CONSIDER, DISCUSS AND/OR TAKE ACTION ON

Call to Order:

REPORTS

Chairman's Report:

Worksession Report:

Comprehensive Plan Report:
Report on the update of the Comprehensive Plan

Director's Report:

1. Minutes of August 15, 2007, Meeting No. 2489
   Minutes of August 22, 2007, Meeting No. 2490

2. CONSENT AGENDA

All matters under "Consent" are considered by the Planning Commission to be routine and will be enacted by one motion. Any Planning Commission member may; however, remove an item by request.

a. **L-20129** – Harden & Associates (0417) / Lot-Split (PD 16) (CD 6)
   4111 North Garnett Road

b. **PUD-597-3** – Tulsa Engineering & Planning/Tim Terral (PD-18) (CD-8)
   9600 Mingo Office Park; north and west of East 95th Court South and west of South Mingo Road (Minor Amendment for a lot-split.) (Related to Item 3.d.)

   6746 South Memorial Drive (Minor Amendment to reduce the parking requirement per development standards and the Zoning Code.) (Related to Items 2.d. & e.)

d. **PUD-379-7** – Architects Collective (PD-18) (CD-7)
   6808 South Memorial Drive (Minor Amendment to reduce the parking requirement per development standards and the Zoning Code.) (Related to Item 2.c. & e.)

e. **PUD-379-A-7** – Lori Worthington (PD-18) (CD-7)
   6714 South Memorial (Minor Amendment to increase the permitted height for a ground sign from 20 feet to 28 feet.) (Related to Items 2.c. & d.)
2. CONSENT AGENDA, cont'd.

f. **Tulsa Hills** – (8211) Final Plat
   East of U.S. 75 between West 71st Street and West 81st Street
   (PD-8) (CD-2)

g. CONSIDERATION OF ITEMS REMOVED FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA

3. PUBLIC HEARINGS

   a. **L-20112** – City of Tulsa (9307) (PD 4) (CD 4)
      1324 East 11th Street

   b. **L-20124** – Sisemore Weisz (8307) (PD 18) (CD 2)
      7447 South Quincy

   c. **L-20127** – Jane Lewis (1223) (County)
      324 West 92nd Street North

   d. **L-20128** – Tulsa Engineering & Planning (8324) (Related to Item 2.b.) (PD 18) (CD 8)
      9223 East 95th Court

   e. **Sonoma Grande** – (8312) Preliminary Plat (PD 18) (CD 8)
      North of northwest corner of East 81st Street and Mango Road

   f. **Jim Norton Center III** – (8324)/Minor Subdivision Plat (PD 26) (CD 8)
      Northeast corner of East 98th Street South and 84th East Avenue

   g. **Z-7073** – Lou Reynolds (PD-8) (CD-2)
      Southeast corner of South 33rd West Avenue & West Skelly Drive

   h. **Z-7072/PUD-746** – Breisch & Associates, Inc.
      West of northwest corner of East 101st Street and South Garnett Road (PUD for a 14-lot single-family development.) (PD-18c) (CD-8)

   i. **PUD-327-A** – Eric Randall (PD-18) (CD-8)
      7711 East 81st Street South (Minor Revision to site/sidewalk waiver request.)

      Crestwood at the River II, ¼ mile west of the northwest corner South Sheridan Road and East 121st Street South (Minor Amendment to reduce the minimum required lot size.)
4. OTHER BUSINESS
   a. Receive letter from City Council requesting amending the Comprehensive Plan for District 6 incorporating the adopted Lewis Study as amended by Lewis Avenue Corridor Neighborhood Associations and amended by the City Council.
   b. Commissioners' Comments

ADJOURN

PD = Planning District/CD = Council District

NOTICE: If you require special accommodation pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, please notify INCOG (918) 584-7526

Exhibits, Petitions, Pictures, etc., presented to the Planning Commission may be received and deposited in case files to be maintained at Land Development Services, INCOG.

Ringing/sound on all cell phones and pagers must be turned off during the Planning Commission.

Visit our website @ www.tmapc.org

The mission of the Tulsa Metropolitan Area Planning Commission (TMAPC) is to provide comprehensive planning, zoning and land division services for the City of Tulsa and Tulsa County through a joint city-county cooperative planning commission resulting in the orderly development of the Tulsa Metropolitan Area and enhancing and preserving the quality of life for the region's current and future residents.

TMAPC Mission Statement
STAFF RECOMMENDATION

PUD-597-3

Minor Amendment – 9600 Mingo Office Park; North and West of East 95th Court South and West of South Mingo Road; Lot 4 and part of Lot 3, Block 1, 9600 Mingo Office Park; OL/PUD; PD-18; CD-8; related to L-20128

The applicant is requesting a minor amendment to PUD 597 for the purpose of a lot-split. The property under consideration was formerly the subject of a lot-split/lot combination approved by TMAPC February 16, 2006. Per that request, PUD 597-2, Lot 3 was split and the west half attached to Lot 4. The combination was necessary at that time to meet sanitary sewer requirements. Sewer has now been extended to reach Lot 4; therefore, the applicant is requesting that the west half of Lot 3 be detached from Lot 4.

Staff finds the proposed amendment to be minor in nature and in keeping with the spirit and intent of PUD 597 and recommends APPROVAL of PUD 597-3, establishing maximum permitted floor area for the two lots as follows:

Permitted Floor Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tract</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRACT 1</td>
<td>West part of Lot 3, Block 1</td>
<td>8,572 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRACT 2</td>
<td>Lot 4, Block 1</td>
<td>16,876 SF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MINOR AMENDMENT TO PUD 597 - SITE PLAN
Lot 4 and part of Lot 3, Block 1, "9600 Mingo Office Park"
The applicant is requesting a minor amendment to PUD 379-A for the purpose of reducing the parking requirement per development standards and the Zoning Code. A 10% reduction of overall parking for the Village at Woodland Hills shopping center was approved by the Board of Adjustment on May 8, 2007, per BOA-20491, thus clearing the way for a corresponding change to PUD development standards. Staff finds the proposed amendment to be appropriate, minor in nature and in keeping with the spirit and intent of PUD-379-A; and, therefore, recommends APPROVAL of PUD-379-A-8 as proposed.
August 16, 2007

Delise Tomlinson  
INCOG  
201 West Fifth  
Suite 600  
Tulsa, OK  74103

Re: PUD – 379A  
Block 2, Lot 4, The Village at Woodland Hills

Dear Ms. Tomlinson:

Our office represents R.T. Oklahoma, LLC. R.T. Oklahoma, LLC has entered into a lease to occupy the former Blockbuster Video Store at 6746 South Memorial in Tulsa, OK. The new tenant will be a Ruby Tuesday Restaurant.

Ruby Tuesday Restaurant will occupy the entire 6,000 SF lease area vacated by Blockbuster Video. The restaurant will also be constructing a 198 SF addition for a new entry element and patron waiting area. Other improvements will be a new building exterior.

As you are aware the City of Tulsa’s Planning and Zoning Codes are Use Based. A change in use will occur, as will a change in the required amount of parking. Our office has previously met with you regarding this proposed change, and circumstances regarding PUD 379 and PUD 379- A, and specifically BOA case # 20491.

An application is being made for a Minor Amendment to PUD 379-A to include the provisions of a Parking Variance granted through Board of Adjustment procedures earlier this year (BOA # 20491).

Application is also being made for Detailed Site Plan approval and Detailed Sign approval for the Ruby Tuesday Restaurant.

It is our opinion that incorporating the provisions of BOA Case # 20491 will be consistent with the Development Standards of PUD 379-A. It is also our opinion that the proposed improvements and minor modifications to the building will also be in compliance with the Development Standards of PUD 379-A.
Our office will be making application on behalf of the tenant, R.T. Oklahoma, LLC. We have approval from the Owner, Bill Mee to make this request. A copy of an email approval is attached.

The tenant and Owner, respectfully request your approval of this request.

Sincerely,

Wilbanks & Associates, Inc.

Rick Stuber, VP

Enclosures
Cc:  Mike Treadwell, Roy Brashears, Rick Blackburn, Steve Walman
### BLOCK 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Square Footage</th>
<th>Parking Ratio</th>
<th>Req. Parking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alyssa's For Glitter</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>4,808</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>3,013</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humane Society</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>2,906</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baskin Robbins/Subway</td>
<td>Restaurant/12</td>
<td>2,075</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alterations Shop</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Casablanca's</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>3,156</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vintage Stock</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>4,801</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinemark USA</td>
<td>Recreation</td>
<td>26,605</td>
<td>1 per 4 seats</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>3,219</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bubble Café</td>
<td>Restaurant/12</td>
<td>2,190</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windsor Antique Market</td>
<td>Furniture/Antiques</td>
<td>25,016</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB Comedy Club</td>
<td>Restaurant/12a</td>
<td>5,468</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>3,448</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inherited Style</td>
<td>Furniture/Antiques</td>
<td>4,440</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shogun's Steakhouse</td>
<td>Restaurant/12</td>
<td>5,920</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>2,943</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sir Billiards</td>
<td>Billiard Center/19</td>
<td>6,047</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>8,630</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platinum Salon</td>
<td>Beauty Salon/13</td>
<td>2,734</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>1,355</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun Gallery</td>
<td>Tanning Salon/13</td>
<td>1,659</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun &amp; Ski Sports</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>30,498</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambridge Ltd.</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>2,108</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB Airfoil, Inc.</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>1,355</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAS Shoes</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>2,250</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sylvan Learning</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>6,050</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>2,503</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JoAnn Stores</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>17,215</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>4,181</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>11,306</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xccessorize</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunshine Furniture</td>
<td>Furniture/Antiques</td>
<td>8,128</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>2,232</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>1,271</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>2,671</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subtotal Area: 214,947
Subtotal Req. Parking: 1324

### BLOCK 2 (excluding Pep Boys & Red Lobster)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Square Footage</th>
<th>Parking Ratio</th>
<th>Req. Parking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cosmo Café</td>
<td>Restaurant/12</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruby Tuesday</td>
<td>Restaurant/12</td>
<td>8,128</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subtotal Area: 9,628
Subtotal Req. Parking: 96

### BLOCK 1 & 2 (excluding Pep Boys & Red Lobster)

- Total Area: 224,575

- Total Required Parking (Zoning): 1420
- Variance Reduction (10%): -142
- Total Parking Required: 1278
- Total Parking Provided: 1374
- Excess Parking: 96
Case No. 20491

Action Requested:
Special Exception to reduce parking requirements 10% for a mixed use commercial center (Section 1305), located: 6808 South Memorial Drive.

Presentation:
William Mee, 3304 Coalgate Avenue, Dallas, Texas, stated he is a managing partner of the Woodland Hills Shopping Center. They are renovating the center and need the variance of parking requirements for flexibility with new tenants.

Interested Parties:
There were no interested parties who wished to speak.

Board Action:
On Motion of Stead, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Stephens, Henke, Stead, Tidwell "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; write "absent") to APPROVE a Special Exception to reduce parking requirements 10% for a mixed use commercial center, finding the special exception will be in harmony with the spirit and intent of the code and will not be injurious to the neighborhood, or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare, on the following described property:

LT 1 BLK 1 & PRT LT 1 BEG SECR TH W250.03 N234.56E250.03 S234.93 POB BLK 2, LT 4 BLK 2, VILLAGE AT WOODLAND HILLS, THE, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma

**********

Case No. 20492

Action Requested:
Variance of the maximum square footage for detached accessory buildings permitted in the RS-3 district from 750 sq. ft. to 4,752 sq ft (Section 402.B.1.d), located: 145 South 145th Avenue East.

Presentation:
The applicant was not present. Mr. Henke stated the Board would hear the case if the applicant arrived.

**********

Case No. 20493

Action Requested:
Special Exception to permit a drive thru bank facility in an OL district (Section 601); Special Exception to permit a Floor Area Ratio of .31 in the OL district (Section 603); and a Variance of parking area setback from the centerline of an abutting street (Section 1302.B), located: 2104 East 15th Street South.
STAFF RECOMMENDATION

PUD-379-7: Minor Amendment – 6808 South Memorial Drive; Lot 1, Block 1, The Village at Woodland Hills; CS/ PK/ PUD; PD-18; CD-7; related cases: PUD 379-A-8; BOA-20491

The applicant is requesting a minor amendment to PUD-379 for the purpose of reducing the parking requirement per development standards and the zoning code. A 10% reduction of overall parking for the Village at Woodland Hills shopping center was approved by the Board of Adjustment on May 8, 2007, per BOA-20491, thus clearing the way for a corresponding change to PUD development standards. Staff finds the proposed amendment to be appropriate, minor in nature and in keeping with the spirit and intent of PUD-379; and, therefore, recommends APPROVAL of PUD-379-7 as proposed.
Case No. 20491
**Action Requested:**
Special Exception to reduce parking requirements 10% for a mixed use commercial center (Section 1305), located: 6808 South Memorial Drive.

**Presentation:**
William Mee, 3304 Coalgate Avenue, Dallas, Texas, stated he is a managing partner of the Woodland Hills Shopping Center. They are renovating the center and need the variance of parking requirements for flexibility with new tenants.

**Interested Parties:**
There were no interested parties who wished to speak.

**Board Action:**
On Motion of Stead, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Stephens, Henke, Stead, Tidwell "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; White "absent") to **APPROVE** a Special Exception to reduce parking requirements 10% for a mixed use commercial center, finding the special exception will be in harmony with the spirit and intent of the code and will not be injurious to the neighborhood, or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare, on the following described property:

LT 1 BLK 1 & PRT LT 1 BEG SECR TH W250.03 N234.56E250.03 S234.93 POB BLK 2, LT 4 BLK 2, VILLAGE AT WOODLAND HILLS, THE, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma

**********

Case No. 20492
**Action Requested:**
Variance of the maximum square footage for detached accessory buildings permitted in the RS-3 district from 750 sq. ft. to 4,752 sq ft (Section 402.B.1.d), located: 145 South 145th Avenue East.

**Presentation:**
The applicant was not present. Mr. Henke stated the Board would hear the case if the applicant arrived.

**********

Case No. 20493
**Action Requested:**
Special Exception to permit a drive thru bank facility in an OL district (Section 601); Special Exception to permit a Floor Area Ratio of .31 in the OL district (Section 603); and a Variance of parking area setback from the centerline of an abutting street (Section 1302.B), located: 2104 East 15th Street South.
STAFF RECOMMENDATION

PUD-379-A-7: Minor Amendment – 6714 South Memorial; Block 2, The Village at Woodland Hills; Development Area A; CS/ PUD; PD-18; CD-7

The applicant is requesting a minor amendment to PUD-379-A for the purpose of increasing the permitted height for a ground sign from 20 feet to 28 feet. The proposed ground sign is intended to replace the existing tenant directory sign located on the southwest corner of the signalized access drive into the shopping center from Memorial Drive. The existing sign is 28 feet in height and was approved as such September 18, 1996 per a detail sign plan review, although no amendment to development standards was made to allow the increase.

The PUD chapter of the zoning code, Section 1103.B.2.b(4), provides that "Ground signs and promotional business signs shall not exceed twenty-five (25) feet in height measured from the mean curb level of the lot upon which it is erected, unless, in addition to the minimum setback prescribed in paragraph 1221.C.5, the sign is set back one (1) foot for each foot of height exceeding twenty-five (25) feet." The proposed (and existing) sign is setback 78 feet from the centerline of Memorial Drive, more than enough to meet this requirement at the proposed (and existing) 28 feet in height.

Therefore, staff recommends APPROVAL of PUD-379-A-7 as proposed.
(2) Signs, if visible from an R district other than street, highway or freeway right-of-way, or if visible from a designated residential development area, shall not be located within fifty (50) feet of said district or area. However, signs larger than three hundred (300) square feet visible from an R district other than street, highway or freeway right-of-way, or if visible from a designated residential development area, shall not be located within two hundred (200) feet of said district or area.

(3) Any ground sign shall maintain a minimum separation of one hundred (100) feet from any other ground sign.

(4) Ground signs and promotional business signs shall not exceed twenty-five (25) feet in height measured from the mean curb level of the lot upon which it is erected, unless, in addition to the minimum setback prescribed in paragraph 1221.C.5, the sign is set back one (1) foot for each foot of height exceeding twenty-five (25) feet. In no event shall the sign exceed a height of forty (40) feet unless the abutting street is a designated freeway on the Major Street and Highway Plan. In those cases where the abutting street is a designated freeway, the maximum permitted sign height shall be fifty (50) feet. No portion of the ground sign shall be within ten (10) feet of the freeway right-of-way.

(5) Only one (1) side of a double-faced sign shall be included in the computation of display surface area.

(6) Promotional business signs may be utilized in accordance with paragraph 1221.C.7.

c. General Use Conditions for Outdoor Advertising Signs

Outdoor advertising signs shall comply with paragraph 1221.F, provided that the approving authority may impose such additional restrictions as are necessary to maximize compatibility with neighboring uses.
Tulsa Hills - (8211) (PD-8) (CD-2)
East of U.S. 75 between West 71st and West 81st Street

This plat consists of 31 Lots in 2 Blocks on 175.57 acres.

The consulting engineer has requested that this Final Plat be put on the agenda. Typically all release letters have been received before a Final Plat is put on the agenda for approval. There is a dispute between the project engineers and AT&T representatives about easements on the plat. Staff has requested that both parties work to resolve their concerns, but to date no agreement has been reached and no release letter from AT&T has been received.
LOT-SPLIT FOR WAIVER OF SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS

September 5, 2007

City of Tulsa (L-20112) (CH) (PD 4) (CD 4)
1324 East 11th Street

The applicant has applied to split the subject property into two parcels. Tract 2 will continue to be used as parking and the historic Meadow Gold sign on Route 66 will be placed on Tract 1, using Vision 2025 funds. Both proposed tracts meet the CH zoning requirements.

At this location, East 11th Street is designated as an urban arterial, requiring 40' of street right-of-way from the center of the street. Currently, 35' has been deeded to the city for street right-of-way. Also, the proposed lot-split will divorce Tract 1 from the sanitary sewer main line.

The applicant is seeking a waiver of the Subdivision Regulations of the required 40' of street right-of-way along East 11th Street. The applicant has indicated that no buildings for occupancy will be placed on Tract 1, and has asked for a waiver of Subdivision Regulation 6.5.2 requiring each tract have sanitary sewer service.

Although the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) would prefer that the full right-of-way be deeded, the TAC offered no objections to the approval of the lot-split and the requested waivers at their August 16, 2007, meeting.

Staff recommends DENIAL of the waiver of the Subdivision Regulations for the additional 5' of right-of-way (that would bring it to the required 40') along East 11th Street, APPROVAL of the waiver of the sanitary sewer main line being extended subject to no occupancy permits being issued for Tract 1, and of the lot-split subject to the additional right-of-way being deeded to the city.
LOT-SPLIT FOR WAIVER OF SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS

September 5, 2007

L-20124 Sisemore Weisz (8307) (RS-2) (PD 18) (CD 2)
7447 South Quincy

On July 18, 2007, the Tulsa Metropolitan Area Planning Commission approved rezoning the subject tract from RS-2 to OM, which results in the property being subject to plat. However, the property owner wanted to reconfigure the property and change ownership prior to the property being platted. The rezoning application has not yet been heard by the City Council to allow this lot-split application to be completed.

Two lots are being split. Lot 8 is currently split into three parcels, along with Lot 7, the proposal is to reconfigure the four parcels into two tracts, excluding street right-of-way. The western portions of Lot 7 and Lot 8 will be tied together (Tract A) and the eastern portions of Lot 7 and Lot 8 will be tied together (Tract B). Both resulting tracts would meet the RS-2 bulk and area requirements; however, both tracts would have more than three side lot lines. The applicant is requesting a waiver of the Subdivision Regulations that no tract have more than three side lot lines.

City of Tulsa Public Works Department is requiring the sanitary sewer main line to be extended to serve Tract A. However, the applicant is requesting approval of the lot-split, with the sewer line being extended prior to future construction on Tract A. Therefore, the applicant is asking for a waiver of Subdivision Regulation 6.5.2 requiring each tract have sanitary sewer service.

The applicant indicated that the proposed split tracts will be reflected when platting the property. The Technical Advisory Commission expressed no concerns at their August 16, 2007, meeting.

Due to the property being subject to plat once the rezoning has been approved by the City Council and the ordinance published, which will also require the sanitary sewer line to be extended prior to approval, Staff believes this lot-split would not have an adverse affect on the surrounding properties and recommends APPROVAL of the two waivers of Subdivision Regulations and of the lot-split.
LOT-SPLIT FOR WAIVER OF SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS

September 5, 2007

L-20127 Jane Lewis (1223) (RE/AG) (County)
324 West 92nd Street North

The proposal is to split a five-acre tract into two parcels. Although the southern portion of the property is zoned AG, the front of the property is zoned RE. Both proposed tracts meet the RE and the AG bulk and area requirements; however, Tract B would have more than three side lot lines. The applicant is requesting a waiver of the Subdivision Regulations that no tract have more than three side lot lines.

Staff believes this lot-split would not have an adverse affect on the surrounding properties and recommends APPROVAL of the waiver of Subdivision Regulations and of the lot-split.
LOT-SPLIT TO RESCIND TIE AGREEMENT LANGUAGE

September 5, 2007

L-20128 Tulsa Engineering & Planning (8324) (OL /PUD-597) (PD 18) (CD 8)
9223 East 95th Court

On February 26, 2006, L-19930 was approved to split Lot 3, Blcck 1, 9600 Mingo Office Park into two tracts. However, because the western portion of Lot 3 would not have sanitary sewer service, it was required to be tied to Lot 4. Since that time, the sanitary sewer service requirements have been met and the applicant has applied to split the western portion of Lot 3 (Tract 1) from Lot 4. All OL zoning bulk and area requirements have been met.

Staff believes this lot-split would not have an adverse effect on the surrounding properties and recommends RESCINDING the tie language of L-19930 and recommends APPROVAL of the current lot-split request, L-20128.
Exhibit "E"

Location Map
R-13-E

Section 24
Tulsa County

Tract 2
Lot 4, Block 1

Woodland Glen Extended Two

Woodland Glen Extended Three

Tract 1
A part of Lot 3, Block 1

East 95th Court South (Private)

Mingo Valley Expressway (No Access)

N 01°08'45" W - 39.95'
S 77°13'23"W - 523.09'

Existing
Proposed

TRACT 2
Lot 4, Block 1, "9600 Mingo Office Park"
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3.0.4
PRELIMINARY SUBDIVISION PLAT

Sonoma Grande – (8312) (PD 18) (CD 8)
North of northwest corner of East 81st Street and Mingo Road

This plat consists of 2 Lots, 2 Blocks, on 25.6 acres.

The following issues were discussed August 16, 2007 at the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meeting:

1. **Zoning:** The property is zoned under PUD 460. This is a multi-family development. All PUD requirements must be met. Mutual access easements must be shown. A property owners association should be formed for maintenance of the site (drainage, access, sign easements which should be shown, open space, etc.).

2. **Streets:** Include 17.5 foot utility easements along 81st and Mingo frontages. Provide a statement on face of plat that sidewalks are to be provided on the arterial streets in conformance with subdivision regulations. Show limits of no access and all approved access points along both arterials. Provide a mutual access easement along the south side of Lot 2 per the proposed concept plan along with standard language. The 81st Street drives are okay.

3. **Sewer:** Please be sure that the proposed 8 inch sanitary sewer line, along the west boundary of Lot 2, is designed deep enough to serve the entire unplatted area at the northwest corner of East 81st Street and South Mingo.

4. **Water:** Water main dead-end stubs will not be allowed. Traditional design should be used.

5. **Storm Drainage:** If off-site drainage flows onto this site, then the appropriate stormwater easements will be required. None have been shown. If no drainage or storm sewer easements are shown to convey drainage on the face of plat then Sections I.G and J. should be removed. Need to add enough off-site contours to establish drainage patterns onto or away from this site.

6. **Utilities:** Telephone, Electric, Gas, Cable, Pipeline, Others: PSO and ONG may need additional easement.
7. **Other: Fire:** Dead-end fire apparatus access roads in excess of 150 feet in length shall be provided with an approved area for turning around fire apparatus. Provide additional emergency access along the northeast drive per our previous discussions. Where a portion of the facility or building hereafter constructed or moved into or within the jurisdiction is more than 400 feet from a hydrant on a fire apparatus access road, as measured by an approved route around the exterior of the facility or building, on-site fire hydrants and mains shall be provided where required by the fire code official. For group R-3 and group U occupancies, the distance requirement shall be 600 feet. For buildings equipped throughout with an approved automatic sprinkler system the distance requirement shall be 600 feet. **GIS:** Please add surveyors' e-mail address and date of preparation to face of plat. **General:** Please identify the connecting black lines in southern and northeastern areas (possible security fencing).

Staff recommends **APPROVAL** of the Preliminary Subdivision plat subject to the TAC comments and the special and standard conditions below.

**Waivers of Subdivision Regulations:**

1. None requested.

**Special Conditions:**

1. The concerns of the public works department staff must be taken care of to their satisfaction.

**Standard Conditions:**

1. Utility easements shall meet the approval of the utilities. Coordinate with Subsurface Committee if underground plant is planned. Show additional easements as required. Existing easements shall be tied to or related to property line and/or lot lines.

2. Water and sanitary sewer plans shall be approved by the Public Works Department prior to release of final plat. (Include language for W/S facilities in covenants.)

3. Pavement or landscape repair within restricted water line, sewer line, or utility easements as a result of water or sewer line or other utility repairs due to breaks and failures, shall be borne by the owner(s) of the lot(s).

4. Any request for creation of a Sewer Improvement District shall be submitted to the Public Works Department Engineer prior to release of final plat.

5. Paving and/or drainage plans (as required) shall be approved by the Public Works Department.
6. Any request for a Privately Financed Public Improvement (PFPI) shall be submitted to the Public Works Department.

7. A topo map shall be submitted for review by TAC (Subdivision Regulations). (Submit with drainage plans as directed.)

8. Street names shall be approved by the Public Works Department and shown on plat.

9. All curve data, including corner radii, shall be shown on final plat as applicable.

10. Bearings, or true N/S, etc., shall be shown on perimeter of land being platted or other bearings as directed by the County Engineer.

11. All adjacent streets, intersections and/or widths thereof shall be shown on plat.

12. It is recommended that the developer coordinate with the Public Works Department during the early stages of street construction concerning the ordering, purchase and installation of street marker signs. (Advisory, not a condition for plat release.)

13. It is recommended that the applicant and/or his engineer or developer coordinate with the Tulsa City/County Health Department for solid waste disposal, particularly during the construction phase and/or clearing of the project. Burning of solid waste is prohibited.

14. The method of sewage disposal and plans therefore shall be approved by the City/County Health Department. [Percolation tests (if applicable) are required prior to preliminary approval of plat.]

15. The owner(s) shall provide the following information on sewage disposal system if it is to be privately operated on each lot: type, size and general location. (This information to be included in restrictive covenants on plat.)

16. The method of water supply and plans therefore shall be approved by the City/County Health Department.

17. All lots, streets, building lines, easements, etc., shall be completely dimensioned.

18. The key or location map shall be complete.

19. A Corporation Commission letter, Certificate of Non-Development, or other records as may be on file, shall be provided concerning any oil and/or gas wells before plat is released. (A building line shall be shown on plat on any wells not officially plugged. If plugged, provide plugging records.)

20. A "Letter of Assurance" regarding installation of improvements shall be provided prior to release of final plat. (Including documents required under 3.6.5 Subdivision Regulations.)
21. Applicant is advised of his responsibility to contact the J.S. Army Corps of Engineers regarding Section 404 of the Clean Waters Act.

22. All other Subdivision Regulations shall be met prior to release of final plat.

23. All PUD standards and conditions shall be included in the covenants of the plat and adequate mechanisms established to assure initial and continued compliance with the standards and conditions.

24. Private streets shall be built to City or County standards (depending upon the jurisdiction in which the plat is located) and inspected and accepted by same prior to issuance of any building permits in the subdivision.
MINOR SUBDIVISION PLAT

Jim Norton Center III – (8324) (PD 26) (CD 8)
Northeast corner of East 98th Street South and 84th East Avenue

This plat consists of 1 Lot, 1 Block, on 5.09 acres.

The following issues were discussed August 16, 2007 at the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meeting:

1. **Zoning:** The property is zoned PUD 411C. All PUD conditions must be met.

2. **Streets:** For the 97th Street driveway, change the severe angle of the intersection to 90 degrees – in order to provide adequate sight lines. Relocate the 84th East Avenue drive northward to the 1st or 2nd aisle and away from 98th Street.

3. **Sewer:** No comment.

4. **Water:** No comment.

5. **Storm Drainage:** No comment.

6. **Utilities:** Telephone, Electric, Gas, Cable, Pipeline, Others: No comment.

7. **Other:** Fire: No comment. **GIS:** No comment. **General:** Approval as a Minor Subdivision plat is recommended.

Staff recommends **APPROVAL** of the MINOR Subdivision plat subject to the TAC comments and the special and standard conditions below.

**Waivers of Subdivision Regulations:**

1. None requested.
Special Conditions:

1. The concerns of the public works department staff must be taken care of to their satisfaction.

Standard Conditions:

1. Utility easements shall meet the approval of the utilities. Coordinate with Subsurface Committee if underground plant is planned. Show additional easements as required. Existing easements shall be tied to or related to property line and/or lot lines.

2. Water and sanitary sewer plans shall be approved by the Public Works Department prior to release of final plat. (Include language for W/S facilities in covenants.)

3. Pavement or landscape repair within restricted water line, sewer line, or utility easements as a result of water or sewer line or other utility repairs due to breaks and failures, shall be borne by the owner(s) of the lot(s).

4. Any request for creation of a Sewer Improvement District shall be submitted to the Public Works Department Engineer prior to release of final plat.

5. Paving and/or drainage plans (as required) shall be approved by the Public Works Department.

6. Any request for a Privately Financed Public Improvement (PFPI) shall be submitted to the Public Works Department.

7. A topo map shall be submitted for review by TAC (Subdivision Regulations). (Submit with drainage plans as directed.)

8. Street names shall be approved by the Public Works Department and shown on plat.

9. All curve data, including corner radii, shall be shown on final plat as applicable.

10. Bearings, or true N/S, etc., shall be shown on perimeter of land being platted or other bearings as directed by the County Engineer.

11. All adjacent streets, intersections and/or widths thereof shall be shown on plat.

12. It is recommended that the developer coordinate with the Public Works Department during the early stages of street construction concerning the ordering, purchase and installation of street marker signs. (Advisory, not a condition for plat release.)

13. It is recommended that the applicant and/or his engineer or developer coordinate with the Tulsa City/County Health Department for solid waste disposal, particularly during the construction phase and/or clearing of the project. Burning of solid waste is prohibited.
14. The method of sewage disposal and plans therefore shall be approved by the City/County Health Department. [Percolation tests (if applicable) are required prior to preliminary approval of plat.]

15. The owner(s) shall provide the following information on sewage disposal system if it is to be privately operated on each lot: type, size and general location. (This information to be included in restrictive covenants on plat.)

16. The method of water supply and plans therefore shall be approved by the City/County Health Department.

17. All lots, streets, building lines, easements, etc., shall be completely dimensioned.

18. The key or location map shall be complete.

19. A Corporation Commission letter, Certificate of Non-Development, or other records as may be on file, shall be provided concerning any oil and/or gas wells before plat is released. (A building line shall be shown on plat on any wells not officially plugged. If plugged, provide plugging records.)

20. A "Letter of Assurance" regarding installation of improvements shall be provided prior to release of final plat. (Including documents required under 3.6.5 Subdivision Regulations.)

21. Applicant is advised of his responsibility to contact the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers regarding Section 404 of the Clean Waters Act.

22. All other Subdivision Regulations shall be met prior to release of final plat.

23. All PUD standards and conditions shall be included in the covenants of the plat and adequate mechanisms established to assure initial and continued compliance with the standards and conditions.

24. Private streets shall be built to City or County standards (depending upon the jurisdiction in which the plat is located) and inspected and accepted by same prior to issuance of any building permits in the subdivision.
TULSA METROPOLITAN AREA PLANNING COMMISSION
CASE REPORT

APPLICATION: Z-7073

TRS 9234
CZM 45/46

Atlas 570/479
PD-8 CD-2

TMAPC Hearing Date: September 5, 2007

Applicant: Lou Reynolds
Tract Size: 2+ acres

ADDRESS/GENERAL LOCATION: Southeast corner of South 33rd West Avenue and West Skelly Drive

EXISTING ZONING: RS-2
EXISTING USE: Residential

ZONING ORDINANCE: Ordinance number 11822 dated June 23, 1970, established zoning for the subject property.

PROPOSED ZONING: CS
PROPOSED USE: Financial services & commercial shopping

RELEVANT ZONING HISTORY:

BOA-18374 April 13, 1999: The Board of Adjustment approved a Special Exception to allow for auto repair with six bays, not including body and paint shop, no storage of inoperable vehicles outside; and a mini storage facility in a CS district; with conditions set forth in an RM-1 district; the south wall of the mini-storage being constructed out of masonry material an serve as the screening fence; and a Special Exception of the screening requirements on the north and south boundaries; per plan submitted on property located at 2940 West Skelly Drive and abutting east of subject property.

Z-6371 November 1992: All concurred in approval of a request for rezoning a tract of land from RS-3 to CS on property located north of the subject property.

Z-6321 October 1991: All concurred in approval of a request for rezoning a tract of land from RS-3 to CS/PK on property located and abutting west of subject property.

AREA DESCRIPTION:

SITE ANALYSIS: The subject property is approximately two acres in size and is located southeast corner of South 33rd West Avenue and West Skelly Drive. The property appears to be in single-family residential use/vacant and is zoned RS-2.

STREETS:
Exist. Access MSHP Design MSHP R/W Exist. # Lanes
South 33rd West Avenue Secondary arterial 100' 4

UTILITIES: The subject tract has municipal water and sewer available.
SURROUNDING AREA: The subject tract is abutted on the east by single-family residential uses and vacant land, zoned RS-2; on the north by Skelly Drive and associated right-of-way, zoned RS-2 and RS-3; on the south by single-family residential uses, zoned RS-2; and on the west by convenience store commercial and parking uses, zoned CS/PK and single-family residential uses, zoned RS-3.

RELATIONSHIP TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:
The District 8 Plan, a part of the adopted Comprehensive Plan for the Tulsa Metropolitan Area, designates this area as being Medium Intensity-Commercial land use and Special District Area B. According to the Zoning Matrix, the requested CS zoning may be found in accord with the Plan by virtue of its location within a Special District. Plan policies call for this area to be developed and maintained in commercial and highway-related uses.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:
The requested CS may be found in accord with the District Plan by virtue of its location within a Special District. In this case, the Plan policies designate this area for commercial development and redevelopment in conjunction with the nearby expressway. The subject property is part of a strip of residential development that is older and appears to be in transition. To the east of the eastern property line of the adjacent property (to the east) is a CS-zoned strip fronting on Skelly Drive. The Plan contemplates that the entire Skelly Drive frontage will eventually become commercial. Based on these facts, staff can recommend APPROVAL of CS zoning for Z-7073. However, staff points out to the TMAPC that this rezoning will likely lead to a similar rezoning to the west across South 33rd West Avenue, which is also within the Special District. The required screening associated with CS zoning should provide adequate protection to the R-zoned properties remaining to the south and east, which will also prohibit access from any street other than South 33rd West Avenue.

09/05/07
TULSA METROPOLITAN AREA PLANNING COMMISSION
CASE REPORT

APPLICATION: Z-7072/PUD-746

TRS 8419
CZM 58

Atlas 2267
PD-18c CC-8

TMAPC Hearing Date: September 5, 2007

Tract Size: 5+ acres

Applicant: Breisch & Associates, Inc.

ADDRESS/GENERAL LOCATION: West of northwest corner of East 101st Street and South Garnett Road

EXISTING ZONING: AG
EXISTING USE: Residential

ZONING ORDINANCE: Ordinance number 11834 dated June 26, 1970, established zoning for the subject property.

PROPOSED ZONING: RS-3/PUD
PROPOSED USE: Single-family residential

RELEVANT ZONING HISTORY:

PUD-364-C October 1996: All concurred in approval of a proposed Major Amendment to PUD-364 on a 4+ acre tract of land to allow uses permitted in an OL district for a children’s nursery on property located on the northeast corner of South Mingo Road and East 97th Street South.

PUD-364-B February 1994: All concurred in approval of a proposed Major Amendment to PUD-364 on a tract of land to allow a school use and delete church use on property located on the southeast corner of East 97th Street South and South Mingo Road.

PUD-364-A July 1993: All concurred in approval of a proposed Major Amendment to PUD-364 on a tract of land to convert portions of the original PUD from multifamily residential, office, and commercial uses to commercial, single-family and church use subject to modifications to the proposed plan with Use Units 5, 6 and 11 being approved for Development Area 3 of PUD.

Z-5954/ PUD-364 January 1984: All concurred in approval of a request for rezoning a 114.7+ acre tract of land from AG to RS-3/RM-0/CS/PUD for shopping, office, multi-family, townhouses and detached single-family homes on property located on the northeast corner of South Mingo Road and East 101st Street South.

BOA-11534 August 6, 1981: The Board of Adjustment approved a Special Exception to permit a church and school in an AG district (Grace Fellowship Church and School) per plot
plan, subject to a subdivision plat, with the record to reflect that this is a private school and a facility of this size with school use and church use approval would not be required to be reviewed again by the Board if a facility such as a day-care center was added in the future, on property located at East 101st Street and South Garnett Road.

**AREA DESCRIPTION:**

**SITE ANALYSIS:** The subject property is approximately five acres in size and is located west of the northwest corner of East 101st Street and South Garnett Road. The property appears to be in residential use and is zoned AG.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STREETS:</th>
<th>MSHP Design</th>
<th>MSHP R/W</th>
<th>Exist. # Lanes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exist. Access</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East 101st Street</td>
<td>Primary arterial</td>
<td>120'</td>
<td>2 (very recently resurfaced)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**UTILITIES:** The subject tract has municipal water and sewer available.

**SURROUNDING AREA:** The subject tract is abutted on the east by vacant and/or large-lot single-family residential uses, zoned AG; on the north by single-family-residential uses, zoned RS-3; on the south by residential uses in Broken Arrow, zoned R-5; and on the west by single-family residential uses, zoned RS-3.

**RELATIONSHIP TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:**
The District 18c Plan, a part of the adopted Comprehensive Plan for the Tulsa Metropolitan Area, designates this area as being Low Intensity-No Specific land use. According to the Zoning Matrix, the requested RS-3 zoning is in accord with the Plan.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR Z-7072:**

Based on the District 18 Plan, existing development and trends in the area, staff can support the requested RS-3 zoning and therefore recommends APPROVAL of RS-3 zoning for Z-7072.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR PUD-746:**
The applicant is proposing a fourteen lot single-family development with RS-3 zoning on a 5.07 acre tract west of the northwest corner of East 101st Street South and South Garnett Road. Proposed density is in conformance with the requested RS-3 zoning and the Comprehensive Plan for District 18c. Internal vehicular and pedestrian circulation will consist of a private looped street with two gated entries to East 101st Street South. Sidewalks are proposed along both sides of the private street and along East 1C1st Street South.

Staff finds the uses and intensities of development proposed and as modified by staff to be in harmony with the spirit and intent of the Code. Based on the following conditions, staff finds PUD-746 as modified by staff, to be: (1) consistent with the Comprehensive Plan; (2) in harmony with the existing and expected development of surrounding areas; (3) a unified treatment of the development possibilities of the site; and (4) consistent with the stated purposes and standards of the PUD Chapter of the Zoning Code.
Therefore, staff recommends **APPROVAL** of PUD-746 subject to the following conditions:

1. Subject to TMAPC approval of RS-3 zoning per Z-7072.

2. The applicant's Outline Development Plan and Text be made a condition of approval, unless modified herein.

3. Development Standards:

GROSS AREA: 5.07 AC 220,849.2 SF

PERMITTED USES:
Those uses permitted by right within RS-3 zoning districts and uses customarily accessory thereto.

MAXIMUM NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS: 14 DU's

MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT: 35 FT
MINIMUM LIVABILITY SPACE PER LOT: 4,000 SF

MINIMUM BUILDING SETBACKS:
- From East 101st Street South right-of-way 35 FT
- From the North Boundary 20 FT
- From the East Boundary 20 FT
- From the West Boundary 20 FT
- From the Private Street/ Reserve (Block 1) 25 FT
- From the Private Street/ Reserve (West Line Block 2) 15 FT*
- From the Private Street/ Reserve (East Line Block 2) 15 FT*
- From the Private Street/ Reserve (North Line Block 2) 25 FT
- From Side Lot Lines 5 FT

*Garages shall be set back a minimum of 20 feet from the Private Street/ Reserve.

VEHICULAR AND PEDESTRIAN ACCESS AND CIRCULATION:
A maximum of two vehicular access points to East 101st Street South shall be permitted. Sidewalks shall be provided along East 101st Street South and along both sides of private streets. Private streets shall be within reserve area(s); sidewalks shall be within easements or reserve area(s).

MINIMUM STREET RESERVE WIDTH: 30 FT

SIGNS:
As permitted within RS-3 districts.

4. No sign permits shall be issued for erection of a sign on a lot within the PUD until a detail sign plan for that lot has been submitted to the TMAPC and approved as being in compliance with the approved PUD development standards.

3.1.4
5. The Department of Public Works or a professional engineer registered in the State of Oklahoma shall certify to the appropriate City official that all required stormwater drainage structures and detention areas serving a lot have been installed in accordance with the approved plans prior to issuance of an occupancy permit on that lot.

6. A homeowners association shall be created and vested with sufficient authority and financial resources to properly maintain all private streets, sidewalks and common areas, including any stormwater detention areas, security gates, guard houses or other commonly owned structures within the PUD.

7. All private roadways shall have a minimum right-of-way of 30' and be a minimum of 26' in width for two-way roads and 18' for one-way loop roads, measured face-to-face of curb. All curbs, gutters, base and paving materials used shall be of a quality and thickness which meets the City of Tulsa standards for a minor residential public street. The maximum vertical grade of private streets shall be ten percent.

8. The City shall inspect all private streets and certify that they meet City standards prior to any building permits being issued on lots accessed by those streets. The developer shall pay all inspection fees required by the City.

9. No building permit shall be issued until the requirements of Section 1107F of the Zoning Code have been satisfied and approved by the TMAPC and filed of record in the County Clerk's office, incorporating within the restrictive covenants the PUD conditions of approval and making the City beneficiary to said covenants that relate to PUD conditions.

10. Subject to conditions recommended by the Technical Advisory Committee during the subdivision platting process which are approved by TMAPC.

11. Entry gates or guardhouses, if proposed, must receive detail site plan approval from TMAPC, Traffic Engineering and Tulsa Fire Department, prior to issuance of a building permit for the gates or guard houses.

12. Approval of the PUD is not an endorsement of the conceptual layout. This will be done during detail site plan review or the subdivision platting process.

Comments from 8/16/07 TAC:
General: A predevelopment meeting with Development Services was held on March 26, 2007.
Water: 6" hydrant required in support of hydrant.
Fire: No comments.
Stormwater: No comments. Defer to Tulsa County for drainage to 101st Street South.
Wastewater: All lots must be served by a mainline Sanitary Sewer Extension.
Transportation: No comments.
Traffic: Some setbacks along the two N-S streets may need a note regarding a min. 20ft setback for garages due to the proposed 10ft building lines. The gate's visitor parking and turnaround looks good (2 spaces) and the call box shall be a min. of 40ft from the future curb line (6 lane primary). Minimum 30' right-of-way for private streets.
GIS: No comments.
Street Addressing: No comments.
County Engineer: Concerned with additional water released onto county ditches along 101st Street South. Would like to review drainage. Check sight distance of both access points.
MSHP: Sidewalks should be constructed if non-existing or maintained if existing.
LRTP: East 101st Street South, between Mingo Road and Garnett Road, planned 4 lanes.
TMP: No comment.
Transit: No current or future plans for this location.
Utilities: Minimum 15' utility easement along interior streets.

09/05/07
STAFF RECOMMENDATION

PUD-327-A Minor Revision to Site/Sidewalk Waiver Request – 7711 East 81st Street South; Lot 2, Block 1, Wood Niche II; RM-1/PUD; PD-18; CD-8

TMAPC approved the original detail site plan for Lot 2, Block 1, Wood Niche II on October 27, 2006, subject to provision of sidewalks. The applicant is now requesting that the sidewalk requirement be waived as part of a Minor Revision to Site application.

PUD 327-A includes two lots – Lots 1 & 2, Wood Niche II. Per the approved site plan, Lot 2 has approximately 85 feet of frontage on East 81st Street South. The west 12 feet is part of a shared access drive.

The adjacent lot to the west, Lot 1, has approximately 85 feet of frontage on East 81st Street South per the approved site plan, with the east 12 feet being part of the shared access drive. TMAPC approved this site plan (for an office building) September 16, 1992. Sidewalks were not required for approval.

On June 16, 2005, TMAPC adopted revised Subdivision Regulations for the Tulsa Metropolitan Area with the following language: “Sidewalks shall be required on both sides of residential streets and shall be established in the covenants or on the related privately funded public improvement (PFPI) project and on both sides of parkways, arterials and all residential collector streets. The relationship to existing and planned collector streets, trails, topography conditions, public convenience and safety, and the proposed uses of the land shall be considered in determining the requirement, arrangement, character, extent, width, grade and location of all sidewalks.” According to these regulations and City of Tulsa policy, sidewalks were required as part of the original detail site plan approval for Lot 2.

In their request for a sidewalk waiver, the applicant notes three issues: safety, topography of adjacent property to the east and the fact that no sidewalks exist on either side of East 81st Street South between Memorial and Sheridan, except for the westernmost stretch at the Sheridan intersection. Per the Subdivision Regulations, safety and topography “shall be considered” in determining the requirement for sidewalks. However, whether or not sidewalks currently exist or adjacent properties is not an included consideration.

Staff recommends APPROVAL of the Minor Revision to Site and related waiver of the sidewalk requirement subject to TMAPC finding that the requirement for sidewalks at this location is not feasible due to topography and/or poses a threat to public safety and subject to payment of a fee in lieu of the sidewalks.
August 29, 2007

Memorandum for: Mr. Alberty, INCOG

From: Harold Tohlen, Development Services

Subject: Sidewalk requirement for 7711 E. 81st South

1. We agree that a sidewalk is required at this site.

2. The City of Tulsa has a street improvement project planned along 81st. The project is in the preliminary design stage and will include sidewalks. The Director of Public works suggests the construction be deferred until the street improvements are constructed since no sidewalks currently exist to connect to at this site. The Director is willing to include the sidewalk as part of the street improvements but desires that the cost of the sidewalk be given to the city to offset the costs to build the sidewalk. The estimated cost is $2814.00.
23 August 2007

Delise Tomlinson
INCOG
201 West 5th Street Ste 600
Tulsa, OK 74103-4212

Re: Minor Site Plan Revision, PUD 327-A, Dr. Kathryn Beller

Ms. Tomlinson

Mitchell Architects is submitting a minor revision to PUD site plan, to request that the previously approved site plan be modified. The modification requested is the deletion of the sidewalk facing 81st street on the southern edge of the property. The owner has expressed concern that the inclusion of the sidewalk would promote a safety risk should it be required to be installed. If the sidewalk were to be installed as required, the eastern travel would end abruptly as it reached the deep creek on the eastern boundary of the property (refer attachment ‘B’). It is our belief that this sidewalk would impose a liability upon the property owner. Additionally, this sidewalk would be the only stretch of sidewalk installed on either side of 81st Street between Memorial and Sheridan, except for the western most stretch at the Sheridan intersection.

We hope that you will consider this request and the impact it has on the safety and welfare of pedestrians, as well as the potential liability of the property owner.

Sincerely,

Eric Randall

[Signature]
subdivision.

(G) Private streets shall be built to City or County standards (depending upon the jurisdiction in which the plat is located), or as modified by the approved PUD, and be inspected and accepted by the appropriate jurisdiction prior to issuance of any building permits in the subdivision.

4.3 SIDEWALKS.

1. Sidewalks shall be required on both sides of residential streets and shall be established in the covenants or on the related privately funded public improvement (PFPI) project and on both sides of parkways, arterials and all residential collector streets. The relationship to existing and planned collector streets, trails, topography conditions, public convenience and safety, and the proposed uses of the land shall be considered in determining the requirement, arrangement, character, extent, width, grade and location of all sidewalks.

2. Sidewalks shall be within dedicated right-of-way and constructed in accordance with specifications and standards of the City Public Works and Development Department or County Engineer or their designee as appropriate.

3. The Planning Commission may require, in order to facilitate pedestrian access to schools, parks, trails, playgrounds, churches, shopping centers or nearby streets, perpetual unobstructed easements of not less than ten (10) feet or more than 15 feet to provide adequate pedestrian circulation. Such easements shall be indicated on the plat.

4.4 BLOCKS.

The length, width and shape of blocks shall be suited for the planned use of land, consistent with zoning requirements, and the need for convenient access, control and safety of street traffic and the limitations and opportunities of the terrain.

1. Length. Block lengths in residential areas shall not be greater than fifteen hundred (1500) feet in length between street lines.

2. Width. Blocks shall have sufficient width to provide for two (2) tiers of lots of appropriate depth except on the boundaries of the proposed subdivision, or required to separate residential development from other types of development or through traffic.

4.5 LOTS.

1. Configuration. The size, shape, and orientation of the lots shall be appropriate for the location of the subdivision and for the type of development and use contemplated. Each residential lot shall be designed with a front lot line, a rear lot line and not more than three (3) side lot lines. (Front lot line, rear lot line and side lot line are defined in the Tulsa Zoning Code.)

2. Access. Every lot shall have frontage on a public dedicated street or private
September 5, 2007

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

PUD-677-A-1: Minor Amendment – Crestwood at the River II; ¼ mile west of the northwest corner South Sheridan Road and East 121st Street South; RS-1/ PUD; PD-26; CD-8

The applicant is requesting a minor amendment to PUD-677-1 for the purpose of reducing the minimum required lot size for Lots 4 and 9, Block 1 and Lots 5 and 10, Block 2. Development standards require minimum lot size of 13,500 feet. The lots in question were platted with the following lot areas:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lot</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lot 4, Block 1</td>
<td>13,214 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot 9, Block 1</td>
<td>13,076 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot 5, Block 2</td>
<td>13,407 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot 10, Block 2</td>
<td>12,945 SF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The applicant is requesting the minor amendment to permit the lot areas as platted.

According to PUD-677-A development standards, a maximum building height of 45 feet is permitted for lots over ½ acre and 40 feet for lots under ½ acre. Staff recommends reducing the permitted building height for smaller perimeter lots (Lot 4, Block 1; Lots 5 & 10, Block 2) from 40 feet to 35 feet as buildings placed on these smaller lots will more likely hug the rear property line and be substantially taller than existing and future adjacent perimeter residential.

Staff recommends APPROVAL of PUD-677-A-1 as proposed with the condition that the maximum building height for Lot 4, Block 1 and Lots 5 & 10, Block 2 be reduced from 40 feet to 35 feet.
Deed of Dedication and Restrictive Covenants

SECTION I. PURPOSE

The purpose of this Deed of Dedication and Restrictive Covenants is to dedicate certain lands to the public use and to impose certain restrictions on the use of the land.

SECTION II. DESCRIPTION OF LAND

The land described herein is located in the City of Crestwood, County of Jefferson, State of Kentucky, and is more particularly described as follows:

1. The western boundary of the land is described by a straight line running due north.
2. The southern boundary of the land is described by a straight line running due east.
3. The eastern boundary of the land is described by a straight line running due south.
4. The northern boundary of the land is described by a straight line running due west.

SECTION III. DEDICATION

The land described herein is dedicated to the public use as follows:

1. Parkland
2. Greenbelt
3. Open space

SECTION IV. RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS

The following restrictive covenants are imposed on the use of the land:

1. No commercial or industrial use is permitted.
2. No mobile homes are permitted.
3. No parking of vehicles is allowed.

SECTION V. CONSTRUCTION

The land shall be constructed in accordance with the following requirements:

1. All buildings shall be constructed with materials approved by the City of Crestwood.
2. All construction shall be completed within 12 months of the date of dedication.

SECTION VI. COVENANTS AND CONDITIONS

The following covenants and conditions are imposed on the use of the land:

1. The land must be kept free of litter and debris.
2. The land must be maintained in a clean and safe condition.
3. The land must be used for educational or recreational purposes.

SECTION VII. RELEASE

The grantor releases the land from all claims and liens.

Signed:

[Signature]

[Date]

[City of Crestwood, Mayor]

Certificate of Survey

The following survey was conducted:

1. On [Date]
2. By [Surveyor]
3. The survey was approved by the City of Crestwood.

[Surveyor's Seal]

[Date]

[City of Crestwood, Surveyor]

Received:

[Date]

[City of Crestwood, Clerk]
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expected development of surrounding areas; (3) a unified treatment of the
development possibilities of the site; and (4) consistent with the stated purposes
and standards of the PUD Chapter of the Zoning Code.

Therefore, staff recommends APPROVAL of PUD 677-A subject to the following
conditions:

1. The applicant's Outline Development Plan and Text be made a condition
   of approval, unless modified herein.
2. Development Standards:

   Area:
   Gross: 9.47 AC  412,513 SF
   Net:  8.79 AC  382,892 SF

   Permitted Uses:
   Those uses included as a matter of right in Use Unit 6, Single Family
   Dwelling, including customary accessory uses such as parking and
   landscaped areas and security gatehouses.

   Minimum Land Area Per Dwelling Unit: 16,000 SF

   Maximum Number of Lots: 23

   Minimum Lot Area: 13,500 SF

   Maximum Building Height: 35 40 FT or 45 FT*

   *Building height shall not exceed 45 feet on lots equal to or greater than ½ acre.
   Building height shall not exceed 40 feet on lots less than ½ acre. No building
   shall have more than three stories (excluding basement) and a minimum roof
   pitch of 4/12.

   Minimum Livability Space Per Dwelling Unit: 7,000 SF

   Off-Street Parking:
   Two enclosed off-street parking spaces per dwelling unit and at least two
   additional off-street parking spaces per dwelling unit.

   Minimum Yards:
   Front:
   Residences: 30 FT or 25 FT*
   Lots 4 through 13, Block 1,
   Crestwood at the River
   Garages:
   Front entry 30 FT or 25 FT*
August 27, 2007

Mr. Owen Ard, Chairman
Tulsa Metropolitan Planning Commission
201 West Fifth, Suite 600
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In response to Resolution No. 7320 approved by the Mayor and the Tulsa City Council, a brief study of the properties fronting Lewis Avenue, between 15th Street South and 21st Street South, was conducted and completed. The purpose of the Lewis Corridor Study is to define current land uses, identify opportunities and any constraints associated with those uses, and recommend appropriate zoning and future use for this particular area.

The final draft of the Lewis Study was approved by both the Tulsa City Council and Mayor Kathy Taylor. On August 23, 2007, the Council voted to request the Tulsa Metropolitan Planning Commission (TMAPC) to begin the process for incorporating the study into Tulsa’s Comprehensive Plan. I understand after the necessary hearings, TMAPC will provide the City Council with its recommendation, and the Council will then vote upon the recommendation.

We will place the issue on a committee agenda in approximately sixty (60) days for a progress report. If you anticipate a recommendation will be given earlier, please let me know.

Thank you and all the members of the TMAPC board for your contributions to our community. Your service to our city is greatly appreciated.

If you have any questions or concerns, please call me at 918-396-1990.

Sincerely,

Councilor Roscoe Turner
Council Chair

Cc: Mayor Kathy Taylor
TMAPC Board Members
Board of Adjustment (BOA)
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Special Study of Appropriate Zoning and Uses
Of Property Fronting Lewis
Between Fifteenth Street South and Twenty-First Street South

PRELIMINARY FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

Introduction

In response to Resolution 7320 by the Mayor and the Tulsa City Council on August 29, 2005 and at the direction of the Tulsa Metropolitan Area Planning Commission, (TMAPC), staff has conducted a brief study of properties fronting Lewis Avenue between 15th Street South and 21st Street South for the purpose of documenting current land uses, identifying opportunities and constraints associated with those uses, and recommending appropriate zoning and future uses.

Over the course of ninety days the following data related to the Study Area was collected: zoning, land use, land ownership, date original structures were constructed, traffic counts and photo surveys. In addition, two maps documenting this data were produced and presented in the fall of 2005 at two meetings with the home owners associations of Lewiston Gardens, Gillette and Yorktown Additions for input and perspective. The predominant sentiment of attendees was to retain the residential character of the study area. Staff also reviewed the planning approaches for comparable areas within the City of Tulsa for applicability and relative success of stated policies.

Then, at its January 25, 2006 worksession, TMAPC requested staff to review the findings of the Midtown Tulsa Redux Study, which was conducted by The University of Oklahoma Urban Design Studio and completed and made available to the public May 6, 2006. Recommendations of the Redux Study relative to channeling of resources, public notice requirements, restructuring of the development review process and use of impact fees are beyond the immediate scope of the Lewis Study. However, concerns raised in the Redux Study relative to zoning, parking and walkability have likewise been raised through the Lewis Study with possible solutions offered through the mid-range and long-range alternatives and the Design Guidelines provided herein.

Findings

The study area for research purposes has been divided into two areas - the north area, located between East 15th Street South and East 17th Place (including the southeast corner of Lewis and E. 17th Place); and the south area, located between East 17th Place and East 21st Street. Lots within the north area are configured to front Lewis and many of these located north of East 16th Street are zoned and used for commercial purposes. Residential structures and lots within the south area are largely configured to front residential streets. Few residential lots/structures within the entire study area are occupied by the owner(s). Daily
traffic counts within the study area per 2005 traffic data, the latest official traffic count, are 16,000-16,600 vehicles. Homeowners' associations (HOAs) within the study area are generally opposed to anything but residential zoning, but are somewhat amenable to office uses if assured the area's residential character can be retained.

The findings are more specifically described below:

1. Of the twenty-two residential structures zoned and used for residential purposes (not including the Fountain Square Condominiums) only six are owner occupied per County Assessor's records. Of the five owner-occupied structures, only two occur north of East 17th Place.

2. Per Tulsa Transportation Management Area traffic data, the daily traffic count in 2003 for the segment of Lewis Avenue between East 15th Street South and East 21st Street South was 20,200 vehicles.

3. With the exception of corner lots, properties within the study area located north of East 17th Place are arranged to have frontage on (and sole access from) Lewis Avenue. Properties located south of East 17th Place are arranged to face residential streets with primary access from those streets, except for two (2) lots facing Lewis Avenue, south of 17th Place (1733 S. Lewis Avenue and 1741 S. Lewis Avenue), whose owners participated in the Lewis Avenue Corridor Study review process and expect to be included in the solution.

4. Property fronting Lewis Avenue between East 16th Street and East 15th Street is predominately zoned and used for commercial purposes. Within this area, five formerly residential structures are occupied by commercial businesses.

5. One lot in the study area has commercial zoning but is still being used for residential purposes. Otherwise, property zoned commercially is currently being used or developed for commercial purposes; property zoned residentially is currently being used for residential purposes.

6. Property owners adjacent to the study area as represented by the HOAs generally object to higher intensity zoning, including Office Light (OL) zoning, for residential properties fronting Lewis Avenue. However, several adjacent property owners said they would be amenable to office uses if assured the residential character of the study area would be retained.

7. Upon review of comparable areas within Tulsa and the special studies/districts related thereto, staff found some applicability for treatment of development and redevelopment within the study area. Comparable areas included the Eleventh Street Corridor, Cherry Street and Brookside.
Conclusions

Based upon the findings, staff conclusions, as amended by the Lewis Avenue Corridor Neighborhood Associations, are as follows:

1. Existing and planned commercial uses within the study area and traffic on Lewis Avenue are affecting the long-term viability of residential uses within the study area, particularly those properties north of East 17th Place.

2. Additional commercial zoning, as opposed to office zoning, within the study area would be inappropriate. Existing commercial zoning and uses are located within or proximate to commercial nodes and/or an activity center. Additional commercial zoning would be strip or spot zoning and would be incompatible with surrounding residential uses.

3. Properties within the study area whose primary access and frontage is on a residential street (mainly those located south of East 17th Place) shall remain residential so as not to adversely impact adjacent residential.

4. With proper controls – through design guidelines, a comprehensive plan special district, special zoning and reconsideration of parking requirements for certain office uses – office use may be an appropriate alternative use for residential properties having primary access on Lewis Avenue, located north of East 17th Place, as well as two (2) lots facing Lewis Avenue, south of 17th Place (1733 S. Lewis Avenue and 1741 S. Lewis Avenue), whose owners participated in the Lewis Avenue Corridor Study review process and expect to be included in the solution. In the interim, PUDs shall be utilized until an appropriate special zoning district – the preferred method – is adopted.

5. For appropriate properties, use/reuse of existing residential structures for office use would help retain the residential character of the study area and ensure compatibility with adjacent residential neighborhoods.
DRAFT DESIGN GUIDELINES

For Properties Fronting Lewis Avenue,
Between East 15th Street South and East 17th Place,
and including two (2) lots facing Lewis Avenue, south of 17th Place,
specifically 1733 S. Lewis Avenue and 1741 S. Lewis Avenue

1. As much as possible, existing residential structures and attached garages facing Lewis Avenue shall be utilized. If a new structure is required, it must be compatible in architectural period and style with those in the vicinity and as documented in the photo survey. Design of new structures facing Lewis Avenue shall conform to the rhythm, scale and proportion of existing residential structures and garages. No solid-wall fronts shall be permitted on new structures. On the sides and/or rear of the second floors of new two-story structures, only non-opening, non-transparent, translucent windows, such as glass block or frosted glass shall be permitted. Primary entrances shall be oriented to the front of the property (facing Lewis Avenue).

2. Structures shall be limited to two stories, no greater than thirty-five (35) feet in height.

3. To reduce pedestrian and vehicular conflicts, no additional curb cuts will be permitted onto Lewis Avenue; use of shared access drives is encouraged.

4. Parking and boundaries in common with residential uses must be screened by a masonry wall or a privacy fence with masonry pilasters and landscaping. Materials used for said privacy fences shall be opaque in nature, to completely screen any view of adjacent residential uses.

5. To retain the residential character and pedestrian scale of the area, parking must be located to the side and/or rear of buildings and must be located behind the front building line. Application for a lot combination within this study area shall NOT be approved administratively; such applications shall be forwarded to TMAPC for review.

6. A minimum coverage of 15% landscaping of the net lot area, including landscaping of the street yard, is required. Landscaping shall meet the requirements of the Landscape Chapter of the zoning code.

7. To retain the residential character and pedestrian scale of the area, signage shall be limited to one (1) ground sign or one (1) wall sign not to exceed six (6) square feet per side of display surface area. The ground sign shall not exceed four (4) feet in height. No sign illumination shall be permitted. No banners or temporary signage related to the property’s business shall be permitted.

8. Site lighting shall be limited to that which is decorative and residential in style and function. Light must be directed downward and away from adjacent residential and shielding of such light shall be designed so as to prevent the light producing element or reflector of the light fixture from being visible to persons within residential districts. Compliance with these standards and with the
City of Tulsa Zoning Code must be qualified per application of the Kennebunkport Formula. Calculations must include consideration of topography.

9. Outdoor trash storage is restricted to that which is typical for single-family residential areas and must be screened from view of persons standing at ground level.

10. Pedestrian circulation system plan shall be submitted.

11. Permitted Office Light (OL) uses shall be:

- Accountant office
- Advertising agency
- Architect office
- Artist's studio
- Computing service
- Counselor or counseling office
- Data processing service
- Drafting service
- Engineer office
- Interior design consultant (no retail sales)
- Law offices
- Photography studio
- Studio or school for teaching language or business
- Studio of school for teaching handcrafted visual fine arts such as painting, pottery, or sculpture; and

Off-street parking, only as an accessory use

Special Exception Uses, as primary uses:

- Single-family dwelling
- Duplex dwelling
- Townhouse Dwelling

12. Hours of operation for permitted Office Light (OL) uses shall be limited to 7:00 a.m. until 7:00 p.m.

13. Going forward from this approval date of March 27, 2007, no new zoning classification shall be permitted in the Lewis Corridor until the zoning code is amended to create a new zoning category that would mandate development and redevelopment through adherence to the design guidelines of the special district.
ALTERNATIVES

Recommend City Council adopt the Lewis Study, as amended; permit rezoning by application only, permit OL uses that adhere to the Design Guidelines adopted as part of the Lewis Study, as amended. Zoning with a higher intensity than OL is not recommended. Permitted Office Light (OL) uses and zoning shall be restricted to properties adjacent to Lewis Avenue between East 15th Street South and East 17th Place South, as well as the two (2) lots facing Lewis Avenue south of 17th Place (1733 S. Lewis Avenue and 1741 S. Lewis Avenue).

1. Amend the Comprehensive Plan by establishing a new Special District to include properties adjacent to Lewis Avenue between East 15th Street South and East 17th Place South, as well as the two (2) lots facing Lewis Avenue south of 17th Place (1733 S. Lewis Avenue and 1741 S. Lewis Avenue). General policies shall include the following:

- Development and redevelopment in this area shall be in compliance with Design Guidelines as established in the Lewis Study, as amended.
- Zoning of higher intensity than OL shall not be allowed in this area.
- Development and redevelopment shall be compatible with adjacent residential uses.
- Reuse of existing structures is encouraged.
- Shared parking is encouraged, including shared parking of uses with staggered times of peak loads.
- Redevelopment, triggered by building permit or occupancy permit for uses other than single-family residential, shall be permitted only if it can conform to the design guidelines contained in the Lewis Study.
- Development and redevelopment shall include adequate screening and buffering to avoid negative impacts on adjacent residential areas.
- Development and redevelopment in this area shall maintain the pedestrian orientation, with human-scale signage, sidewalks and other pedestrian amenities.
- Access to lots in this area shall be restricted to Lewis Avenue unless a corner lot on East 16th Street and on East 17th Street is across the residential street from a nonresidential use. With exception of these corner lots, access from residential streets shall not be permitted.
- Those properties located within a Historic Preservation (HP) District must comply with the standards and procedures of that district and should comply with the policies of the special district and related Design Guidelines.
- Variances or amendments to lot width requirements of the Zoning Code shall be avoided to discourage a fragmented and incremental approach to development.
- Sidewalks along Lewis Avenue must be provided, preserved and maintained.

2. Update the Zoning Code to recognize differences in parking needs among offices uses, depending upon intensity, appropriateness for infill development, and accommodation of shared use of parking areas.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

Based on the findings, conclusions and identified alternatives of this study and to address immediate concerns brought by recent zoning requests, the Lewis Avenue Corridor Neighborhood Associations recommend that City Council adopt the Lewis Study and related Design Guidelines, as amended on March 27, 2007. This shall be followed as quickly as possible by an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan to establish a special district through which the suggested policies and Design Guidelines be applied to development and redevelopment. In addition, the Lewis Avenue Corridor Neighborhood Associations recommend that TMAPC/City Council amend the zoning code to mandate development and redevelopment through adherence to the design guidelines of the special district either through a PUD in the interim or through a new zoning category once adopted. The Lewis Avenue Corridor Neighborhood Associations also recommend that TMAPC/City Council amend the zoning code to provide less intense parking requirements for certain light office uses.
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