwelling. She informed that the new room wlll align with the south wall.

Protestants: None.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappelle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residential Districts - Use Unit 1206) of setback from the centerllne of 31 st Street from 55' to 47 ' to allow for an addition to an exlsting dwelling; per site plan submitted; finding a hardshlp demonstrated by the size of the lot; and finding that the existing dwellling was constructed over the setback llne and that the proposed construction will not move the house closer to the centerline of 31 st Street; on the following described property:

Lot 7, Block 2, Edgewood Drive Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## NEW APPLICATIONS

Case No. 14719
Action Requested:
Special Exception - Section 710 - Principal Uses Permitted In Commerclal Districts - Use Unit 1217 - Request a speclal exception to allow for an exlsting used automoblle sales lot in a CS zoned district, located 4545 North Peorla.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Alta Lee Kennedy, 4745 South 32nd West Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that she ls owner of the property at the above stated location and asked the Board to allow its continued use as a used car business. She Informed that the lot has been used for the sale of used cars for approximately 30 years.

Protestants: None.

Case No. 14719 (continued)

## Comments and Questlons:

Mr. Smlth asked the appllcant to state the days and hours of operation for the business, and Ms. Kennedy replled that the car lot will be open from 8 a.m. to $6 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. , slx days each week, with approximately 50 cars displayed.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-O (Chappelle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 710 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Commerclal Districts - Use Unlt 1217) to allow for an exlsting used automoblle sales lot In a CS zoned distrlct; subject to days and hours of operation belng Monday through Saturday, 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.; subject to a maximum of 50 operable automoblles beling displayed on the lot; finding that the car lot has been in operation at the present location for many years and has proved to be compatible with the area and in harmony with the spirit and intent of the Code and the Comprehensive Plan; on the following described property:

The south 100 ' of the north 350 ' of the east 190 ' of the west 2401 of the west 14.86 acres of Lot 1, Section 18, T-20-N, R-13-E of the Indlan Base and Merldian, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14721

## Action Requested:

Appeal - Section 1650 - Appeals from Code Enforcement Supervisor Use Unlt 1214 - Requests an appeal from the Code Enforcement Division to not allow an existing, nonconforming commerclal use in an RS-3 zoned district.

Use Varlance - Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residential Distrlcts - Use Unlt 1214 - Request a use varlance to allow for retall sales In an RS-3 zoned distrlct, located NE/c Sherldan and East 79th Street South.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Charles Sublett, 320 South Boston, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is representing the owner of the property, WIlllam Davis. Mr. Sublett asked the Board to determine that the use is nonconforming. He polnted out that the house stands alone, with Deer Hollow office bullding to the south and Square One Shopping Center near the 81st Street Intersection. Photographs (Exhlbit F-1) were submitted. He remarked that the old farm house was taken Into the clty llmits in 1966 and was used for archltectural and bullding offlces at that tlme, with the present owner operating a gift shop

Case No. 14721 (cont|nued)
for approximately 10 years. Mr. Sublett polnted out that the property, although zoned residential, is not sultable for residentlal use due to the surrounding commerclal developments. He asked that, in the event the Board does not flad the present use to be nonconforming, hls cllent be allowed an 18 month perlod in which to contlnue operation of the buslness in order to dlspose of the present Inventory. A petition of support (Exhlblt F-3) slgned by approximately 60 surrounding property owners was submitted.

## Protestants:

WIIbur Rlchey, 6610 East 78th Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that his property is close to the subject tract and has experlenced a water problem due to the covering of a condult in the area. He polnted out that some residents may have slgned the petition of support because they were told, as he was, that the signatures were required in order to remove accessory bulldings from the subject tract.

## Corments and Questions:

Mr. Gardner Informed that a 1966 aerlal photograph (Exhlblt F-2) shows 2 detached accessory bulldings east of the house and one bullding along the southwest corner which was removed when the street was Installed. An aerlal photo taken in 1980 shows additional structures on the tract, with a paved parking area, therefore, proving that the use has expanded over the years. In fact It appears that the actual use did begin after the Clty had zonlng control. Mr. Gardner polnted out that the maximum Intensity use under the Comprehensive Plan would be one-story IIght office.

Ms. White asked If the temporary bulldings are In use at thls time, and the appllcant replled that they are not in use and wlll be removed.

Mr. Smlth asked when the bulldings will be removed, and Mr. WIlllam Davis, 6924 South Knoxville, Tulsa, Oklahoma, replled that he wlll remove the bulldings in approximately 6 months, or as soon as his Inventory can be decreased.

Mr. Gardner advised that the case could be contlnued to allow the appllcant sufflclent time to remove some of the bulldings before considering the application, or the application could be denled, with a speciflc amount of time for the owner to remove the business from the property.

Ms. White suggested a 3 month contlnuance of the case.

Case No. 14721 (contInued)
Mr. Jackere Informed that the ordinance states that the Board shall act on any given appllcation within a perlod of 90 days.

Mr. Sublett suggested the continued operation of the gift shop for a perlod of 18 months, with the accessory bulldings belng removed in 6 months.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappelle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to UPHOLD the Declsion of Code Enforcement and DENY an Appeal (Section 1650 - Appeals from Code Enforcement Supervisor - Use Unlt 1214) from the Code Enforcement Division to not allow an existing, nonconforming commerclal use In an RS-3 zoned distrlct (applicant did not prove nonconforming use); and to APPROVE a Use Varlance (Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residential Districts Use Unit 1214) to allow for temporary retall sales in an RS-3 zoned district for a perlod of 18 months from thls date in order to dispose of the inventory; subject to removal all but two of the accessory bulldings from the subject property by August 4, 1988; finding that a permanent retall sales operation is not compatible with the area; and the use varlance request for permanent use violates the spirlt and Intent of the Code and the Comprehensive Plan; on the following described property: CCARIFICATION Z-18-58 MiNUTES

Lots 1 and 2, Block 1, Deer Hollow Estates AddItion, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14722

## ActIon Requested:

Special Exception - Section 610 - Principal Uses Permitted In Office Districts - Use Unit 1202 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a hellport In an OL zoned district, located east of NE/c 91st and SherIdan.

## Presentation:

The applicant, David Forrest, 4316 East 66th \#2L, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that the east portion of an OL zoned lot is the proposed location for the hellport and offlce. He Informed that the property to the north is vacant land, with residences to the east and a Braum's store to the west. Mr. Forrest stated that the hellcopter wlll arrive on the site after 8:30 a.m. and depart at approximately 4:00 p.m. for traffic report, with nighttlme storage in another location and no weekend operations. It was noted that the hellcopter wlll always approach the hellport down 91 st Street from the east or west and will not fly over the residences. An aerlal photo (Exhlblt G-1) was submitted.

Case No. 14722 (cont|nued)

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Chappelle asked the appllcant to state the distance from the hellport to the nearest residence, and he replled that it is approxImately 40 ' to 50 ' from the east property Ilne.

## Protestants:

A petition (Exhlbit G-3) was signed by numerous protestants in the audlence, and one letter of protest (Exhlbit G-2) was submitted.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of MHITE, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappelle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to DENY a Special Exception (Section 610 - PrInclpal Uses Permitted In Office Districts - Use Unit 1202) to allow for a hellport In an OL zoned district; finding that the use ls not compatible with the area, and would violate the spirit and Intent of the Code and the Comprehensive Plan; on the followlng descrlbed property:

The east 117' of Lot 11, Block 1, Resubdivlsion of Lot 14, Block 2, Chimney HIlls South Extended Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14723
Action Requested:
Special Exception - Section 420 - Accessory Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1211 - Request a speclal exception for a home occupation to allow a psychology offlce in an RS-3 zoned district, located 4508 East 39th Street.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Dale Jeffus, Route 3, Box 116-B, Coweta, Oklahoma, Informed Staff (Exhlblt H1) that the sale of the subject property was not finallzed and requested that Case No. 14723 be wlthdrawn.

Protestants:
Two letters of protest (Exhlbit H-2) were recelved by Staff.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappelle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to WITMDRN Case No. 14723, as requested by the appllcant.

## Action Requested:

Special Exception - Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unlt 1210 - Request a speclal exception to allow for an off-street parking lot in an RS-3 zoned district, located west of SW/c 39th Street and South Peorla.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Robert R. David, 613 East Maln, Jenks, Oklahoma, submitted a landscape plan, plot plan (Exhlbit J-1) and photographs (Exhlbit J-2), and asked the Board to approve off-street parking at the above stated location. He explalned that the property is an 'L shaped' parcel, with the frontage on Peorla belng zoned CH and the back portion having an RS-3 classification. Mr. David Informed that a metal bullding is in place on the rear lots. He stated that a property wlll be developed with a 16,000 sq. ft., two story retall bullding, fronting on Peorla, with approximately 80 parklng spaces being located behind the structure. It was noted that screening wlll be installed to protect the residential nelghborhood, along with large trees and landscaping for further screening. Mr. David Informed that luminary lighting (Exhlblt J-3) will be directed down and away from the residences.

## Protestants:

LInda Burns, 1207 East 39th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, asked where the entrance to the parking lot will be located, and Mr. David replled that there will be no curb cut on Owasso Street.

Ray Moss, 3917 South Owasso, Tulsa, Oklahoma, asked how close the bullding line will be to Lot 8, and Mr. David informed that there wlll be no bullding on the back lots and the parking lot will be 5 or 6 feet from the boundary Ilne. Mr. Moss stated that he belleves the construction of the parking lot will have a detrimental affect on hls property.

Kay SIlver, 3910 South Owasso, Tulsa, Oklahoma, volced a concern that the owner will be allowed to sell the property for another use. Mr. David informed that his goal is to complete the project and lease the new faclllty.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappedle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to NPPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residential Districts - Use Unit 1210) to allow for an off-street parking lot in an RS-3 zoned district; per fence, lighting and landscape plans as submitted (see exhlblts); subject to the execution of a tle contract on all lots which would prohlbit the sale of one or more of the lots without the entire commerclal development; finding that the parking lot, as designed, wlll not be detrimental to the area and will be in harmony with the spirit and Intent of the Code and the Comprehensive Plan; on the followling descrlbed property:

Case No. 14724 (continued)
Lots 9 - 12, Block 1, Roberts Subdivision, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 14725

## Action Requested:

Use Varlance - Section 410 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Residential Districts - Use Unit 1220 - Request a use varlance to allow for a golf driving range in an RS-3, RMH and PUD zoned distrlct, located approximately 3700 Park Road.

## PresentatIon:

The appllcant, Carl Cannizzaro, 1311 South Guthrle, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted an Information sheet and site plan (Exhlbit K-1) for a proposed golf driving range on 12 acres of land adjacent to the Mohawk Golf Course. He stated that a residentlal development was prevlously planned for the property, but those plans were not carrled out due to the depressed economy. Mr. Cannizzaro Informed that the Park Department is supportive of the application, and the hours of operation wlll be from sunup to sundown.

## Protestants:

John Droulllard, 5320 East 26th Street North, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he has recently purchased property adjacent to the proposed driving range. He informed that the port road is proposed to extend across his property and he is conslderlng a move to the Mohawk area, but ls concerned that the driving range cannot be contalned on the proposed tract.

Mr. Cannlzzaro stated that the course ls arranged so that the balls will not be hit toward the residentlal property, and a purchase of an additional acreage is beling considered at this time.

Mr. Droullard volced a concern that the balls might travel as far as the residentlal lots and might be a safety hazard.

## Comments and Questlons:

Ms. White remarked that Mr. Droullard could have a valld complalnt.
Mr. Gardner informed that the majorlty of the stray drives might go toward the palnt company in the northwest corner.

Mr. Cannizzaro stated that the trees along the slde would provide some protection.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappelle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to CONTINUE Case No. 14725 to February 18, 1988, In order that Staff can have sufflclent time to explore the safety aspects of the design.

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residential Districts - Use Unit 1206 - Request a varlance of front yard setback from 25 to 151 to allow for a carport, located 2550 East 17th Street.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, JIm Capps, 2224 West Ocala, Broken Arrow, Oklahoma, stated that he is representing Lee Horn, owner of the property at the above stated locatlon. Mr. Capps Informed that a varlance of the front yard setback is required to allow the construction of a carport on the property. A plot plan (Exhlblt L-1) and photographs (Exhlbit L-2) were submitted.

## Conments and Questlons:

Ms. White asked if the existing carport is to be expanded, and the appllcant replled that the old one is in bad repalr and will be removed. He informed that the carport will be of wood construction, with a pltched roof, and will be compatible with the exlsting house.

In response to Mr . Smith's Inquiry as to other carports in the area, the appllcant replled that there is one in place directly across the street to the north. He polnted out that the other carports in the nelghborhood are as close to the street as the one proposed on the subject property.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappelle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to NPPROVE a Varlance (Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Dlstrlcts - Use Unlt 1206) of front yard setback from 251 to 151 to allow for a carport; per plot plan; subject to appllcant submitting elevations for approval; subject to construction belng wood frame, with a pitched composition roof; finding a hardshlp Imposed on the appllcant by the long narrow shape of the lot; and finding that there are other carports in the area that are as close to the street as the one in question; on the followling described property:

Lot 1, Block 2, Rena Park Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residential Dlstricts - Use Unlt 1206 - Request a varlance of Ilvabllity space from 4000 sq. ft. to 2827 sq. ft. to allow for a dwelling unlt, located 1204 East 29th Street.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Jack Arnold, 7318 South Yale, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a plot plan and elevations (Exhlblt M-1) for a proposed dwelling which meets all of the bullding requirements except the livabllity space.

## Interested Partles:

Pam Caldwell, President of the Maplerldge Assoclation, stated that she and other property owners have met with Mr. Arnold and It was decided at that meeting that the house will be moved 21 farther from the property IIne than was Initlally Intended.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappelle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (SectIon 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requlrements In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1206) of Ilvabllity space from 4000 sq. ft. to 2827 sq. ft. to allow for a dwelling unlt; per revised plan and elevations; finding a hardshlp Imposed on the appllcant by the long narrow shape of the lot; and finding that there are other simllar size houses in the area that are located on lots of comparable size to the one in question; on the following described property:

Lot 15, Block 24, Sunset Terrace Addition, CIty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14731
Action Requested:
Special Exception - Section 240.2 - Permitted Yard Obstructions Use Unlt 1208 - Request a speclal exception to allow a fence helght of 81 In the front yard, located 1337 East 61 st Street.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Edwin Luce, 1514 Pecan Circle, Broken Arrow, Oklahoma, represented the owners of WIllowlck Apartments. He submitted photographs (Exhlblt $N-1$ ) and explalned that a major renovation program $1 s$ proposed, with the $I n s t a l l a t i o n ~ o f ~ a n ~ 81 ~ h i g h ~$ brlck and wrought Iron fence.

## Case No. 14731 (contInued)

Conments and Questlons:
Ms. White asked If the fence will be 81 high, and Mr. Luce Informed that the fence portion wlll be 71 high, with the overall helght belng 8 1/2'.

## Protestants: None.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 3-0-O (Chappelle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to NPPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 240.2 - Permitted Yard Obstructions - Use Unit 1208) to allow a wrought Iron fence height of 81 In the front yard; per photograph submitted; finding that the tract is very large and that there are other fences of simllar style and helght in the area; on the following descrlbed property:

Lot 1, Block 1, WIllowlck Park Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 14732

## ActIon Requested:

Special Exception - Section 710 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Commerclal Districts - Use Unit 1217 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a wrecker service in a CS zoned district.

Varlance - Section 1217.3 - Use CondItIons - Use Unlt 1217 - Request a varlance to allow for open alr storage within 300' of an $R$ District.

Varlance - Use Unit 1217 - Request a varlance of the required all-weather surface for a parking lot, located 8500 East Admiral Place, located 8500 East Admiral Place.

## Presentation:

The applicant, David Brown, 8500 East Admiral Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he owns a large vacant lot which is presently rented by a wrecker service company. He Informed that the property has previlously been rented by a feed store whlch parked thelr trucks on the lot. Mr. Brown stated that a portion of the lot ls presently belng used for storage of his business equipment and asked the Board to allow the continued use.

## Comments and Questlons:

Mr. Gardner asked if the wrecker service brings wrecked cars to the property, and Mr. Brown replled that the wrecked cars are stored there for a Ilmited perlod of time. He Informed that approximately 15 cars are presently stored on the lot.

## Protestants:

Howard Sanders, 49 South 85th East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he owns property to the south and feels that the lot in question should have a hard surface. Mr. Sanders Informed that a screenling fence should also be Installed, and polnted out that gasollne remalning In the tanks of the Inoperable cars could prove to be a fire hazard for persons in the area. Photographs (Exhlblt 0-1) were submltted.

Stewart Morse, 205 South 85th East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is not opposed to the wrecker service utlllzing the lot if the property is malntalned properly, with a hard surface parking lot and a screenlng fence installed. It was noted that the business in question parks some of thelr vehlcles on the street durling regular worklng hours.

Mr. Chappelle stated that the Board has recelved two letters (Exhlblt 0-2) of opposition to the wrecker service operating under present condltions.

## AddItIonal Comments:

Bob Stengon, 5324 South 77th East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, asked the Board to allow the present operatlon to contlnue for a perlod of 90 days whlle a new location ls found for his business.

Mr. Gardner Informed that the wrecker service ls consistent with the heavy commerclal use, but the outside storage of wrecked vehlcles is not permissible.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-O (Chappelle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 710 - Princlpal Uses Permitted In Commerclal Districts - Use Unlt 1217) to allow for a wrecker service In a CS zoned district; subject to no parking of vehicles in the street; to DENY a Varlance (Section 1217.3 - Use Conditions Use Unit 1217) to allow for open alr storage within 300' of an $R$ Distrlct; and to DENY a Varlance (Use Unit 1217) of the required all-weather surface for a parking lot; subject to all Inoperable vehicles belng removed from the premlses 90 days from this date; finding that the wrecker service business is compatible with the area and In harmony with the spirit and Intent of the Code and the Comprehensive Plan; but finding that a hardshlp was not demonstrated that would warrant the granting of the varlances requested; on the followlng descrlbed property:

The north 541.91 of Lot 1 and the west 60', north 3001 , Lot 2, less beginning at the NW/c, Lot 1, thence south 31', east 2151, north 31.1', west 2151 to the Polnt of Beginnlng, Block 3, Day Suburban Acres Addition to the Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Ok lahoma.

## ActIon Requested:

Varlance - Section 208 - One Single Famlly Dwelling per Lot of Record - Use Unlt 1206 - Request a varlance to allow for two dwelling unlts on one lot of record, located 7405 South Elwood Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Steve Lauterwasser, 6966 East 20th Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, Informed that he has recently purchased the property in question, along with an exlsting 700 sq. ft. home. He asked permission to leave the dwelling in place untli a new home is constructed on the back portion of the lot. Mr. Lauterwasser polnted out that there are other lots in the area with more than one dwelling. A plot plan (Exhlblt P-1) was submitted.

## Comments and Questlons:

Mr. Smith asked how long the construction perlod wlll be, and the appllcant replled that constructlon will begin In March and be completed by the end of the summer.

Mr. Smlth asked if the small house wlll be removed, and Mr . Lauterwasser replled that he plans to move the exlsting house farther back and use it for temporary housing for misslonarles.

Protestants: None.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappelle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 208 - One SIngle Famlly Dwelling per Lot of Record - Use Unit 1206) to allow for two dwelling unlts on one lot of record; per plot plan submitted; finding a hardship demonstrated by the slze and long, narrow shape of the lot; on the following described property:

The north 166.51 of the $N / 2, N / 2, S W / 4, N W / 4$, less the north 166.51 of the $N / 2, N E / 4, S W / 4, N W / 4$, Section 12, T-18-N, R-12-E, CIty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14734
Action Requested:
Varlance - Section 730.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Commerclal
Distrlcts - Use Unit 1213 - Request a varlance of setback from the centerline of Peorla Avenue from 50' to 42.5', located 3509 South Peorla Avenue.

## Case No. 14734 (contlnued)

Presentation:
The appllcant, JIm Glass, 1820 South Boulder Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a plot plan (Exhlblt R-1), and stated that he ls a partner of the Consortlum, formerly Stone Horse Retall Center, which Is belng converted from an enclosed mall to an open alr courtyard type commerclal structure. He Informed that all stores wlll have an Interlor courtyard storefront as well as storefronts on Peorla or 35th Street. Mr. Glass explalned that the Peorla frontage will be redesigned by projecting 88' of the exlsting 142' wall to the west a distance of 71, which will not Increase the square footage of the exlsting bullding, but wlll result in a decrease because of the change in the interlor design. He polnted out that there are numerous bulldings in the area that extend as close to Peorla as the proposed construction. A packet (Exhlblt R-2) contalning design concepts, a location map, a letter of support and photographs was submitted.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappelle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 730.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Commerclal Districts - Use Unlt 1213) of setback from the centerllne of Peorla Avenue from 50' to 42.5'; subject to the execution of a removal contract; subject to the execution of a tle contract on all lots, which will prevent the sale of one lot wlthout the sale of the entire parcel; finding that there are numerous bulldings along Peorla that extend as close to the street as the bullding in question; on the followlng descrlbed property:

The north 21 of Lot 2, Lots 3-6 and the east 501 of Lot 12, Block 3, Ollver's Addition to the Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14736

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requlrements In Residential Distrlcts - Use Unlt 1206 - Request a varlance of setback from the centerllne of Utica Avenue from 851 to 601 to allow for a carport, located 440 South Utica Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, David Ledford, 440 South UtIca, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted photographs (Exhlbit S-1), and asked the Board to allow an exlsting carport to remaln at lts present location. Mr. Ledford Informed that the front of the carport allgns with the front portion of the house.

## Comments and Questions:

Ms. White asked the appllcant if there is an enclosed storage area on the back portion of the carport, and he answered in the affirmative.

## Case No. 14736 (contInued)

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappelle, White, Smlth "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residential Districts - Use Unit 1206) of setback from the centerline of Utica Avenue from 851 to 601 to allow for an existing carport; finding that the carport allgns with the front of the house, and that there are other structures that are as close to the street as the one in question; on the following described property:

Lot 5, Block 5, Hackathorn Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14737

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 1221.3(k) - General Use Conditions for Business Signs - Use Unit 1221 - Request a varlance to allow for an existing roof sign, located 1133-H South Memorlal.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Raymond Wolf, 1133 South Memorlal, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he recently purchased a new sign for his business and asked the Board to allow a roof Installation. He Informed that all of the shops in the complex have roof slgns. Photographs (Exhlblt T-1) were submitted.

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Gardner asked the appllcant if the old sign was installed on the roof, and Mr . Wolf replled that the new sign wlll replace the old roof sign, but is two feet longer.

Ms. White asked If the sign Is IIghted, and Mr. Wolf answered In the affirmative.

Protestants: None.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappelle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to NPPROVE a Varlance (Section 1221.3(k) - General Use Conditions for Business Signs - Use Unlt 1221) to allow for an existing roof sign; as shown in submitted photographs; finding that the previous sign was Installed on the roof, as are all other signs in the strlp; on the following described property:

Lot 9 and the west 511 of Lot 10, Block 2, Forrest Acres AddItion, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14738

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 1211.3 - Off-Street ParkIng RequIrements - Use Unlt 1211 - Request a varlance of the required 33 parking spaces, located 1430 South Boulder.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Robert Yadon, MPI Archltects, stated that he is representing Tulsa Area United Way and asked the Board to allow the use of a parking lot across the alley from thelr bullding.

## Comments and Questlons:

Mr. Chappelle asked the appllcant how many parkIng spaces wlll be provided on the lot, and he replled that 33 spaces will be avallable.

Ms. Hubbard noted that the applicant is not requesting a varlance of the parklng spaces, but is asklng to have required parking on a lot other than the lot where the bullding is located.

Mr. Jackere asked If the owner of both lots is the same, and Mr. Yadon answered in the affirmative.

## Protestants: None.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappelle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 1211.3 - Off-Street ParkIng Requirements - Use Unlt 1211) to allow the required 33 parklng spaces to be located on a lot other than the lot of princlpal use; subject to the execution of a tle contract; finding that there are mlxed uses and other parking lots in the area; and that the granting of the varlance request will not cause substantlal detriment to the public good or Impalr the spirlt, purposes and Intent of the Code, or the Comprehensive Plan; on the following descrlbed property:

The south 25 ' of Lot 5, the north 251 of Lot 6 , the $\mathrm{S} / 2$ of Lot 6 and the N/2 of Lot 7, Block 1, Carlton Place AddItlon, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 14739

## ActIon Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residential Distrlcts - Use Unlt 1206 - Request a varlance of setback from the front property Ilne from 251 to 12.51 to allow for a carport, located 7307 East KIng Street.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Joseph Stelert, 7307 East KIng Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a plot plan (Exhlblt V-2) and photographs (Exhlbit V-1) of a carport that has been constructed on his property.

Case No. 14739 (continued)
Comments and Questlons:
Ms. White asked the appllcant if a contractor bullt the carport, and he replled that his son-ln-law did the work.

Mr. Smith Inquired If a bullding permit was acquired, and he replled that he did not make appllcation for a permit and was not aware that one was required.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappelle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to NPPROVE a Varlance (SectIon 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1206) of setback from the front property IIne from 251 to 12.51 to allow for a carport; per plot plan and photographs submitted; finding a hardship Imposed on the appllcant by the slze and the long narrow shape of the lot; and finding that there are other carports in the area; on the following descrlbed property:

Lot 15, Block 8, Val-Charles Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14741

## Action Requested:

Special Exception - Section 410 - Principal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1209 - Requests a speclal exception to allow for a moblle home in a RS-3 zoned district.

Varlance - Section 208 - One Single-Famlly Dwelling per Lot of Record - Use Unit 1209 - Request a varlance to allow for 2 unlts on one lot of record.

Varlance - Section 440.6(e) - Special Exception Requirements - Use Unlt 1209 - Request a varlance of the one year time regulation for moblle homes, located 2702-B East 49th Street North.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Scott Moore, 2702 East 49th Street North, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submltted a plot plan (Exhlblt W-1), and stated that there has been a moblle home located on the property slnce 1960. He asked permission to place a new moblle home at the same location.

## Comments and Questlons:

Mr. Smith asked if the moblle home in question has a pltched roof, and the appllcant answered in the afflrmative.

Ms. Smith Inquired if other moblle homes are located In the area, and he replled that there is one moblle that has been in the area sInce 1960.

## Case No. 14741 (contlnued)

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappelle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 410 - Principal Uses Permitted In Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1209) to allow for a moblle home In a RS-3 zoned district; to NPPROVE a Varlance (Section 208 - One Single-Famlly Dwelling per Lot of Record - Use Unlt 1209) to allow for 2 unlts on one lot of record for a perlod of 5 years only; and to NPPROVE a Varlance (Section 440.6(e) - Speclal Exception Requirements - Use Unlt 1209) of the one year time regulation for moblle homes to 5 years; subject to bullding permit and Health Department approval; finding that there has been a moblle home at the present location for many years; and finding that the granting of the requests will not be detrimental to the area, and will be in harmony with the spirit and Intent of the Code and the Comprehensive Plan; on the following descrlbed property:

The $S / 2, N E / 4, S W / 4$, and the $N / 2, S E / 4, N W / 4, S W / 4$, and the south 1651 of the west 3301 of the $N / 2, S W / 4, ~ N W / 4, ~ S W / 4$ and the east 1651 of the $N / 2, S W / 4, N W / 4, S W / 4$ of Section 8, T-20-N, R-13-E, of the Indlan Base and Merldian, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## OTHER BUSINESS

## Case No. 14434

Action Requested:
Approval of detall plot plan, bullding elevations, landscape and fencing plans for a pollce substation which was approved by the Board on March 19, 1987.

## Presentation:

Alan Jackere Informed that he presented the case for the City In March of 1987 and the police substation was approved at that time, subject to parklng for the late shlft belng restrlcted to the western portion of the property; and subject to a llving fence belng planted along the north boundary. He Informed that Commlssioner Dick wlll address these conditions.

Commissioner Bob Dick stated that the Clty is very concerned with beling good nelghbors to the residents in the area. He Informed that a fuelling facllity for the pollce cars has been added to the previous plans. Commissloner Dick stated that the response from the nelghborhood has been very positive, and this location is essential to the overall master plan for pollcing In the City. He explalned that there wlll be a large room In the proposed facllity which can be used by the residents of south Tulsa for varlous meetings.

Case No. 14434 (contlnued)
Bob Selman, 6440 South Lewls, Tulsa, Oklahoma, archltect for the project, submitted a plot plan (Exhlbit $X-1$ ), and explalned that the proposed bullding will be a one-story structure, with the maln entrance belng on the northwest, away from the residences. He stated that the larger, healthy trees wlll remaln and the shrubs along the fence will be removed. Mr. Selman Informed that the three concerns from the prevlous Board meeting were sound, light and visibillty. He stated that a llving fence will be Installed and IIghts, are to be directed down and are located as far from the residences as possible.

## Comments and Questlons:

Ms. White Inquired as to the location of the fuelling station, and Mr. Selman replled that it is located at the south end of the parking lot.

## Interested Partles:

Frank McCarthey, 1521 East 75th Court, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that his property is adjacent to the east parking area for the substation. He informed that he has spoken with Mr. Selman on numerous occasions about his concerns with IIght and sound. It was polnted out that there will be 75 parking spaces for officers with three shlft changes each day, and that the alternatlve plan to plant 40 trees along the boundary llne would be more acceptable than the present plan for four trees along the 3001 fence llne. Mr. McCarthey remarked that the headlights from cars pulling in for refuel ing will be polnted directly toward his residence.

Commissloner Dick Informed that the fuel lane can be one way west, which will ellminate that lighting problem, and that funds will probably be avallable to acquire the extra shrubbery needed for the project.

Wayne Drlggers, 7504 South St. Louls, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is horticulturlst for the Tulsa County Falrgrounds and Ilves in the residentlal area behlnd the proposed substatlon. He suggested that more of the exlsting trees be preserved If possible and that speclal plants be used for sound buffers. Mr. Drlggers remarked that he is pleased to have the pollce station in the area.

## Additional Comments:

Mr. Gardner stated that the original plan showed the parking lot very close to the eastern boundary, which was a concen of the Board because of nolse and lights; however, the parklng lot has now been moved 801 from the east lot IIne.

Case No. 14434 (continued)
Board Action:
On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Chappelle, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Bradley, Quarles, "absent") to APPROVE the detall plot plan, bullding elevatlons, landscape and fencling plans for a police substation which was approved by the Board on March 19, 1987.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 4:13 p.m.


