CITY BOARD OF RDJUSTMENT
MINUTES of Meeting No. 507
Thursday, January 21, 1988, 1:00 p.m. City Commission Room, Plaza Level

Tulsa Civic Center

MEMBERS PRESENT
Bradley
Chappelle,
Chairman
Quarles
Smlth
White

MEMBERS ABSENT
L

STAFF PRESENT
Gardner
Taylor
Moore

OTHERS PRESENT
Jackere, Legal
Department
Hubbard, Protective
inspections

The notice and agenda of said meeting were posted in the Office of the City Auditor on Tuesday, January 19, 1988, at 12:30 p.m., as well as in the Reception Area of the INCOG offices.

After declarlng a quorum present, Chairman Chappelle called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m.

## MINUTES:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 3-0-1 (Bradley, Chappelle, White, "aye"; no "nays"; Quarles, "abstalnlng"; Smith, "absent") to APPROVE the Minutes of December 17, 1987.

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, White, Quarles, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Smlth, "absent") to APPROVE the Minutes of January 7, 1988.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

## Case No. 14486

## Action Requested:

Variance - Section 1221.4 - CS District Use Conditions for Business Signs - Use Unit 1221 - Request a varlance of the size of wall and canopy signs, located 3727 South Memorial Drive.

## Presentation:

A letter (Exhlbit $X-1$ ) was received from Attorney Michael Hackett, requestling a contlnuance of Case No. 14486 until the April 7, 1988 meeting to allow the Sign Board adequate time to consider revisions in the ordinances.

## Case No. 14486 (continued)

Board Action:
On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradey, Chappelle, Quarles, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Smith, "absent") to CONTINUE Case No. 14486 (related Case No. 14575) to April 7, 1988, as requested by counsel for the appllcant.

Case No. 14575

## Action Requested:

Appeal - Section 1650 - Appeals from the Building Inspector - Use Unit 1221 - Appeal Bullding Inspector's decision to deny a sign permit application on the grounds of sign surface footage.

Interpretation - Section 1660 - Interpretation - Use Unit 1221 Request interpretation of the term "nonillumlnated background"; as it appears in the term "display surface area".

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Michael Hackett, 1443 South Norfolk Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, requested by letter (Exhibit X-1) that Case No. 14575 (related Case No. 14486) be contInued to April 7, 1988 to allow the Sign Board adequate time to consider revisions In the ordinances.

## Comments and Questlons:

Ms. Bradley requested that any protestants, which were present at previous meetings concerning this case, be notifled of the contlinuance.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of QUNRLES, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Smith, "absent") to CONTINUE Case No. 14575 to Aprll 7, 1988, as requested by the applicant.

## Case No. 14690

## ActIon Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residential Distrlcts - Use Unit 1206 - Request a varlance of lot width from 100' to 70' (80' front/60' rear - average lot wldth), lot area from $13,500 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. to $8500 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. and land area from $16,000 \mathrm{sq}$.ft . to 12,500 sq. ft. In order to permit a lot spllt, located SE/c Utica Avenue and 27th Street.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Rick Dodson, PO Box 55461, Tulsa, Oklahoma, was not present.

## Case No. 14690 (continued)

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Gardner advised that the TMAPC appllication for a lot spllt was denled on January 20, 1988, and that the applicant had remarked that he would revise the configuration of the lots. He suggested that the application be contlinued for a perlod of 30 days.

## Interested Partles:

Attorney Charles Norman, counsel for Herman Kalser, stated that due to the TMAPC denlal of the lot spllt, he expected the appllcant to withdraw the Board of Adjustment request for rellef.

Mr. Gardner stated that the appllcant would be required to reapply If the case is not continued.

Mr. Norman stated that he does not object to the continuance, but asked that he be informed of the hearlng date.

Ms. Bradley requested that any protestants at the TMAPC meeting be notified of the new hearling date.

## Board Actlon:

On MOTION of MHITE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Whlte, Quarles, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Smlth, "absent") to CONTINUE Case No. 14690 (Lot split \#16966) to March 3, 1988.

Case No. 14689
Action Requested:
Special Exception - Section 410 - Principal Uses Permitted In Residential Districts - Use Unit 1205 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a church and church related uses in an RS-3 zoned district, located $1 / 4 \mathrm{mlle}$ north of $\mathrm{NE} / \mathrm{c}$ 145th East Avenue and 21 st Street.

## Presentatlon:

The applicant, Leroy Veale, 5612 South 68th East Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma, was not present.

Board Action:
On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, White, Quarles, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Smith, "absent") to CONTINUE Case No. 14689 to February 4, 1988.

## ActIon Requested:

Special Exception - Sectlori 410 - PrInclpal Uses Permitted In Resldentlal Districts - Use Unit 1205 - Request a speclal exception to allow for a chlldren's nursery in an RS-3 zoned district.

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residential District - Use Unit 1206 - Request a varlance of side yard setback from $5^{\prime}$ to $\mathbf{1 6 " ~}^{\prime \prime}$ to allow for an addition to the existing dwelling unlt, located 6208 South 101 st East Avenue.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Roy Johnsen, 324 Maln Mall, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that his cllent is proposing to purchase the subject property for the purpose of operatling a chlldren's day nursery. He Informed that she is presently leasing property in the area for nursery use and plans to transfer her present business to the new location. Mr. Johnsen stated that the existing dwelling ls beling upgraded, and a $24^{\prime}$ by $24^{\prime}$ extension is being added to the north, which will allow his client to accommodate 48 chlldren at thls locatlon. He noted that she has met with a representative of the State Health Department, and is now in the process of complying with the requirements of that department, as well as those of the Bullding Inspector. It was polnted out by Mr. Johnsen that there are commerclal uses in the area, with propertles to the Immedlate west being zoned corridor or commerclal. He stated that the bullding to the west and south of the subject tract is an Indoor soccer facllity, with propertles to the Immedlate north and south belng undeveloped. Mr. Johnsen Informed that the new addition will have no wIndows on the north and wlll be located 16 " from the property Ilne, which was the lot llne for older bulldings that have been removed from the lot. He noted that there is sufficlent space to the south of the existing dwelling to galn access to the rear portion of the lot. A plot plan (Exhlbit A-1) and photographs (Exhibit A-2) were submitted.

## Conments and Questions:

Mr. Chappelle asked the appllcant to state the days and hours of operation, and Mr. Johnsen replled that the nursery wlll be open from 6:30 a.m. to 11:30 p.m.

In response to Ms. Bradley's Inquiry as to the parking arrangement, Mr. Johnsen Informed that a 201 by 1001 concrete drlveway has been Installed, which will provide ample parking spaces for the business.

Ms. Bradley remarked that she ls concerned that a traffic problem could be created by automoblles backing out of the driveway.

Case No. 14699 (continued)
Mr. Johnsen stated that his cllent is willing to provide an additional area for turn around space or employee parking If parkling in the driveway is not acceptable.

Ms. White asked Mr. Johnsen to address the hardshlp for the varlance request, and he replled that the long term future for the property is commerclal, which does not require a setback. He further noted that the addition will not extend closer to the lot Ilne than the accessory bulldings which were previously at that location.

## Interested Partles:

Tim Thomas stated that he is representing the property owner to the south, who is interested in what is being proposed for the subject tract.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, White, Quarles, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Smith, "absent") to NPPROVE a Speclal Exception (Section 410 - Principal Uses Permitted In Residential Districts - Use Unit 1205) to allow for a chlldren's nursery in an RS-3 zoned district; and to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements in Resldential District - Use Unit 1206) of side yard setback from 51 to 16 " to allow for an addition to the existing dwelling unit; per plan submitted; subject to days and hours of operation beling 6:30 a.m. to 11:30 p.m., 7 days each week; subject to appllcant acquiring a Ilcense for the business; and subject to a maximum of 48 chlldren; finding a hardship demonstrated by mixed uses and zoning classifications in the area, and the fact that the new addition will have the same bullding line as the previous accessory bullding that was located on the tract; on the followlng descrlbed property:

Lot 2, less the south 76.27 ' of the east 275.45', Block 4, Union Gardens Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14711

## Action Requested:

Variance - Section 280 - Structure Setback from Abutting Street Use Unit 1221 - Request a minor varlance of setback from the centerline of Peorla Avenue from 50' to 36' to allow for a business sign, located 1444 South Peoria.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Mike Moydell, Oll Capltol Neon, 1221 West 3rd Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a site plan (Exhlbit B-1) for a sign at the above stated location. He explained that the existing pole sign for Long John Silver's Restaurant will be relocated, due to the recent installation of a drive-through lane, and asked the Board to allow It to be erected In the grassy area along Peoria. Mr. Moydell pointed out that the proposed sign wlll be 36' from the centerllne of Peorla and will allgn with the existing signs in the area. A sign drawling (Exhlbit B-2) was submitted.

## Case No. 14711 (continued)

## Conments and Questions:

Ms. Bradley asked If the square footage of the existing sign on the bullding and the proposed pole sign will exceed the total signage allowed for the restaurant. Mr. Moydell replied that the sign structure will not be changed, but merely moved to the new location.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of QUARLES, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, White, Quarles, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Smith, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 280 - Structure Setback from Abutting Street - Use Unlt 1221) of setback from the centerllne of Peoria Avenue from 50' to $36^{\prime}$ to allow for a business sign; per plan submitted; subject to the execution of a removal contract; finding that there are existing signs in the area that are as close to the street as the sign in question; on the following described property:

Lots 3, 4, 5, and 6, Block 16, Broadmoor Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 14701

Action Requested:
Special Exception/Varlance - Section 250.3 - Modification of the Screening Wall or Fence Requirements - Use Unit 1211 - Request a special exception/varlance to modify or remove the screening requirement, located NE/c 54th Street and South Lewis Avenue.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Roy Johnsen, 324 Main Mall, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is representing the owner of the property at the above stated location. He informed that the recently constructed one-story bullding is used by the Department of Agriculture for office space and would require a screening fence along the east and south boundarles. Mr. Johnsen asked that thls requirement be modifled or removed. He pointed out that a brick wall topped with a hedge is in place on the east boundary, and a letter of support (Exhibit C-2) from that abutting property owner was submitted. It was noted by Mr. Johnsen that a Public Service sub-station Is located on the property to the south of the subject tract and Is not In need of the protective screening. Photographs (Exhlbit C-1) were submitted.

Protestants: None.

## Case No. 14701 (cont|nued)

## Coments and Questions:

Ms. White asked Mr. Johnsen if the wall and hedge belong to his cllent, and he replled that the wall belongs to Mr. Bowers, the property owner to the east.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, Smith, White, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; none, "absent") to APPRROVE a Speclal Exception /Varlance (Section 250.3 Modification of the Screenling Wall or Fence Requirements - Use Unit 1211) to modify the screening requirement on the east boundary to include the exlsting brick wall and hedge, and to remove the screening requirement on the south boundary; finding that a sub-station is in place on the property to the south and is not in need of the protective screening; on the following described property:

The north 1751 of the north 195 ' of the west 207 ' of the $\mathrm{S} / 2$, N/2, SW/4, NW/4, Section 32, T-19-N, R-13-E, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14704

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements in Residential Distrlcts - Use Unlt 1206 - Request a varlance of the rear yard setback from 201 to $5^{\prime \prime}$ 6" to allow for a garage, located 1622 East 31 st Street.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Jack Arnold, 7318 South Yale, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a site plan (Exhlbit D-1) for a dwelling in Utica Park Addition and asked the Board to allow the garage to be attached to the house. He Informed that there are two other homes in the area which are similar in design. He polnted out that the price range of the homes in this area are from $\$ 300,000$ to $\$ 500,000$.

## Comments and Questions:

Ms. Bradiey Inquired as to the depth of the lots in this development, and the applicant replled that the 'lots are 1331 deep.

## Case No. 14704 (continued)

## Interested Parties:

Richard and Carol Liebendorfer, 1634 East 31st, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that they were mistaken about the property under applicatlon. She stated that thelr tract is actually one lot removed from the subject lot, Instead of abutting it as they had Initlally thought. Ms. Llebendorfer remarked that they have not recelved notice of any action on properties in the addition.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of QUARLES, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; none, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements in Residential Districts - Use Unit 1206) of the rear yard setback from 201 to $5^{\prime}$ 6" to allow for an attached garage; per plot plan submitted; finding a hardship Imposed on the applicant by the size and shape of the lot; finding that the garage could be placed within 31 of the lot line if the garage was detached; and finding that the proposed use is compatible with the other homes in the area; on the following described property:

The west 70' of the north 133' of a tract beginning 341.71 west and 50 ' south of the $N E / c$ of the $N E / 4, N E / 4$, NW/4 of Section 19, T-19-N, R-13-E of the Indlan Base and Meridian, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, according to the US Government Survey thereof; thence west 158.31 to the NE/c of Lot 1, Block 1, Leland Terrace Addition; thence south 266 ' to the SE/c of Lot 6, Block 1 , of sald Addition; thence east 0.521 to a polnt of curve; thence along a curve to the left with a radius of $75^{\prime}$ for $48.26^{\prime}$ to a polnt of reverse curve; thence along a curve to the right with a radlus of 501 for 84.54 ' thence 39.47' thence north 266 to the Point of Beginning, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 620.2(d) - Accessory Use Conditions - Use Unit 1221 - Request a varlance to allow for two 32 sq. ft. business signs on one street frontage, located 2105 East 15 th Street.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Charles Norman, Sulte 909, Kennedy Bullding, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that this case was heard and denied by the Board in November of 1987. Mr. Norman informed that he was contacted by the owner, Dr. John Carr, after that hearing. He stated that a brick wall had been constructed along the front drive and 2 signs (each containing 32 sq. tt.l were mounted on the wall. Mr. Norman informed that the signs have now been redesigned, with the total display surface area of both signs beling less than 32 sq. ft. A revised sign plan (Exhibit E-1) was submitted. Mr. Norman pointed out that the business would be allowed by right to construct a double faced pole sign ( $32 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. per side) at the property line. He further noted that the two signs will not extend above the top of the existing screening wall. A sign drawing (Exhlbit E-2) and photographs (Exhlbit E-3) were submitted.

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Chappelle informed that the Board has received one letter of support (Exhlbit E-4) from a resident of the area.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of QUARLES, the Board voted 4-0-1 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; White, "abstalning"; none, "absent") to APPROVE a Variance (Section 620.2(d) - Accessory Use Conditions - Use Unit 1221) to allow for two nonllluminated business signs, a total of 28 sq. ft., on one street frontage; per plan submitted; finding that the total square footage of both wall signs will be less than the 32 sq . ft. amount allowed by the Code; on the followling described property:

The west 75' of Lot 24 , and the east 15 ' of Lot 23 , less the following described part of Lot 23 ; beginning on the north line of Lot 23, at a polnt 121 west of the NE/c of Lot 23 ; thence west 3'; thence south 117'; thence east 3'; thence north 117' to the Polnt of Beginning; all in Block 5, Terrace Drive Addition to the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof.

## Action Requested:

Variance - Section 730.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Commerclal Districts - Use Unit 1216 - Request a varlance of setback from the centerline of Lewls Avenue from 65.5' to 42.51 to allow for the construction of a building and a varlance of setback from the centerline of Lewis Avenue from 50' to 35' to allow for an existing sign, located 1435 South Lewis Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Robert Swanson, 4132 East 46th Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, submitted a plot plan and elevations (Exhibit F-1) and stated that he is the architect for the proposed car lube facillty. He pointed out that the shallow lot will be useless without rellef from the current setback requirements. He polnted out that the new facility will be an improvement over the old structure that is presently located on the property.

## Comments and Questlons:

Mr. Chappelle informed that the Board has recelved a letter of protest (Exhibit F-2) from Rick Braselton, President of the Gillette Historic Association.

## Protestants:

Harry Humphries, 2201 East 38th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he owns property on 14th Place, east of the proposed lube facllity, and is opposed to the appllcation. He pointed out that the existing sign base is located approximately 6 from a fire hydrant, with a spacing of $8^{\prime}$ required. Mr. Humphries stated that there is already a trafflc problem in the area and that the proposed use is not compatible with the neighborhood.

Mr. Jackere polnted out that the proposed use is permitted in the Commerclal Zone, and that It is setback rellef that is being requested in this application.

Whit Mauzy, 1532 South Glllette, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that the drawing shows a distance of $271 / 21$ from the centerllne of Lewis to the property line, while the plat lists the distance as $25^{\prime}$.

Ms. Hubbard advised that, If the 251 setback figure is correct, the building will be closer to the street than was previously determined. She pointed out that the setback Information which was given to the architect was taken from the City Atlas.

Russell Marquette, 2415 East 15th, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he owns rental property in the area and is opposed to the construction of the facllity at the proposed setback. He pointed out that the Impressions Restaurant is much too close to the street.

Mr. Gardner Informed that, according to the site plan, the proposed bullding will be set back approximately $10^{\prime}$ to $12^{\prime}$ farther east than the Impressions which was constructed on the property line.

## Case No. 14707 (continued)

## Additional Comments:

Both Mr. Quarles and Ms. White agreed that the applicant is in need of rellef If any construction is to occur on the lot.

Ms. Bradley asked Mr. Swanson If there wll| be addlitional curb cuts, and he replled that only the existling curb cuts will be used.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of QUARLES, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, Whlte, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; none, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 730.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements in Commerclal Districts - Use Unit 1216) of setback from the centerllne of Lewls Avenue from 65.5' to 42.51 to allow for the construction of a bullding and a varlance of setback from the centerllne of Lew is Avenue from 50' to 35' to allow for an existing sign; per plan submitted; subject to Removal Contract and Fire Department approval; finding a hardshlp imposed on the appllcant by the size and shape of the lot, and the corner lot locatlon with setback requirements on two streets; on the following described property:

Lot 12, Block 5, City Viow HIll Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 14710

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements in Residential Districts - Use Unit 1206 - Request a varlance of the rear yard setback from 20 to 141 to allow for an addition to an existing dwelling, located 2530 South 96th Place.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, Paul Utry, of Utry and Brewster Construction, 2909 Northshire, Claremore, Oklahoma, submitted a plot plan (Exhlbit G-1) and stated that the setback variance affects only a $\mathbf{4}^{\prime}$ portion of a proposed addition to an exlsting dwelling. He stated that an existing storm cellar will be enclosed in the added portion. Mr. Utry pointed out that the extreme curvature of the street at this location causes one end of the addition to encroach Into the setback.

## Conments and Questions:

A letter and photograph (Exhlbit G-2) from Watershed Management were submitted to the Board.

Protestants: None.

Case No. 14710 (continued)
Board Action:
On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; none, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residential Districts - Use Unit 1206) of the rear yard setback from 20 ' to 14 ' to allow for an addition to an existing dwellling; per plot plan submitted; flndlng a hardship demonstrated by the size and shape of the lot and the curvature of the street at this location; on the following described property:

Lot 27, Block 31, Lonvlew Lake Estates Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 14712

Action Requested:
Special Exception/Use Variance - Section 420 - Accessory Uses in Residential Districts - Section 410 - Princlpal Uses In Residential Districts - Use Unit 1213 - Request a special exception/use variance to allow for a home occupation/barber shop in an RS-3 zoned district, located 8033 East 2nd Street.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Paul Morse, 8033 East 2nd Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he has been in business for 20 years at another location and is proposing to move his barber shop to the above stated address. He Informed that he constructed a wood fence across the front yard and a complalnt was filed by Mr. Barber, one of his neighbors. Mr. Morse stated that the problem has been resolved, and letters of support from surrounding property owners, as well as the protestant, (Exhlbit H-1) were submitted. The appllcant polnted out that there are numerous commerclal uses in the area. He informed that the curb on 2nd Street was removed and a parking lot was constructed in the back and side yards. Mr. Morse stated that he has no employees and the provided parklng area wlll be more than adequate for his customers. He Informed that two slgns are in place Inside the window. Photographs (ExhlbIt H-3) were submitted.

## Corments and Questions:

Ms. Bradley asked Mr. Gardner If this request is for a home occupation or a use varlance, and he replled that the appllcant mlght be able to operate under the Home Occupation Guidellnes, except for the slgn. He pointed out that the Ilvabllity space has been depleted by the construction of a paved parking lot, and a varlance wlll be required.

Mr. Smith asked Mr. Morse if he lives In the house where the business is located, and he answered in the affirmative.

In response to Mr. Smith's Inquiry as to the number of signs for the business, the applicant informed that he has two signs in the windows and two decorative barber poles.

```
Case No. 14712 (continued)
```

Mr. Quarles asked that Mr. Gardner clarlfy the special exception/use varlance request, and he relterated that the application goes beyond the special exception and will require a varlance. He explalned that the structure has the appearance of a residence converted to a business.

Ms. White remarked that the structure has the appearance of a house from 2nd Street, but looks llke a business on the Memorial side.

Mr. Gardner polnted out that there are only two lots abutting Memorlal Drive within the mile that are residential, one of which is the applicant's property.

Ms. Bradley asked the appllcant to state the hardshlp, and he replled that the hardshlp is an economic one.

Mr. Quarles explalned to the appllcant that an economlc hardshlp cannot be considered by the Board, but commented that the property is unique in that it is surrounded by uses other than residential.

## Protestants:

Mr. Chappelle stated that the Board has recelved a petition of opposition (Exhlbit H-2) from area residents.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 4-1-0 (Chappelle, Quarles, White, Smith "aye"; Bradley, "nay"; no "abstentlons"; none, "absent") to APPROVE a Speclal Exception/Use Varlance (Section 420 Accessory Uses In Residential Districts - Section 410 - Principal Uses in Residential Districts - Use Unit 1213) to allow for a home occupation/barber shop in an RS-3 zoned district; per Home Occupation Guidelines; subject to 2 existing inside window signs and 2 existing decorative barber poles (as in photograph) on the Memorial Drive frontage only; subject to the south side of the house being residential in appearance; subject to days and hours of operation belng Tuesday through Saturday, 9 a.m. to 6 p.m.; and subject to no expansion of the exlsting structure or parking lot; on the followling described property:

Lot 14, Block 8, Tommy Lee Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## MINOR VARIANCES AND EXCEPTIONS

## Case No. 14702

## ActIon Requested:

Varlance - Section 430 - Bulk and Area Requirements in Residential Districts - Use Unit 1206 - Request a varlance of the lot width from $60^{\prime}$ to 501 to allow for a lot spllt, located 1439 East 34th Street.

Case No. 14702 (continued)
Conments and Questions:
Mr. Taylor informed that TMAPC approved the lot spllt on January 20, 1988.

## Presentation:

The applicant, John Walton, 2101 South Madison, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who submitted a site plan (Exhlbit J-1), stated that he is owner of the property in question and asked the Board to approve the lot spllt (No.16972).

## Additlonal Corments:

Mr. Gardner Informed that the 50' lot width is consistent will those lots to the west of the subject property.

## Interested Parties:

Charles Pulley, 1431 East 34th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is new in the area and is interested in the plans for the property in question. He pointed out that his property abutts the Walton property, and would like to know what will be bullt on the slab that has been poured.

Mr. Walton stated that he poured the slab because of the weather, and is not sure if he will move the existing garage to the slab or construct a new one. He informed that the existing house will be brought up to neighborhood standards and a new house constructed on the remaining lot.

## Board ActIon:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; none, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 430 - Bulk and Area Requirements in Residential Districts - Use Unit 1206) of the lot width from 601 to 501 to allow for a lot split (No. 16972); finding a hardship demonstrated by the slze of the tract and the fact that numerous lots to the west of the subject property are 50 l in width; on the following described property:

Lot 5, Block 8, Ollver's Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 14718

## Action Requested:

Variance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residential Districts - Use Unlt 1206 - Request a mlnor variance of the front setback from 301 to 24 ' to allow for an existing dwelling in order to clear the title, located 3802 East 83rd Street.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Vai B. Moore, 3802 East 83rd Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, Informed that he ls the owner of the property at the above stated

Case No. 14718 (continued)
location. He polnted out that he has obtalned a new survey (Exhibit K-1), which showed that the porch of the existing home is extending over the front setback line.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of QUARLES, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays": no "abstentions"; none, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Sectlon 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements in Residential Districts - Use Unit 1206) of the front setback from 301 to 24 ' to allow for an existing dwelling in order to clear the title; per new survey submitted; on the following described property:

Lot 5, Block 10, Forrest Creek Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## NEN APPLLICATIONS

Case No. 14713
Action Requested:
Variance - Section 1420 - Nonconforming Use of Buildings - Use Unit 1206 - Request a varlance to allow for the expansion of a nonconforming use, located 1403 South Jamestown Avenue.

## Presentation:

The applicant, Jesse Gresham, was represented by Joseph Nosak, 1021 West Reno, Tulsa, Oklahoma. Mr. Nosak submitted a plot plan (Exhlbit L-2) for an addition of approximately 23 sq . ft. to an existing garage apartment. A location map (Exhibit L-3) was submitted.

## Comments and Questlons:

Ms. White asked where the 23 sq. ft. will be added, and Mr. Nosak replled that the addition is to the east.

Mr. Chappell Informed that the Board has recelved one letter of protest (Exhibit L-1) from a resident in the area.

Mr. Jackere asked how long the garage apartment has been at the present location, and Mr. Nosak replled that it was constructed along with the maln residence.

In response to Mr. Quarles Inquiry as to the use of the additional space, Mr. Nosak replled that the closet and bathroom space is being enlarged.

Mr. Gardner asked Mr. Nosak if there is only one bedroom and one bath in the apartment, and he answered in the affirmative.

## Case No. 14713 (contlnued)

## Interested Parties:

Bascom Bullington, 1335 South Jamestown, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is not opposed to the enlarging of the garage apartment, but voiced a complalnt that he did not receive an earlier explanation of the applicant's Intent.

Stan Kelthley, 1336 East 20th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he owns the property to the east of the garage apartment. He explained that the project was started approximately two years ago without a permit, and work was ordered to cease before completion. Mr . Keithley informed that the applicant then recelved a permit, which was granted in error. He informed that a permit cannot be Issued to expand a nonconforming structure without rellef from this Board. He polnted out that the expansion was major, and volced a concern that the area will actually be rezoned, one by one, to multiple residences.

Mr. Gardner pointed out that the use is nonconforming because of the fact that there are two detached dwelllngs on the same lot.

Mr. Quarles stated that the garage apartment is baslcally the same after the construction is completed, except for being 23 sq. ft. larger.

Ms. White polnted out that a trend toward expansion of the garage apartments in the area could lead to parking problems.

Ms. Bradley asked Mr. Nosak to address the hardship for this case, and the applicant stated that he does not understand a hardshlp, but that it is not obvious that the 23 sq . ft, has been added. He stated that the addition was cut down by two feet to move the apartment away from the easement.

Mr. Jackere Inquired as to the size of the garage apartment before It was extended, and he stated the initial slze was approximately 16' by 25', with a portion added that 1 s 2 ' by 11 1/2'.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of QUARLES, the Board voted 3-2-0 (Chappelle, Quarles, Smith "aye"; White, Bradley, "nay"; no "abstentions"; none, "absent") to APPROVE a Variance (Section 1420 - Nonconforming Use of Buildings - Use Unit 1206) to allow for the expansion of a nonconforming use (garage apartment); per plan submitted; finding that the tract contains two dwellings and the expansion is minor (approximately 5 percent increase in size) and that the land use intensity (1 bedroom efficiency) will not result in increased incompatibility with the area, nor cause substantlal detriment to the public good; on the following described property:

Lot 13, Block 5, Summlt Heights Additlon, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 14714

Action Requested:
Varlance - Section 730 - Bulk and Area Requirements in Commerclal Districts - Use Unlt 1213 - Request a varlance of setback from the centerllne of Peorla Avenue from 50' to 43' to allow for a gasollne Island canopy, located 1603 South Peorla Avenue.

## Presentation:

The appllcant, W. R. Grlsez, PO 9152, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is owner of the property In question and asked the Board to allow the erection of a canopy over the gas pumps. He explalned that the old equipment will be replaced by Texaco, and the $24^{\prime}$ by $24^{\prime}$ canopy wlll extend over the required setback on Peoria.

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of BRADLEY, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, White, Smith "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; none, "absent") to APPROVE a Varlance (Section 730 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Commerclal Distrlcts - Use Unit 1213) of setback from the centerline of Peoria Avenue from 501 to 431 to allow for a gasoline island canopy; finding that the lot is shallow in depth and there are other structures in the area that are as close to the street as the one in question; and finding that the granting of the varlance request will not cause substantial detriment to the public good or Impalr the splrit, purposes and Intent of the Code or the Comprehensive Plan; on the following described property:

The west 80' of Lots 15 and 16, Block 9, Orcutt Addition, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 14715

Action Requested:
Variance - Section 930 - Bulk and Area requirements in Industrial Districts - Use Unit 1213 - Request a variance of setback from the centerline of Southwest Boulevard, located 3050 Southwest Boulevard.

## Presentation:

The applicant, W. R. Grlsez, PO Box 9152, Tulsa, Oklahoma, asked the Board to allow him to replace an existing bullding with a new oil storage warehouse and offlce facllity. He pointed out that the new bullding will be an asset to the communlty and wlll not be as close to the street as other structures in the area.

## Conments and Questions:

Mr. Gardner informed that the proposed bullding setback wlll be as great as, if not greater than, other bulldings along Southwest Boulevard.

## Case No. 14715 (contlnued)

Protestants: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Bradley, Chappelle, Quarles, White, Smlth "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; none, "absent") to APPROVE a Variance (Section 930 - Bulk and Area requirements in Industrial Districts - Use Unit 1213) of setback from the centerline of Southwest Boulevard; finding a hardship demonstrated by the narrow shape of the lot; and finding that the old bullding on the property will be demollshed and replaced with a new offlce/warehouse which wlll not be closer to the street than the surrounding structures; on the following described property:

All that part of the SW/4, SW/4 and all that part of the $S / 2$, S/2, NW/4, SW/4 of Section 14, T-19-N, R-12-E of the Indian Base and Meridian, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point in the south boundary of sald SW/4, SW/4 a distance of 662.16' from the SW/c thereof, sald polnt being In the easterly right-of-way Ilne of the Oklahoma Union Rallway Company right-of-way; thence due east along the south IIne of sald SW/4, SW/4 a distance of 578.26' to the point of beginning, sald polnt belng in the westerly right-of-way line of Sapulpa Road; thence $N 0^{\circ} 333^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} W$ along the westerly right-of-way IIne of Sapulpa Road a distance of 1623.98! to a point in the south boundary of Howard Park to the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma; thence $\mathrm{N} 89^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ along the south boundary of Howard Park a distance of 282.591 to a polnt In the easterly right-of-way Ilne of the Red Fork Expressway rlght-of-way; thence S $1^{\circ} 20 ' 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ along the easterly boundary of the Red Fork Expressway right-of-way a distance of 888.22'; thence S 555'58" E along the easterly rlght-of-way IIne of Red Fork Expressway right-of-way a distance of 520.29'; thence S $2^{\circ} 52132^{\prime \prime}$ W a distance along the easterly boundary of the Red Fork Expressway right-of-way and the extension thereof, a distance of 221.11 to a point in the south boundary of said SW/4, SW/4; thence due east a distance of 276.53' to the Polnt of Beginning, contalning 10.837 acres, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 14716

## Action Requested:

Varlance - Section 430.1 - Bulk and Area Requirements In Residential Districts - Use Unit 1205 - Request a varlance of height from 35' to 43' and a varlance of setback from the centerline of 36th Street from 65' to 60' to allow for an addition to an existing building, located 3601 South Yale.

## Presentation:

The appl Icant, Larry Morgan, PO Box 123, Owasso, Oklahoma, submitted a plot plan and elevations (Exhlbit $\mathrm{M}-1$ ) for an addition to an existing church building, which is set back 62' 10" from 36th Street. Mr. Morgan explained that plers for the two-story expansion wlll be set outside the existing structure and wlll extend 11 closer to 36th Street. He Informed that a multi-purpose bullding wlll be added to the first floor. The applicant pointed out that the other buildings in the area are closer to the street than the bullding in question. Photographs (Exhibit M-2) were submitted.

## Comments and Questlons:

Mr. Quarles asked if the new portion of the building will be higher than the exlsting structure, and Mr. Morgan polnted out that the existing structure is one story (351 tall). He Informed that the new portion will envelope the old building and the roof of the second story will have a maximum height of 43'.

In response to Mr. Smith's Inquiry as to the type of materlal that will be used, Mr. Morgan replied that the new addltion will be of precast panels (no metal) and wlll have an asphalt shlngle roof.

Mr. Quarles asked the cost of the proposed expansion, and the applicant replled that the cost for the construction wlll be approximately $\$ 350,000$.

## Interested Partles:

Bob Farrill, 6036 East 36th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, stated that he is in support of the variance request.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 4-0-1 (Chappelle, Quarles, White, Smlth "aye"; no "nays"; Bradley, "abstaining"; none, "absent") to APPROVE a Variance (Section 430.1 - Buik and Area Requirements in Residentlal Districts - Use Unit 1205) of height from 35' to 43' and a varlance of setback from the centerllne of 36th Street from 65' to 601 to permit an addltion to an exlsting building; per plan submitted; subject to building materlals being precast panels (no metal) which wlll be compatible with the existing building; subJect to a pitched roof with asphalt shingle covering; finding that the bullding will be compatible with the area and wlll allgn with, or be set back farther than, the other structures in the area; on the following described property:

The NW/4, NW/4, SW/4 of Section 22, T-19-N, R-13-E of the Indlan Base and MerIdian In Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma,

Case No. 14716 (continued)
according to the US Government Survey thereof. LESS, the east 24.25' of the west $50^{\prime}$ of the NW/4, NW/4, SW/4 of Section 22, T-19-N, R-13-E in Tulsa County, Oklahoma and LESS a tract in the NW/4, NW/4, SW/4 of Section 22, T-19-N, R-13-E described as follows, towlt:

Beginglng at the $S E / c$ of sald $N W / 4, N W / 4, S W / 4$, thence north along the east Ilne thereof a distance of $660.12^{\prime}$ to the $N E / C$ thereof; thence west along the north line of sald NW/4, NW/4, SW/4 a distance of 105'; thence $S 0^{\circ} 00155^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ a distance of 284.39'; thence $N 89^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ a distance of $553.84^{\prime}$ to a polnt on the westerly IIne of sald $\mathrm{NW} / 4, \mathrm{NW} / 4, \mathrm{SW} / 4$; thence south along the west Iine thereof a distance of 375.731 to the $\mathrm{SW} / \mathrm{c}$ thereof; thence east along the south line of sald NW/4, NW/4, SW/4 a distance of 658.74' to the Polnt of Beginning subject to exlsting roadway easements over the west $50^{\prime \prime}$ and the north 40' thereof, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

## Case No. 14717

Action Requested:
Varlance - Section $620.2(d-1)$ - Accessory Use Conditions - Use
Unit 1221 - Request a varlance of the size of a business sign from
$32 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. to 86 sq . ft., located $34 i 4$ South Yale Avenue.
Presentation:
The applicant, Amax Signs, was represented by Duane Gooding, 9520 East 55th Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma, who submitted a slgn plan (Exhlbit $\mathrm{N}-1$ ) and photographs (Exhlbit $\mathrm{N}-2$ ). He informed that the exlsting sign wlll be replaced with a new rlbbon sign ( $2^{\prime}$ by $43^{\prime}$ ).

## Comments and Questions:

Ms. White asked if the lighted pole sign will remain, and Mr . Gooding replied that the pole sign on Yale will remaln.

## Protestants:

Mr. Chappelle stated that the Board has received one letter of opposition (Exhlbit $N-3$ ) which stated that the requested sign is approximately three times the size of the existing one.

## Additional Comments:

Ms. White remarked that the area is saturated with signs and polnted out that the bullding across the street from the subject property has set a good example with their small sign.

Mr. Smith polnted out that the business ls allowed 32 sq . ft. of signage on each of the two street frontages.

Case No. 14717 (contlnued)

## Board Action:

On MOTION of WHITE, the Board voted 4-0-1 (Chappelle, Quarles, White, Smlth "aye"; no "nays"; Bradley, "abstalning"; none, "absent") to DENY a Varlance (Section 620.2(d-1) - Accessory Use Conditions - Use Unit 1221) of the size of a business sign from $32 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. to $86 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. ; flnding that the applicant falled to demonstrate a hardship that would justify the granting of the amount of the variance request; on the following described property:

Lots 1 and 2, Block 2, Conway Park 11 Addition, Clty of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

There beling no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 3:25 p.m.
$\qquad$


## CITY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

MINUTES of Meeting No. 506
Thursday, January 7, 1988, 1:00 pom.
City Commission Room, Plaza Level
Tulsa Civic Center

Due to Inclement weather, the January 7, 1988 CIty Board of Adjustment Meeting was cancelled. All items scheduled to be heard at that meeting will be added to the agenda for the next regularly scheduled meeting, January $21,1987$.


