## TULSA METROPOLITAN AREA PLANNING COMMISSION

Minutes of Meeting No. 1603
Wednesday, May 14, 1986, 1:30 p.m.
City Commission Room, Plaza Level, Tulsa Civic Center

| MEMBERS PRESENT | MEMBERS ABSENT | STAFF PRESENT | OTHERS PRESENT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Carnes | Paddock, | Frank | Linker, Legal |
| Doherty, 2nd Vice- | VanFossen | Gardner | Counsel |
| Chairman | Crawford | Setters | Reynolds, DSM |
| Draughon |  |  |  |
| Kempe |  |  |  |
| Parmele, Chairman |  |  |  |
| Selph |  |  |  |
| Wilson, ist Vice- |  |  |  |
| Chairman |  |  |  |

The notice and agenda of said meeting were posted in the Office of the City Auditor on Tuesday, May 13, 1986 at 10:13 a.m., as well as in the Reception Area of the INCOG offices.

After declaring a quorum present, Chairman Parmele called the meeting to order at 1:32 p.m.

REPORTS:
Report of Receipts and Deposits:
On MOTION of CARNES, the Plaming Commission voted 8-0-0 (Carnes, Doherty, Draughon, Kempe, Parmele, Selph, Wilson, Woodard, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Paddock, VanFossen, Crawford, "absent") to APPROVE the Report of Receipts and Deposits for the Month Ended April 30, 1986, as confirmed by Staff to be in order.

## Director's Report:

CONSIDER APPROVING RESOLUTIONS AMENDING THE MAJOR STREET AND HIGHWAY PLAN, A PART OF THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE TULSA METROPOLITAN AREA, AND A FURTHER AMENDMENT TO THE SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS MAKING RIGHT-OF-WAY WIDTHS, INTERSECTIONS AND STREET CATEGORIES CONSISTENT WITH THE ÁDOPTED MAJOR STREET AND HIGHWAY PLAN, AND INCLUDING AN ADDITIONAL SIGNATURE AUTHORIZATION FOR ENDORSEMENT OF APPROVAL ON PLATS AND LOT SPLITS.

## TMAPC ACTION: 8 members present

On MOTION of HILSON, the Planning Commission voted 8-0-0 (Carnes, Doherty, Draughon, Kempe, Parmele, Selph, Wilson, Woodard, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentlons"; Paddock, VanFossen, Crawford, "absent") to ADOPT the Resolutions as described above and as recommended by Staff (Resolutions \#1576:612, \#1588:615 and \#1596:616).

## ZONING PUBLIC HEARIMG:

Application No.: CZ-149
Present Zoning: RS
Applicant: Winningham Proposed Zoning: CG
Location: South of Southwest Boulevard between 57th \& 58th West Avenue Size of Tract: . 7 acres, more or less

Date of Hearing: May 14, 1986
Presentation to TMAPC by: Mr. Donald Winningham, PO Box "T", Oakhurst
(446-6166)

## Relationship to the Comprehensive Plan:

The District 9 Plan, a part of the Comprehensive Plan for the Tulsa Metropolitan Area, designates the subject property Low Intensity Residential.

According to the "Matrix Illustrating District Plan Map Categories Relationship to Zoning Districts," the requested CG District is not in accordance with the Plan Map.

## Staff Recommendation:

Site Analysis: The subject tract is approximately .7 acres in size and located between 57th West Avenue and 58th West Avenue, south of U.S. Highway 66. It is nonwooded, flat, contains what appears to be a commercial/industrial building along with semi-trailer storage and is zoned RS.

Surrounding Area Analysis: The tract is abutted on the north by a similar commercial/industrial building with semi-traller storage zoned $C G$, on the east by an existing auto salvage zoned IL, on the south by a single-family dwelling and vacant property zoned RS and on the west by a mostly developed residential area zoned RS.

Zoning and BOA Historical Summary: Recent zoning cases, 1976 to present, allowed both CG and IL zonings on tracts located east of 57 th West Avenue. In some cases, the zoning was approved to accommodate existing uses such as an auto salvage. This can be seen in CZ-26 under zoning background; however, the west 5 feet of that subject tract remained RS. This was also done to prohibit industrial traffic from using 57th West Avenue.

Conclusion: Presently, a well-defined zoning boundary exists in 57th West Avenue, separating the industrial and residential districts and uses. Staff would consider nonresidential zoning west of 57 th West Avenue an encroachment into residential uses. However, Staff could support the north 50 feet (Lots 29 and 30 , which roughly cover the existing building) to be rezoned CG to square up the zoning boundaries. This would require an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan for those lots to Medium Intensity - No Specific Land Use. A similar amendment should also be done for property to the east (CZ-26).

Therefore, Staff recommends APPROVAL of CG zoning on Lots 29 and 30 and DENIAL on the balance.

## Applicant's Comments:

Mr. Winningham advised he operates the salvage business east of the subject tract, and owns and leases the subject tract to a traller manufacturing company. Mr. Winningham stated the application was to allow storage and parking of the trallers.

Mr. Carnes asked for clarification of the existing fence line. Mr. Doherty inquired if 57th West Avenue was open all the way through to old Highway 66. Ms. Wilson, referring to the Staff recommendation, asked Mr. Winningham if CG zoning on Lot 29 and 30 only would be adequate. Mr. Winningham stated, although not sure of the exact location of Lots 29 and 30, the lessor of the property was needing additional parking space. Commissioner Selph clarified that Lots 29 and 30 only covered the existing building. Mr. Winninham stated the lessor was interested in the land behind the building, and he did not think having just Lots 29 and 30 would be enough.

## Interested Parties:

Mr. Lawrence McGrew, 5821 South 58th West Avenue, stated the subject tract runs in front of his property. Mr. McGrew submitted photos of traller storage currently on the property, In reply to Ms. Wilson. Mr. McGrew stated they have been storing these trailers since April 15th.

Mr. Dick Ostrander, Route 13 Box 20, Tulsa (74107), stated he was strongly opposed to any more commercial encroachment into the residential area. Mr. Ostrander submitted photos of the neighborhood showing the commercial bulldings and the residences.

## Comments \& Discussion:

Ms. Kempe confirmed with Staff that the proposed recommendation would not allow the present storage of trailers. Mr. Doherty inquired if the current use of the bullding was a conforming or nonconforming use. Mr. Gardner stated it was difficult to judge, as he was not sure how long the bullding has been on the site, and added the bullding was built for commercial use and is not residential in appearance.

Commissioner Selph commented he totally supported the Staff recommendation as any further zoning of the lots to CG would be an encroachment into residential areas. In response to Ms. Wilson, Mr. Linker advised, in reference to the present storage of trailers on the site, that in the city Code Enforcement usually allows someone to continue current operations while an application is going through the process or pending. Code enforcement is done by the Bullding inspector in the County, as noted by Commissioner Selph.

## TMAPC ACTION: 8 members present

On MOTION of CARNES, the Planning Commission voted 8-0-0 (Carnes, Doherty, Draughon, Kempe, Parmele, Selph, Wilson, Woodard, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Paddock, VanFossen, Crawford, "absent") to APPROVE CZ-149 Winningham for CG zoning on Lots 29 and 30 only, with the balance to remain RS, as recommended by Staff.

## Legal Description:

CG zoning on Lots 29 and 30 , and Lots 21 through 23 and 29 through 35, Block 16, EAST ADDITION TO NEW TANEHA, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, to remain RS.

Application No.: PUD 417
Applicant: Norman (St. John's)
Present Zoning: Multiple
Proposed Zoning: Unchanged
Location: North and East of 21 st and Utica
Size of Tract: 20.9 acres, more or less
Date of Hearing: May 14, 1986
Presentation to TMAPC by: Mr. Charles Norman, 909 Kennedy Building (583-757i)

## Staff Recommendation:

The purpose of this PUD is to: (a) combine PUD 225, PUD 338, PUD 401 and Board of Adjustment Case No. 12767; (b) amend certain development standards in PUD 225, 338 and 401; (c) add additional property to the St. John's Hospital; and (d) establish development standards for the additional property. The proposed PUD (see attached Exhibit A) includes the following development areas:

```
A - Hospital superblock/PUD 338, Area A
B - Medical and related uses/PUD 338, Area B
C - Parking/PUD 338, Area C
D - Three detached single-family dwelling units/PUD 338 plus one lot
E - OM uses and structural parking/PUD 225, Area A
F - OM uses/PUD 225, Area B
G-Off-street parking structure/PUD 225, Area C
H - New development area for OL uses
| = OL uses/PUD 401 as amended per PUD 417
J-Childrens nursery (existing)/BOA 12767
K - New development area for OL uses
```

MOTE: See attached Exhibits B, C, D, E, F, G, H, 1 and J.
PUD 225 was approved in 1980 and included the Physicians Office Bullding and parking garage at East i9th Street and South Utica and authorized construction of the Doctors Office Building and a second parking garage at the northwest corner of East 19th Street and South Victor Avenue. PUD 338 was approved in 1983 and included the main block of the medical center campus, the surface parking area at the southwest corner of East 19th Street and South Wheeling and the location of the proposed Medical Service and Wellness Center buliding on the north side of East 19th Street between South Victor and South Wheeling Avenues. The main block was rezoned at the same time to permit heavy and medium office districts permitting hospital and related uses. PUD 338 included a circulation plan which proposed the closing of parts of South Victor and South Wheeling Avenues and construction of cul-de-sacs to separate Medical Center traffic from residential traffic to the north and east. The proposal to close parts of South Victor and South Wheeling was not pursued at that time since the applicant did not own all of the abutting property. PUD 401 was approved in 1985 to permit physicians office buildings at the northwest corner of East 17 th Place and South Victor Avenue.

A major feature of PUD 417, besides combining the various PUD's and a BOA case and adding certain areas, is the proposed closing of South Victor from south of its intersection with East 17th Place to East 19th Street. In its place is proposed an emergency access lane and an Activity Node per Exhibit B. TAC made no negative comment on this request and requested only minor changes to street alignments and paving to accomplish this change. Other significant changes include the transfer of floor area from Area $A$ to Areas $H, K$ and 1 , shared parking arrangements between the various use areas, a new curb cut north of the building in PUD 401 to South Victor (Staff supports this request as the applicant now owns all property across the street) and use of the various residential areas on an interim basis for office and parking uses while permanent facilities are being developed (Staff is also supportive of this request). Several minor changes are identified in the Staff Analys is of the Development Standards, which due to its length, Staff recommends it be an official exhibit of the TMAPC Minutes.

A parking analysis has been submitted and indicates that sufficient parking has been constructed to support the development of the 26,000 square feet of space being transferred from the original 1,795,122 square feet of floor area in PUD 338 Area A: Area H-10,000 square feet; Area 1 - plus 4,000 square feet to 22,000 square feet from 18,000 square feet under PUD 401; and Area K - 12,000 square feet. Figures indicate that 900,000 square feet of unallocated floor area will exist in PUD 417 as proposed based on the various types of underlying zoning including CS, OL , OM and OH .

The Staff has reviewed PUD 417 and finds that it is: (1) consistent with the Comprehensive Plan; (2) in harmony with the existing and expected development of surrounding areas; (3) a unifled treatment of the development possibilities of the site and, (4) consistent with the stated purposes and standards of the PUD Chapter of the Zoning Code.

Therefore, Staff recommends APPROVAL of PUD 417 as follows:

1) That the applicant's Outline Development Plan and Text be made a condition of approval, unless modified herein.
2) Development Standards:

See attached Exhibit entitled "Development Standards for Areas A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J and K." Staff recommends this Exhibit be made an official exhibit of the TMAPC Minutes and thereby incorporated into the official record.
3) Subject to review and approval of conditions, as recommended by the Technical Advisory Committee. Traffic Engineer requests that the right-of-way radius at the northwest corner of 17 th Place and Victor be increased and the turning radius from 17 th Place to Victor also be increased.
4) That a Detail Landscape Plan shall be submitted to the TMAPC for review and approval and installed prior to issuance of an Occupancy Permit. The landscaping materials required under the approved Plan shall be maintained and replaced as needed, as a continued condition of the granting of an Occupancy Permit.
5) That all parking lot lighting shall be directed downward and away from adjacent residential areas.
6) That no Building Permit shall be issued until the requirements of Section 260 of the Zoning Code has been satisfied and approved by the TMAPC and filed of record in the County Clerk's office, incorporating within the Restrictive Covenants the PUD conditions of approval, making the City of Tulsa beneficiary to said Covenants. This requirement shall apply unless walved by the TMAPC.
7) It is anticipated that development within Areas B and I will commence during the second half of 1986. Existing structures within Area $H$ may be used for the permitted uses during the construction period for Area B. Development of Area H, primarily as landscaped open space, will begin after completion of construction within Area B. Area K will be used as an interim parking resource during the construction perlods and as an additional parking resource for Area 1 until bulldings for light office use are constructed within Area K.
8) If the applicant is not successful in vacating the street right-of-way for South Victor, these Development Standards shall be subject to a minor amendment requiring TMAPC approval for the necessary revisions.

## Applicant's Comments:

In response to Mr . Parmele, Mr. Norman stated he was in basic agreement with the Staff recommendation. Mr. Norman submitted a copy of the text of the PUD and reviewed the history of the zoning action on the subject properties. Along with a Concept lllustration, Mr. Norman presented a Landscape Plan. In response to Ms. Kempe Mr. Norman reviewed the amount of land that could be involved in any future land acquisition, based on the 1983 plan which is still in place.

## Interested Parties:

Ms. Nell Bradshaw
Ms. Elenor Craig
Mr. ?? Craig
Mr. Pat McGinley

## Address:

1628 East Victor
1814 East 17th Street
1814 East 17th Street
1731 South Victor

Of main concern to the interested parties was the possible closing of Victor and any increase in traffic, as most people choose to park on the streets rather than use the parking garages. The interested parties also
asked for a review of the landscaping and fencing plans. After a review of the Concept Plan, Chairman Parmele explained the applicant would be required to submit a Detail Landscape Plan and asked Staff to notify the interested parties of record of the upcoming date of the review. Most of the concerns of the interested partles were generated around development area K .

## Applicant's Rebuttal:

Mr. Norman, in addressing specific questions of the interested parties, stated the drainage has been reviewed by the Department of Stormwater Management and onsite detention would be required. Mr. Norman advised of the contact with Traffic Engineering and the difference of opinions among members of this department as to the traffic and street layout around the hospital area and related requirements for increased right-of-way and turning radius on one street. Mr. Norman reviewed the proposed fencing for Area K, and assured the interested parties that a Detail Site Plan and Detall Landscape Plan will be presented to the TMAPC detalling specifics of the PUD.

## Additional Comments and Discussion:

Ms. Kempe stated she felt that bringing all the PUDis together was a step in the right direction and moved for approval. Ms. Wilson agreed with Ms. Kempe and suggested amending condition $\# 4$ to include a Detail Fence Plan as well as the Detall Landscape Plan. Mr. Norman stated this was intended and he had no objection. Ms. Wilson also suggested adding a condition \#9 to direct that notice be given to interested parties of record of future meetings before the TMAPC on this application. Ms. Kempe amended her motion to include these suggestions.

## TMAPC ACTION: 8 members present

On MOTION of KEMPE, the Planning Commission voted 8-0-0 (Carnes, Doherty, Draughon, Kempe, Parmele, Selph, Wilson, Woodard, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Paddock, VanFossen, Crawford, "absent") to APPROVE PUD 417 Norman (St. John's), as recommended by Staff, with the following amendments:

1) Condition \#4 shall direct that a Detail Fence Plan be submitted for review, in addition to the Detall Landscape Plan.
2) Condition \#9 shall be added to direct that all interested parties of record be notified of any future meetings before the TMAPC on this application.

## Legal Description:

Development Areas $A, B, C$ and $D: S W / 4$ of the $S W / 4$ of the $S E / 4$, Section 7, T-19-N, R-13-E, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, and (i) all of Block 1 , except Lots 13, 14 and 15, REDDIN THIRD ADDITION to the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof; and (2) Lots 7 through 18, Block 3, EDGEWOOD PLACE ADDITION to the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof; and (3) Lots 1 through 5, Block 3, REDDIN THIRD ADDITION to the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof; and (4) Lots 13, 14 and 15, Block 2, EDGEWOOD PLACE ADDITION to the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof.

Development Area E: Lots 12, 13, 14 and 15, and the west 35 ' of Lots 8, 9, 10 and 11, Block 4, EDGEWOOD PLACE ADDITION To the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof.
Development Area F: The South $21^{\prime}$ of the East 130 ' of Lot 9, and the East 130' of Lots 10 and 11 , Block 4 , EDGEWOOD PLACE ADDITION To the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof.
Development Area G: Lots 1 through 7 inclusive, the East 130 ' of Lot 8 the North $28^{\prime}$ of the East 130 ' of Lot 9, and Lot 17, Block 4, EDGEWOOD PLACE ADDITION to the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof.

Development Area $H$ : Lots 19, 20, 21, 22 and 23, Block 3, EDGEWOOD PLACE ADDITION to the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof.
Development Area 1: Lots 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and Lots 12, and 13, Block 17, ORCUTT ADDITION to the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof.
Development Area J: The West 40' of Lots 1 and 2, Block 17, and the East $10^{\prime}$ of vacated alley; the East 100 ' of Lot 1, Block 17; the East 1001 of Lot 2, Block 17; Lot 3, Block 17, and 101 vacated alley; Lot 14, Block 17 and ten foot vacated alley; Lot 15, Block 17 and ten foot vacated alley; Lot 16 , Block 17, and $10^{\prime}$ vacated alley, all in ORCUTT ADDITION to the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof.

Development Area K: The South $40^{\prime}$ of Lot 22; the North $10^{\prime}$ of Lot 22; the South 28' of Lot 21; the South $16^{\prime}$ of Lot 20; the North 22' of Lot 21; the North 301 of Lot 20; the South $8^{\prime}$ of Lot 19; the North 381 of Lot 19; Lots 18 and 17; all in Block 2 WEAVER ADDITION to the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof.

## OTHER BUSINESS:

PUD 179-1-1, Area A: SW/c of East 71st Street South \& South 2nd East Avenue

## Staff Recommendation: Minor Amendment to allow an Identification Sign

The subject tract is 12.47 acres (net) in size and is located at the southwest corner of East 71st Street South and South 92nd East Avenue. It has been approved for a maximum of 228 dwelling units of multi-family/cluster homes type. The subject tract received Detall site Plan approval by the TMAPC on September 29, 1982. Currently a 228 dwelling unit apartment complex, Woodland Oaks, comprises the property. The applicant is now requesting a minor amendment to PUD 179-1 to allow an approximately 28.82 square foot identification sign at the southwest corner of 71 st Street and 92 nd East Avenue. Notice has been sent to abutting property owners.

After review of the minutes for PUD 179-1, as well as the Detall site Plan, no mention is made for allowed signage. Staff finds the request to be minor in nature and consistent with existing signage in the area. No setback figure has been submitted for the location but, according to the applicant's plan, the sign is off City of Tulsa right-of-way and on the applicant's own property. Staff recommends APPROVAL of the Minor Amendment to allow a 28.82 square foot identification sign for Woodland Oaks as per submitted plans and picture.

On MOTION of CARNES, the Planning Commission voted 7-0-0 (Carnes, Doherty, Draughon, Kempe, Parmele, Wilson, Woodard, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Paddock, Selph, VanFossen, Crawford, "absent") to APPROVE the Minor Amendment to Allow an Identification Sign for PUD 179-1-1, Area A, as recommended by Staff.

## * * * * * *

## PUD 168-4: East of the SE/c of East 81st Street South and South Harvard

## Staff Recommendation: Minor Amendment for Change of Access

The subject tract has been developed as the Forest Creek Shopping Center and includes a mix of office and retail uses. The applicant is requesting that one additional curb cut from East 81 st Street be granted 215.69 west of the existing curb cut (see enclosed sketch). The intersection of 81 st and South Harvard is planned for near term improvement which will include channelization and a median on 81st which would prohibit west bound right turns across traffic into the Center via the proposed curb cut. Turning movements from the new curb cut will be restricted by the median to east bound right turns from 81 st into the Center, or east bound right turns leaving the Center onto 81 st.

Staff recommends APPROVAL of this request subject to approval of the requested Change of Access by the Traffic Engineer. It is anticipated that the Traffic Engineer will review and act upon the Change of Access prior to the TMAPC meeting.

## Comments \& Discussion:

Mr. Frank noted that the Traffic Engineer had approved this request and Charles Norman, on behalf of the applicant, presented the documents for TMAPC signature.

On MOTION of CARNES, the Planning Commission voted 7-0-0 (Carnes, Doherty, Draughon, Kempe, Parmele, Wilson, Woodard, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Paddock, Selph, VanFossen, Crawford, "absent") to APPROVE the Minor Amendment for Change of Access for PUD 168-4, as recommended by Staff.

\author{

*     *         *             *                 *                     *                         * <br> PUD 359-2: East 77th Street South and East of South Memorial Lot 2, Block 1, Mayfair Courts Addition <br> Staff Recommendation: Minor Amendment and Detall Site Plan for Development Area "B"
}

The subject PUD is approximately 12.56 acres in size and is located one-half mile south of the southeast corner of East 71st Street and South Memorial Drive. Development Area "A" has been approved for medical office development abutting Memorial and now completed, and Area "B" for an elderly housing project east of the office site. Previous minor amendment applications appiled only to the medical office development.

The applicant is proposing to change the approved 212 unit bedroom mix from 200 1-bedrooms and 12 2-bedrooms to 60 alcove units (1-bedroom), 96 1 -bedroom units and 52 2-bedroom units for a revised total of 208 units. it is also proposed to revise the required parking from 276 units for elderly housing to a parking ratio of .75 spaces per unit or 160 spaces and be required to master plan for the 276 units. Staff would note that .75 spaces per unit is now in accordance with the Zoning Code for elderly housing; however, if the project is converted from elderly apartments to conventional apartments, Zoning Code provisions must also be met at the ratio of 1.5 spaces per 1-bedroom unit and 2 spaces per 2-bedroom unit. A conventional 208 unit apartment project with 156 1-bedroom units and 52 2-bedroom units would require 338 parking spaces and not the 276 as previously approved for PUD 359.

Staff considers this request minor in nature as it will actually result in a reduction in the number of units from 212 to 208 and Staff is supportive of the request to change the parking requirement from 276 spaces to . 75 spaces per unit or 160 spaces as this is now in accordance with the Zoning Code as amended to address "Special Housing." Therefore, Staff recommends APPROVAL of PUD 359-2 subject to the following conditions.

1) That the applicant's submitted Detail Site Plan and Text for Area "B" be made a condition of approval unless revised herein.
2) Development Standards:

| Land Area (Gross): | 8.73 acres | $380,293.06 \mathrm{sf}$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| (Net): | 8.60 acres | $374,750.06 \mathrm{sf}$ |

Permitted Uses: Retirement or elderly multi-family residential dwelling units and accessory uses such as park, gardening, club house, dining facilities, swimming pool, tennis court, jogging path, snack bar and similar recreational and related uses.

| Maximum Building Height: | PUD 359 | PUD 359-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 story or 461 exclusive of basement or any mezzanine or loft area | same |
| Maximum Number of Units: | 212 total | 208 total |
| 1-bedroom: | 200 | 156 |
| 2-bedroom: | 12 | 52 |
| Minimum Off-Street Parking: | 276 spaces | 160 spaces or . 75 spaces per unit for elderly housing* |
| Minimum Bullding Setbacks: |  |  |
| from West Boundary - Area B | 1151 | 1151 |
| from South Boundary - Area B | B 65' | 651 |
| from East Boundary - Area B | 125' | 1251 |
| from North Boundary - Ȧrea B | B 160' | $16{ }^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Livability Space: | 127,200 sf | 124,800 sf* |

* There is sufficient land within Area "B" devoted to landscaping, gardening and similar uses that could be utilized for additional parking spaces sufficient to meet the Zoning Code for conventional apartments if at any time in the future this project were converted from a retirement care center to a conventional multi-family project without violating minimum livability space requirements. A total of 338 spaces is required (178 additional spaces) to convert this project from elderly to conventional apartments.

3) That all trash, utility and equipment areas shall be screened from pubilic view.
4) That all parking lot lighting shall be directed downward and away from adjacent residential areas.
5) All signs shall be subject to Detall Sign Plan review and approval by the TMAPC prior to installation and in accordance with Section 1130.2(b) of the PUD Chapter of the Zoning Code.
6) That a Detall Landscape Plan shall be submitted to the TMAPC for review and approval and installed prior to issuance of an Occupancy Permit. The landscaping materials required under the approved Plan shall be maintained and replaced as needed, as a continued condition of the granting of an Occupancy Permit.
7) That no Bullding Permit shall be issued until the requirements of Section 260 of the Zoning Code has been satisfied and approved by the TMAPC and filed of record in the County Clerk's office, incorporating within the Resterictive Covenants the PUD conditions of approval, making the City of Tulsa beneficiary to said Covenants, unless waived by the TMAPC.

## Comments \& Discussion:

In reply to Mr. Doherty, Mr. Gardner explained elderly housing is defined by the physical design of the features of the units, as well as the parking restrictions.

On MOTION of DOHERTY, the Planning Commission voted 7-0-1 (Doherty, Draughon, Kempe, Parmele, Selph, Wilson, Woodard, "aye"; no "nays"; Carnes, "abstaining"; Paddock, VanFossen, Crawford, "absent") to APPROVE the Minor Amendment and Detall Site Plan for Development Area "B" of PUD 359-2, as recommended by Staff.

PUD 346-2: 8887 South Lewis being Lots 1 and 2, Block 1, Lewis Center East
Staff Recommendation: Minor Amendment and Amended Deeds of Dedication
The subject tract has underlying CS zoning and has been approved for a mixed use elderly housing complex and accessory uses including an activities building of 5,000 square feet, an amenitles building of 700 square feet, and a restaurant to be a maximum of 6,581 square feet. The TMAPC approved PUD 346-1 in which the developer reduced the intensity of the development by a reduction in the maximum number of dwelling units from 171 with 169 parking spaces, to 133 units with 153 parking spaces. The elderly housing residential complex has been completed and was given Detall Site Plan approval March 7, 1984 and amended October 24, 1985 per PUD 346-1. The applicant is now requesting approval for the restaurant to
be permitted as a principal use (which would be permitted in the CS District) with no change in the maximum floor area, or a 20,000 square foot office building.

The subject tract has a gross land area of 4.7 acres or 204,732 square feet. Utillizing the factor of 1200 square feet per unit as required under RM-2, the applicant would have sufficlent area leven an excess of 2,571 square feet) to develop the 6,581 square foot restaurant or the 20,000 square foot offlce bullding. This application has been advertised.

Staff review of the request indicates that it is minor in nature; therefore, Staff recommends APPROVAL of PUD 346-2 as follows:

1) That the applicant's Plan and Text be made a condition of approval, unless modified herein.
2) Development Standards: Lot 1, Block 1, Lewis Center East Land Area (Net): 38,061 sf
Permitted Uses: Restaurant or office uses including a financlal institution.
Maximum Building Height:

Restaurant Buliding
Office Bullding
Maximum Building Floor Area:* Restaurant Office Minimum Off-Street Parking: Restaurant

Office

Minimum Building Setbacks: from Centerline of $S$. Lewis from Other Lot Lines

1-story or $30^{\circ}$
3-story or 42'

6,581 sf 20,000 sf

1-space per 100 sf of gross floor area, and 1-space per 75 sf of accessory bar area.
1 -space per 300 sf and as required per the Zoning Code.

1101
111 for 1 or 2 story 20' for 3 story Minimum Landscaped Open Space: $\quad 13 \%$ of the net area **

* Restaurant or office uses are permitted under this PUD on the subject tract.
** Landscaped open space shall include internal and external landscaped open areas, parking lot islands and buffers, but shall exclude pedestrian walkways and parking areas designed solely for circulation.

Signage: Ground signs shall be limited to one sign along the South Lewis frontage identifying the establishment therein, and shall not exceed 25 feet in height, nor exceed a display surface area of 120 square feet.
Outdoor advertising signs, if any, shall be removed prior to granting of an Occupancy Permit on a principal bullding on the subject tract.
The aggregate display surface area of wall or canopy signs shall be limited to $1-1 / 2$ square feet per lineal foot of the building wall to which the sign or signs are affixed. No wall or canopy sign shall be installed on a bullding wall or canopy having a South or East facing.
3) Development Standards: Lot 2, Block 1, Lewis Center East

Land Area (Net):
145,397 sf
Permitted Uses: Elderly housing and accessory uses including central kitchen, beauty parlor, arts and crafts, laundry, and meeting room facilities, and alternatively, the principal use may be conventional multi-family dwellings.*
Maximum Number of Dwelling

Units:
Maximum Bullding Height:
Residential Bullding
Activities Building
Amenities Building
Maximum Building Floor Area:
Activities Building
Amenities Building
Minimum Off-Street Parking:
Residential Complex
Minimum Building Setbacks:
from Center I ine of Lewis
from South Boundary
from East Boundary
from North Boundary
Minimum Livability Space: 26,600 sf

* Conventional multi-family apartments shall require the review and approval of the Tulsa Metropolitan Area Planning Commission and shall be subject to such other additional off-street parking and requirements as the TMAPC may impose.

Signage: Ground signs shall be limited to one sign along the South Lewis frontage identifying the establishment therein, and shall not exceed a display surface of 120 square feet.
Wall or canopy signs shall be limited to one sign not exceeding a display surface area of 64 square feet.
4) That all trash, utility and equipment areas shall be screened from public view. A $6^{\prime}$ screening fence shall be provided along the boundary of the commercial portion of this PUD and abutting residentially zoned property.
5) That all parking lot lighting shall be directed downward and away from adjacent residential areas.
6) All signs shall be subject to Detail Sign Plan review and approval by the TMAPC prior to installation.
7) That a Detail Landscape Plan shall be submitted to the TMAPC for review and approval and installed prior to issuance of an Occupancy Permit. The landscaping materials required under the approved Plan shall be maintained and replaced as needed, as a continued condition of the granting of an Occupancy Permit.
8) Subject to review and approval of conditions, as recommended by the Technical Advisory Committee.
9) That a Detall Site Plan shall be submitted to and approved by the TMAPC prior to issuance of a Building Permit for all areas remaining to be developed.
10) That no Building Permit shall be issued until the requirements of Section 260 of the Zoning Code has been satisfied and approved by the TMAPC and filed of record in the County Clerk's office, incorporating within the Restrictive Covenants the PUD conditions of approval, making the City of Tulsa beneficiary to said Covenants unless a waiver is granted by the TMAPC, or the property has been previously platted.

Amended Deeds of Dedication: Staff has reviewed the Amended Deeds and recommends APPROVAL, subject to approval by the City Legai Department.

## Applicant's Comments:

In response to Chairman Parmele, Mr. Roy Johnsen stated agreement with the Staff recommendation, with the revisions that were made during the presentation concerning bullding setbacks and revising open space from $15 \%$ to $13 \%$ in Area "A".

On MOTION of DOHERTY, the Planning Commission voted 8-0-0 (Carnes, Doherty, Draughon, Kempe, Parmele, Selph, Wilson, Woodard, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Paddock, VanFossen, Crawford, "absent") to APPROVE the Minor Amendment and Amended Deeds of Dedication for PUD 346-2, as recommended and revised by Staff.

## SUBDIVISIONS:

FINAL PLAT APPROVAL \& RELEASE:
Eleventh Street Storage NE/c East 11th Street \& South Mingo Road
On MOTION of DOHERTY, the Planning Commission voted 8-0-0 (Canes, Doherty, Draughon, Kempe, Parmele, Selph, Wilson, Woodard, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Paddock, VanFossen, Crawford, "absent") to APPROVE the Final Plat and Release for Eleventh Street Storage, as recommended by Staff.

There being no further business, the Chairman declared the meeting adjourned at 3:22 pom.


ATTEST:

SJI:C PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT NO. 417-1986
DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS
Development Area $A^{*}{ }^{1}$
LAND AREA:
Net ..... 431.328 SF
Gross512,985 SF
PERMITTED USES:
Hospital and related uses, office and commercial.
MAXIMUM BUILDING FLOOR AREA:
Hospital ..... 1,769.122 SF *
commercial ..... 19,460 SF
MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT:
North-Half ..... 175 FT
South-Half ..... 200 FT
MINIMUM BUILDING SETBACKS: ..... NONE
OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENT:
As required by the applicable use unit. *2
MINIMUM INTERNAL IANDSCAPED OPEN SPACE:15\% * 3
${ }^{*}{ }^{1}$ P.U. ..... D. 338 , Area A.
*2 ${ }^{2}$ Required off-street parking may be located in either Area $A, B$, $C$ or $G$.
*3 Internal landscaped open space includes street frontagelandscaped areas, landscaped parking islands, landscaped yarçsand plazas, and pedestrian areas but does not include anyparking, building or driveway areas.
*Transfer out 26,000 SF (was 1,795,122): Area H--10,000 SF; Area I (PUD 401)-4,000 SF; and Area K--12,000 SF.

SJMC PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT NO. 417-1986
DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS
Development Area $B^{*} \underline{1}$

LAND AREA:

| Net | $92,400 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Gross | $119,790 \mathrm{SF}$ |

PERMITTED USES:
Medical offices, clinics, laboratories, education, preventative and conference facilities, outpatient rehabilitation facilities, extrcise and fitness facilities*, parking and customarily related facilities.

MAXIMUM EUIIDING FLOOR AREA: $\quad$ 115,000 SF
MAXIMUM EUILDING HEIGHT:
$45 \mathrm{FT}^{*} \underline{2}$ (**)
MINIMUM BUILDING SETBACKS:
From the centerline of Victor Avenue 25 FT
From the centerline of East 19 th Street 30 FT
From the centerline of Wheeling Avenue 188 FT
From the west 85 feet of the north boundary 58 FT
From the east 223 feet of the north boundary 70 FT
OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENT:
As required by the applicable use unit. * 3
MINIMUM INTERNAL LANDSCAPED OPEN SPACE:
SIGNS:
Two ground identification signs which shall not exceed 6 feet in height, or 32 square feet in surface area. signs shall be subject to Detail Sion Plan review and approval by the TMAPC prior to installation.

SCREENING:
All trash, utility and equipment areas shall be screened from public view, and any roof-mounted equipment shall also be screened from public view of persons standing on ground level in adjacent residential areas.

Development Area B (Continued)

## LIGHTING:

Parking lot lighting shall be directed downward and away from adjacent residential area. No light standard within 100 feet of the north boundary of Area $B$ shall exceed 12 feet in height.

LANDSCAPING:
A landscape buffer shall be developed and maintained along the eastern and northern boundaries of Area $B$ as shown on Exhibit G, Landscape Buffer Concept, and Exhibit H, Landscape Buffer Detail. A Detail Landscape Plan shall be submitted to the TMAPC for review and approval and installed prior to the issuance of an occupancy permit.
${ }^{*}$ IP.U.D. 338, Area E.
*2measured to top of parapet. Does not apply to elevator penthouses.

* ${ }^{3}$ Required off-street parking may be located in either Area $A, E$, C or G.

```
*Internal landscaped open space includes street frontage
landscaped areas, landscaped parking islands, landscaped yards
and plazas, and pedestrian areas but dces not include any
parking, building or driveway areas.
```

*Added "exercise and fitness facilities" to permitted uses.
**Height reduced from $65^{\prime}$ to $45^{\prime}$.
***North boundary setback was $100^{\prime}$.

DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS
Development Area $C^{*} \underline{1}$

IAND AREA:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Net } & 60,100 \mathrm{SF} \\ \text { Gross } & 82,982 \mathrm{SF}\end{array}$
PERMITTED USES:
Parking.
MAXIMUM BUILDING FLOOR AREA:
As permitted in the Parking district.
MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT:
As permitted in the Parking district.
NINIMUM BUILDING SETBACKS:
As required in the Parking district.
MINIMUM INTERNAL LANDSCAPED OPEN SPACE:
${ }^{*}{ }^{1}$ P.U.D. 338, Area C.
*Internal landscaped open space includes street frontage landscaped areas, landscaped parking islands, landscaped yards and plazas, and pedestrian areas but does not include any parking, building or driveway areas.
SJMC PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT NO. 417-1986
DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS
Development Area $D^{*} \underline{1}$
LAND AREA:
Net ..... 23,100 SF
Gross ..... 33,120 SF
PERMITTED USES:
Three detached single-family dwelling units.
MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT:
As permitted in the RS-3 district.
MINIMUM BUILDING SETBACKS:
As permitted in the RS-3 district.
*1 P.U.D. 338, Area D, plus one additional lot.

SJMC PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT NO. 417-1986
DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS
Development Area $E^{*} \underline{1}$

IAND AREA:
Net $39,600 \mathrm{SF}$
Gross 51,540 SF

PERMITTED USES:
As permitted in the $O M$ district and structural off-street parking.

MAXIMUM BUILDING FLOOR AREA: $62,335 \mathrm{SF}$
OFF-STREET PAREING REQUIREMENT:
As required by the applicable use unit.* 2
Development in Area $E$ is limited to the existing office building which contains 62.335 square feet and the existing parking structure which contains 177 parking spaces.

MINIMUN EUILDING SETBACKS:
NONE
${ }^{*}{ }^{1}$ P.U.D. 225, Area A.
*2Additional required off-street parking may be located in either Area A, E, C, EOr G.

SJMC PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT NO. 417-1986
DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS
Development Area $F^{*} \underline{1}$

LAND AREA:
Net $\quad 15,730 \mathrm{SF}$
Gross $22,655 \mathrm{SF}$
PERMITTED USES:
As permitted in the $O M$ district.
MAXIMUM EUILDING FLOOR AREA: 89.034 SF
MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT:
96 FT
Development in Area $F$ is limited to the existing office building which contains 89,034 square feet.

MINIMUM BUILDING SETBACXS:
NONE
OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENT:
As required in the applicable use unit. ${ }^{*} \underline{2}$
SIGNS:
As permitted in the OI district.
${ }^{*}{ }^{1}$ P.U.D. 225, Area B.
*2Required off-street parking shall be located in Area $G$.
SJMC PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT NO. 417-1986
DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS
Development Area $G^{*}{ }^{1}$
LAND AREA:
Net ..... $75,580 \mathrm{SF}$
Gross ..... 92.370 SF
PERMITTED USES:Off-street parking structure and landscaped open areas.
IIINIMUM NUMBER OF OFF-STREET PARKING SPACES: ..... 940
Development in Area $G$ is limited to the existing ..... parking
structure which contains 940 parking spaces.
MINIMUM INTERNAL LANDSCAPED OPEN SPACE:
The area 25 feet wide along victor Avenue which has beendeveloped as permanent open space shall be maintained as shown onExhibit G.
*1p.U.D. 225, Area C.

```
SJMC PIANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT NO. 417 - 1986
DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS
```

Development Area $H$

IAND AREA:
Net $38,500 \mathrm{SF}$
Gross
44.750 SF

PERMITTED USES:

Principal and accessory uses permitted as a matter of right in an OL-Office Light district, excluding drive-in bank facilities and funeral homes, and off-street parking.

MAXIMUM BUIIDING FLOOR AREA: 10,000 SF*
MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT:
15 FI

MINIMUM BUIIDING SETBACKS:
From the centerline of South Victor Avenue 50 FT
From the north interior boundary 15 FT
Erom the east interior boundary 15 FT
From the south interior boundary OET
OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENT:
As required by the applicable use unit. *
MINIMUM INTERNAL LANDSCAPED OPEN SPACE:
50\%*2

SIGNS:

Two ground identification signs which shall not exceed 6 feet in height, or 32 square feet in surface area. Signs shall be subject to Detail Sign Plan review and approval by the TMAPC prior to installation.

SCREENING:
All trast, utility and equipment areas shall be screened from public view, and any roof-mounted equipment shall also be screened from public view of persons standing on ground level in adjacent residential areas.

```
Development Area H
    (Continued)
```

LIGHTING:
Parking lot lighting shall be directed downward and away from adjacent residential areas.

LANDSCAPING:
A landscape buffer shall be developed and maintained along the eastern and northern boundaries of Area $H$ as shown on Exhibit G, Landscape Buffer Concept, and Exhibit H, Landscape Buffer Detail. A Detail Landscape Plan shall be submitted to the TMAPC for review and approval and installed prior to the issuance of an occupancy permit.
${ }^{*}$ ́Required off-street parking may be located in either Area $A, B$, C or G.
*Internal landscaped open space includes street frontage landscaped areas, landscaped parking islands, landscaped yards and plazas, and pedestrian areas but does not include any parking, building or driveway areas.
*Floor Area transferred from Area A.
NOTE: VACATION OF SOUTH VICTOR IS A KEY CONCEPT IN AREA H--SEE EXHIBIT "A". THIS IS A NEW DEVELOPMENT AREA.

## SJMC PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT NO. 417-1986

DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS
Development Area $I^{*} \underline{1}$

## LAND AREA:

Net
$52,500 \mathrm{SE}$
Gross
67,000 SE

PERMITTED USES:
Principal and accessory uses permitted as a matter of right in an OL district, excluding drive-in bank facilities and funeral homes.
MAXIMUM BUILDING FLOOR AREA: ..... 22,000 SF *
MAXIMUM EUILDING HEIGHT: ..... 26 FTMINIMUM BUILDING SETBACKS:
From the centerline of South Utica Avenue ..... 60 FI
From the centerline of South Victor Avenue ..... 50 FI
From the centerline of $E$. 17 th Place ..... 44 FT
From the west interior boundary ..... 70 FT
From the south interior boundary ..... 50 FTFrom the north boundary5 FT
OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENT:
As required by the applicable use unit.* 220\%*3

SIGNS:

Two ground identification signs which shall not exceed 6 feet in height, or 32 square feet in surface area. signs shall be subject to Detail Sign Plan review and approval by the mMAPC prior to installation.

## SCREENING:

All trash, utility and equipment areas shall be screened from public view, and any roof-mounted equipment shall also be screened from public view of persons standing on ground level in adiacent residential areas.

IIGHTING:
Parking lot lighting shall be directed downward and away from adjacent residential areas.

## LANDSCAPING:

A landscape buffer shall be developed and maintained along the entire eastern boundary of Area $I$ as shown on Exhibit $G$, Landscape Buffer Concept, and Exhibit $H$, Landscape Buffer Detail. A Detail Landscape Plan shall be submitted to the TMAPC for review and approval and installed prior to the issuance of an occupancy permit.
*1 ${ }^{\text {PP.U.D. }} 401$.
*2Required off-street parking for not more than 30 vehicles may be located in Area $G$ or $K$.
*3 Internal landscaped open space includes street frontage landscaped areas, landscaped parking islands, landscaped yards and plazas, and pedestrian areas but does not include any parking, building or driveway areas.
*Increased from 18,000 SF to 22,000 SF by transfer of 4,000 SF from Area A.
**Reduced from 55' per PUD 401.
***Open space minimum of $20 \%$ is unchanged from PUD 401.
NOTE: A new curb cut to South Victor is being requested north of the approved office building. Staff recommends approval as properties to the east of South Victor are now owned by St. John as Area K.

SJMC PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT NO. 417-1986
DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS
Development Area $J^{*} \underline{1}$
LAND AREA:
Net $\quad 45,000 \mathrm{SE}$
Gross 63.900 SF
PERMITTED USES:
Children's nursery and care center as permitted in Use Unit 5.

MAXIMUM BUIIDING FIOOR AREA: $18,000 \mathrm{SE}$
MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT:
$14 \Gamma T^{*} \underline{2}$
MINIMUM BUILDING SETBACKS:
From the centerline of South Utica:
134 FT
From the centerline of South Victor:
From the centerline of 17 th Street:
From the south property line:
OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENT:
As required for use Unit 5 .
MINIMUM INTERNAI LANDSCAPED OPEN SPACE: $408^{*}$ -
IIGHTING:
Not to exceed 8 feet in height.
Development within Area $J$ is limited to the existing childrens nursery and care center.
${ }^{*}{ }^{1}$ Board of Adjustment Case No. 12767
*2 Measured to top of parapet excluding roof-mounted equipment which occupies less than $10 \%$ of the roof area.
*- Canopy may be set back 52 feet from the centerline of 17 th
Street.
*-Internal landscaped open space includes street frontage landscaped areas, landscaped parking islands, landscaped yards and plazas, and pedestrian areas but does not include any parking, building or driveway areas.
SJMC PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT NO. 417-1986
DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS
Development Area K
LAND AREA:
Net ..... 37,558 SF
Gross ..... $55,836 \mathrm{SF}$
PERMITTED USES:
Principal and accessory uses permitted as a matter of right
in an OL-Office Light district, excluding drive-in bankfacilities and funeral homes, and off-street parking.
MAXIMUM BUILDING FLOOR AREA: ..... $12,000 \mathrm{SE}^{*}$
MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT: ..... 15 FT
MINIMUM BUILDING SETBACKS:
From the centerline of South Victor Avenue ..... 50 FT
From the centerline of lith Street ..... 55 FTFrom the east interior boundary15 FT
From the centerline of l7th Place ..... 55 FT
OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENT:
As required by the applicable use unit.* ${ }^{1}$
MINIMUM INTERNAL LANDSCAPED OPEN SPACE: ..... $20 \%$$S F^{*}$ 2
SIGNS:
Two ground identification signs which shall not exceed 6feet in height, or 32 square feet in surface area. signs shallbe subject to Detail Sian Plan review and approval by the TMAPCfrior to installation.
SCREENING:
All trash, utility and equipment areas shall be screened from public view, and any roof-mounted equipment shall also be screened from public view of persons stancing on ground level in adjacent residential areas.

DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS
Development Area K
(Continued)
LIGHTING:
Parking lot lighting shall be directed downward and away from adjacent residential areas.

LANDSCAPING:
A landscape buffer shall be developed and maintained along the eastern and northern boundaries of Area $K$ as shown on Exhibit G, Landscape Buffer Concept, and Exhibit H, Landscape Buffer Detail. A Detail Landscape Plan shall be submitted to the TMAPC for review and approval and installed prior to the issuance of an occupancy permit.
${ }^{*}{ }^{1}$ Required off-street parking may be located in either Area $A, B$, C or G.
*2̈nternal landscaped open space includes street frontage landscaped areas, landscaped parking islands, landscaped yards and plazas, and pedestrian areas but does not include ary parking, building or driveway areas.
*This is a new development area with floor area being transferred from Area $A$.

## SITE PLAN REVIEW

No building permits shall be issued for any additional buildings within a development area within the St. John Medical Center Planned Unit Development until a Detailed Site Plan and Detailed Landscape Plan for that development area has been submitted to the Tulsa Metropolitan Area Planning Commission and approved as being in compliance with the $S t$. John Medical Center Development Standards. Each Detailed Site Plan shall include screening and lighting plans. Construction of buildings may proceed separately after approval of a Detailed site plan for a development area.

## SCHEDULE OF DEVELOPMENT

Development within Areas $B$ and $I$ of the St. John Medical Center Planned Unit Development will commence during the second half of 1986. Existing structures within Development Area H will be occupied for the permitted uses during the construction period for Area B. Development of Area $H$, primarily as landscaped open space, will begin after the completion of construction within Development Area B. Development Area $K$ will be used as an interim parking resource during the construction periods and as an additional parking resource for Development Area I until buildings for light office use are constructed within Area K.
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EXHIBIT H LANDSCAPE BUFFER DETAIL
areas
B. H. 18 K

BUILDINGS

| 1 | ASBICONVENT |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | WESTVING |
| 3 | PARKINGGARAGE |
| 4 | DOCTORIS BUILDING |
| 5 | PARKINGGARAGE |
| 6 | EDUCATIONFACILITY |
| 7 | PARKINGGARAGE |
| 8 | POWER MAINTENANCE |
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