

TULSA METROPOLITAN AREA PLANNING COMMISSION

For Meeting No. 2473

March 7, 2007 1:30 PM

Francis Campbell City Council Room Plaza Level, Tulsa Civic Center

CONSIDER, DISCUSS AND/OR TAKE ACTION ON

1. Call to Order:

REPORTS

- 2. Chairman's Report:
- 3. Worksession Report:
- 4. <u>Comprehensive Plan Report:</u>
 Report on the update of the Comprehensive Plan
- 5. Director's Report:

8.

- Minutes of February 21, 2007, Meeting No. 2471
- 7. CONSENT AGENDA

All matters under "Consent" are considered by the Planning Commission to be routine and will be enacted by one motion. Any Planning Commission member may; however, remove an item by request.

a. Ridgewood Hollow - (8328)/Final Plat

(PD-26) (CD-8)

10305 South Louisville Avenue

b. PUD-575-3/Z-6611-SP-1a - Sack & Associates, Inc./Minor (PD-18c) (CD-7)
Amendment - Corridor Site Plan

Northeast corner East 79th Street South and South Mingo Road (Minor Amendment for the purpose of splitting an unplatted tract in Development Area A.)

C. CONSIDERATION OF ITEMS REMOVED FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA

PUBLIC HEARINGS

a. Z-7045 - Tulsa Engineering & Planning

AG to RS-3/CS

Southeast corner of East 11th Street and South 161st East Avenue (Continued from January 17, 2007) (Related to 8.b.)

(PD-17) (CD-6)

b. PUD-737 – Tulsa Engineering & Planning

(PD-17) (CD-6)

Southeast corner of intersection of East 11th Street South and South 161st East Avenue (PUD proposes residential development with five-acre commercial node.) (Related to 8.a.)

PUBLIC HEARINGS (Cont.)

c. PUD-533-B-1 - Sack & Associates, Inc.

(PD-5) (CD-5)

North of the northwest corner of East 27th Street South and South 85th East Avenue (Minor Amendment to allocate floor area in conjunction with a lot-split of Lot 6.)

d. PUD-306-K - Charles E. Norman

(PD-26) (CD-2)

East of the northeast corner of Riverside Parkway and East 101st Street South (Major Amendment to add a multi-bay auto wash.)

e. CZ-385 - Tuttle & Associates

AG to RS/OL/CS

Southeast corner of 86th Street North and North Harvard Avenue

County

f. Z-7051/PUD-696-A – Lou Reynolds

OL to CS

South of the southeast corner of East 91st Street and South Delaware (Applicant withdrew this application)

(PD-18) (CD-2)

9. OTHER BUSINESS

a. Commissioners' Comments

ADJOURN

PD = Planning District/CD = Council District

NOTICE:

8.

If you require special accommodation pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, please notify INCOG (918) 584-7526


Exhibits, Petitions, Pictures, etc., presented to the Planning Commission may be received and deposited in case files to be maintained at Land Development Services, INCOG.


Ringing/sound on all <u>cell phones</u> and <u>pagers</u> must be turned off during the Planning Commission.

Visit our website @ www.tmapc.org

The mission of the Tulsa Metropolitan Area Planning Commission (TMAPC) is to provide comprehensive planning, zoning and land division services for the City of Tulsa and Tulsa County through a joint city-county cooperative planning commission resulting in the orderly development of the Tulsa Metropolitan Area and enhancing and preserving the quality of life for the region's current and future residents.

TMAPC Mission Statement







Final Subdivision Plat


Ridgewood Hollow - (8328) (PD 26) (CD 8) 10305 South Louisville Avenue

This plat consists of 12 Lots in 1 Block on 5 acres.

Staff recommends APPROVAL of the Final Plat. All release letters have been received.








STAFF RECOMMENDATION

PUD- 575-3/Z-6611-SP-1a Minor Amendment –Northeast corner of East 79th Street South and South Mingo Road; Unplatted; Development Area 'A'; PD-18c; CD-7; related to L-20063


The applicant is requesting a minor amendment to PUD 575-3/ Z-6611-SP-1a for the purpose of splitting an unplatted tract in Development Area 'A'. The applicant proposes to create two tracts from the existing unplatted 11.2564 acres. Tract 'A', with frontage on Mingo and East 79th Street South, is to be 9.92564 acres; Tract 'B', with 223.39 feet of frontage on East 79th Street, is to be 2.0000 acres.

PUD/ Corridor Site Plan standards currently permit a maximum of 30 multi-family dwelling units per acre of lot area. Accordingly, this amendment proposes to allocate 276 multi-family dwelling units to Tract 'A' and 60 multi-family dwelling units to Tract 'B'.

It is unusual for property within a PUD/Corridor to be subdivided by lot-split as the zoning code requires property to be platted upon approval of the PUD/Corridor Site Plan. In this case, right-of-way for East 79th Street South has been dedicated by separate instrument and improvements have recently been completed, so the resulting lots have frontage on improved right-of-way. However, this aberration from standard procedure should not be construed as policy. No further lot-splits should be granted within this PUD/ Corridor Site Plan as platting is the appropriate vehicle for subdivision of tracts within such developments. Therefore, staff recommends **APPROVAL** of PUD 575-3/Z-6611-SP-1a as proposed subject to there being no further lot-splits of unplatted land permitted within PUD 575-3/Z-6611-SP-1.



PAGE 4 OF 4 EXHIBIT 'A'





~ ~

TULSA METROPOLITAN AREA PLANNING COMMISSION CASE REPORT

APPLICATION: Z-7045

TRS 9411

Atlas 0

CZM 40

PD-17 CD-6

TMAPC Hearing Date: March 7, 2007 (continued

from December 6, 2006 and

January 17, 2007)

Applicant: Jerry Ledford Jr./TEP

Tract Size: 140+ acres

ADDRESS/GENERAL LOCATION: Southeast corner East 11th Street and South 161st East

Avenue

EXISTING ZONING: AG

EXISTING USE: Vacant

ZONING ORDINANCE: Ordinance number 11818 dated June 26, 1970, established zoning for the subject property.

PROPOSED ZONING: RS-3/CS (135 A. of

PROPOSED USE: Residential/Commercial

RS-3 and 5 A. of CS)

RELEVANT ZONING HISTORY:

Z-6671 February 1999: All concurred in approval of a rezoning of a tract of land lying onehalf mile northeast of the subject site from RS-3 to AG.

BOA-14627 October 22, 1987: The Board of Adjustment approved a Use Variance to allow for a 1500 square foot accessory building for storage of personal items and electrical materials; per plan submitted; and subject to the Home Occupation Guidelines a set forth in the Code.

AREA DESCRIPTION:

SITE ANALYSIS: The subject property is approximately 140 acres in size and is located at the southeast corner of East 11th Street and South 161st East Avenue. The property appears to be vacant, partially wooded, gently rolling and zoned AG. According to a sign on the east boundary of the property, it is the site of a former horseback riding stable. A house, pole-barn shelter and several accessory buildings remain on the site. A ravine or drainage way crosses the property from approximately east to west, and there appears to be a pond in the interior of the property.

STREETS:

Exist. Access	MSHP Design	MSHP R/W	Exist. # Lanes
East 11 th Street	Secondary arterial	100'	Two
South 161st Avenue	Secondary arterial	100'	Two

UTILITIES: The subject tract has municipal water and sewer available.

SURROUNDING AREA: The subject tract is abutted on all sides by agricultural land, open space and large-lot single-family development. A pasture with horses lies across East 11th Street to the north, zoned CS, OL and RS-3. Staff notes that this property in this configuration was apparently zoned prior to the adoption of the current zoning map in 1970.

The properties to the west are vacant or large-lot single-family residential and zoned RS-3. Properties to the east and southeast are zoned AG and are in agricultural, vacant or in single-family residential, large-lot uses. On the southwestern boundary of the site is a parcel zoned RD, but is apparently not in that use. It appeared to be large-lot residential/agricultural.

RELATIONSHIP TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:

The District 17 Plan, a part of the adopted Comprehensive Plan for the Tulsa Metropolitan Area, designates this area as being Medium Intensity-No Specific land use for the five-acre node at the intersection and the remainder as Low Intensity-No Specific land use. According to the Zoning Matrix, the requested RS-3/CS zoning is in accord with the Plan, so long as the CS is contained within the five-acre node.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Based on existing physical facts and surrounding land uses, staff can support the requested RS-3/CS zoning. The five acres of CS are supported, if located at the intersection of East 11th Street and South 161st East Avenue, where it would be across 11th Street and facing into the existing CS zoning to the north. Staff recommends the inclusion of a 100' wide buffer of OL zoning to wrap around the CS zoning on the south and east, separating the CS and adjacent residential zoning, which staff is recommending to be RS-3. Therefore, staff recommends APPROVAL of RS-3/OL/CS zoning for Z-7045, with five acres of CS at the corner and the remainder RS-3, per attached case exhibit, provided that the TMAPC deems the accompanying PUD-737 or some variation of it to be acceptable.

1-17-2007

a - U

Bass, Mindi

From: Tim Terral [T.Terral@tulsaengineering.com]

Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2007 4:24 PM

To: Bass, Mindi

Cc: Jerry Ledford Jr.; Jack Taber; Tamra Schmidt

Subject: Stratford Ridge Re-zoning (Z-7045)

Mindi -

We would like to revise our original zoning application request from RS-4 to RS-3, for the above referenced zoning case number.

If you have any questions or comments, please let me know.

Tim Terral

Director of Land Planning

Tulsa Engineering and Planning Associates, Inc.

6737 South 85th East Avenue Tulsa, Oklahoma 74133.2057 Telephone: 918.252.9621

Fax: 918.250.4566

t.terral@tulsaengineering.com



Exhibit "A" Proposed CS Zoning 5 Acres East 11th Street South South 161st East Avenue Lynn Lane Estates Proposed RS-4 Zoning 135 Acres **RS-4 & CS TRACTS** A PART OF THE NW/4 OF SECTION 11, T-19-N, R-14-E Tulsa Engineering & Planning Associates, Inc. Job No: 06-089 6737 South 85th East Avenue Tulsa, Oklahoma 74133 1" = 500'Scale:




Phone: 918-252-9621 Fax: 918-250-4566

Civil Engineering . Land Surveying . Land Planning

Certificate of Authorization No. 531 Renewal Date June, 2007



10/03/2006 Date:



TMAPC Action; 9 members present:

On MOTION of CARNES, TMAPC voted 9-0-0 (Ard, Bayles, Cantrell, Carnes, Collins, Harmon, Midget, Shivel, Wofford "aye"; no "nays"; none "abstaining"; Cantees "absent") to CONTINUE Z-7041 to December 20, 2006.

Application No.: Z-7045

AG to RS-4/CS

Applicant: Jerry W. Ledford, Jr., Tulsa Engineering &

(PD-17) (CD-6)

Planning

Location: Southeast corner East 11th Street and South 161st East Avenue

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Ms. Matthews stated that the applicant is not in agreement with staff's recommendation and would like more time to possibly refine their proposal. The applicant would like a continuance to December 20, 2006.

TMAPC COMMENTS:

Mr. Ard stated that there are several people signed up to speak on this application. He asked if anyone has a problem with this being continued to December 20, 2006 in order to allow the applicant time to work out some of the issues.

Applicant was not present.

Mr. Ard stated that obviously this application is still in progress and possibly some changes made. He realizes that many people took time out of their day to be here and hear this issue. He is willing to hear their opinions relating to the continuance.

Mr. Ard asked staff if there is any way to hear this case today, considering where it is in the process. In response, Ms. Matthews stated that the staff recommendation before the Planning Commission is based on what the applicant has presented and the applicant is not here and doesn't agree with staff's recommendation. The applicant would be at a disadvantage.

Mr. Ard asked if the application is still under construction. In response, Ms. Matthews stated that it is still under construction and it is her understanding that the applicant is trying to see if a mutual agreement can be made.

INTERESTED PARTIES:

Christy Boggs, 11275 South 157th East Avenue, 74108, representing the interested parties, stated that she didn't receive notice (out of 300' radius), but did see the posted signs on the subject property. She indicated that the neighborhood is strongly opposed to the highest density zoning that is being proposed. The neighbors moved into the subject area because of the rural setting. Ms. Boggs indicated that there would have been more people present had she had better notification.

TMAPC COMMENTS:

Mr. Harmon stated that it would appear that a continuance would work in the favor of the neighborhood. In response, Ms. Boggs stated that she could generate a lot more people to oppose this application if she had more time. Mr. Harmon stated that a continuance would give the neighborhood time to talk with the applicant and meet with the neighbors.

Mr. Alberty informed the interested parties that if they did not receive notice for this application, but would like notice of any other changes ahead of time, they should please give their information on the sign-in sheet. He explained that there are three methods of notification: 1.) Newspaper (Tulsa Commerce and Daily Legal News); 2.) The posting of the signs on the subject property, and 3.) Mailing written notices to property owners within a 300-foot radius of the boundaries of the subject property (everyone who lives within 300 feet of the subject property would have received notice).

In response to Mr. Midget, Ms. Boggs stated that there is not a registered homeowners association in the subject area.

After a lengthy discussion it was determined to continue the case to a date certain chosen by the interested parties.

TMAPC Action; 9 members present:

On **MOTION** of **BAYLES**, TMAPC voted **9-0-0** (Ard, Bayles, Cantrell, Carnes, Collins, Harmon, Midget, Shivel, Wofford "aye"; no "nays"; none "abstaining"; Cantees "absent") to **CONTINUE** Z-7045 to January 17, 2007.

Mr. Midget out at 1:57 p.m.

2-7045

To whom it may concern,



We would like to officially protest the proposed zoning change and development of the 140 acres at 11th Street and 161st East Avenue. (formerly known as Brashears Riding Stables) into RS4 from AG.

Due to the fact that the Cherokee Casino was allowed to be built without any improvements to the roads and highways, traffic in this area has increased ten fold. It has become a real problem during peak traffic times and is getting worse every day. The addition of hundreds of houses (not to mention the construction period) would cause unimaginable jam ups and accidents on the tiny un-maintained two lane roads around this area. 161st Street and 11th Street are dangerously narrow and uneven with large cracks and upheavals every where, not to mention deep ditches on both sides.

We feel that further development without improvements of the infrastructure would be irresponsible and decrease our property value significantly, in addition to lowering the quality of life in this area. We have lived here for 15 years along with our parents and we own two houses and large lots directly down 11th St. from this proposed development and have spent a lot of money improving our property's. We maintain our houses at a very high level and do not want to see our investment destroyed by irresponsible development.

The school system is this area is at its capacity, with only a very small elementary school. Sandburg Elementary nor the Middle or High School would be able to hold the additional students that would come into the area if this plan is approved. The quality that the schools do offer in this area is already substandard and would be drastically reduced by the larger classroom sizes.

Please consider all of these things before granting high density housing to be built. This area is on a septic system without any sewers. The electric service is substandard, with many outages. This area has country charm that would be lost with a large housing addition and there has been no mention of saving the contour of the land or the large trees. Maintaining the beauty of this area (lower density housing?) would be in the best interest of the city as well as the residents.

Thank You,

Chris Turne

Cinaca, Julne

Our Property Addresses are:

17102 East 11th Street Tulsa, OK 74108

16927 East 12th Street Tulsa, OK 74108


RECEIVED

JAN 1 1 2007

BY:

Concerning Case No.: Z-7045

My family and I moved to 14677 E. 11th Pl 2 1/2 years ago. We moved from the area of Pine and Harvard. We specifically chose this area for several reasons. The first one is obvious. It is a beautiful area, well kept houses far apart from one another on large lots. The second is the area itself is surrounded by undeveloped land that gives our house a country feel while still living in the city. I did some research before we bought the house in regards to possible future development in these areas. There were no red flags, and at that time there was nothing in the works as far as I could tell. Although we knew that was not a guarantee that this area would remain undeveloped, we had hoped that if and when that time came that it would be something that would compliment the existing neighborhoods around it and maybe even be something great. With the prospects of what lies ahead in this proposal to rezone 161st and 11th, we are dreading it and strongly oppose what they want to build. I attended the neighborhood meeting on Dec 19 and I didn't hear anything to convince me that this would in any way benefit the people that already live in the immediate area, or anybody else other than the developer and the seller of the property. I realize that change is certain and nothing stays the same forever. I admit that I was so disappointed to find out that Brashears was selling the property, but I never dreamed that something like this was going in its place. How can this possibly be a feasible option when there are no plans to widen any of the streets surrounding the property? Even if only 200 houses were built there, in all probability, that would add approximately 400 people, with approximately 300-400 cars. 161st is one way in both directions, so is 11th street and so is 21st street. If there is a shopping center that is put in, I'm guessing that the developer is hoping for alot of business, so how do you do that with one way streets getting in and out of it? Common sense tells me that it is going to be a traffic nightmare! The other subject is the type of housing going to be built. 1200-1400 square foot houses do not sell for \$135,000 and \$185,000. At least not in Tulsa they don't. Especially on a little postage stamp size lot. The idea of running sewer lines to this area ONLY is not only unfair but is absurd! I have also spoken with a friend who is an engineer and he told me that "retaining ponds" for water run off is not a good way of controlling water run off in a neighborhood setting because of safety issues as well as expensive upkeep. There are also problems with pollutants in the retaining ponds from pesticides, fertilizers, etc that homeowners use. Is the city responsible for the upkeep and protection of these ponds? So, what we are going to end up with are the




type of houses that are behind Target and in the area preceding Rolling Hills. For the developers, I would ask them, Would you want this in your neighborhood? Does any of this make sense for anyone besides the ones making money? Please reconsider how you want to rezone this area and please think about us, the people that worked hard to get what they have and now are faced with the possibility of an urban sprawl within 300 feet of their property and not a thing we can do about it.

Robert A. Pierson Debbi E. Waid 14677 E. 11th Pl Tulsa, Oklahoma 74108 918-437-0207

Soloti E. Ward Robert A. Penson

2 . 17


Continued Case No.: Z-7045




If the purpose of zoning and the definition of the zoning code, as defined by INCOG is to promote the health, safety and public welf are; conserve the value of buildings and encourange the most appro priate use of land and promote the development of the community in accordance with a comprehensive plan, then the rezoning of the la nd at 161st E. Ave and 11th St. is a direct contradiction to this definition. There is not a comprehensive plan that is visible to me if there is no plan for widening of the major thoroughfares sur rounding the property and also no plan to offer the City's sewer 1 ines to anyone else in the neighborhood EXCEPT this housing additi on. This hasn't been planned thoughtfully or sensibly and certain ly not fair. We believe that this we devalue our property as well , no enhance it. Please reconsider the rezoning of this property.

Sincerely,

Robert Pierson Robert Lusan





TULSA METROPOLITAN AREA PLANNING COMMISSION CASE REPORT

APPLICATION: PUD-737

TRS 9411

Atlas 0

CZM 40

PD-17 CD-6

TMAPC Hearing Date: March 7. 2007

Applicant: Jerry Ledford Jr./TEP

Tract Size: 140+ acres

ADDRESS/GENERAL LOCATION: Southeast corner East 11th Street and South 161st East

Avenue

EXISTING ZONING: AG

EXISTING USE: Vacant

ZONING ORDINANCE: Ordinance number 11818 dated June 26, 1970, established zoning for the subject property.

PROPOSED ZONING: RS-3/CS/PUD (135 A. PROPOSED USE: Residential/Commercial

of RS-3 and 5 A. of CS)

RELEVANT ZONING HISTORY:

Z-7045 December 6, 2006: A request to rezone a 140± acre tract from AG to 135 acres to RS-4 and five acres to CS was heard by the TMAPC on December 6, 2006. The TMAPC and staff agreed to continue the case per the applicant to a further date. Applicant indicated he is considering reducing request from RS-4 to RS-3. Multiple requests for continuance were approved to be finally heard on March 7, 2007, with a related Planned Unit Development submitted on subject property.

Z-6671 February 1999: All concurred in approval of a rezoning of a tract of land lying one-half mile northeast of the subject site from RS-3 to AG.

BOA-14627 October 22, 1987: The Board of Adjustment approved a Use Variance to allow for a 1500 square foot accessory building for storage of personal items and electrical materials; per plan submitted; and subject to the Home Occupation Guidelines a set forth in the Code.

AREA DESCRIPTION:

SITE ANALYSIS: The subject property is approximately 140 acres in size and is located at the southeast corner of East 11th Street and South 161st East Avenue. The property appears to be vacant, partially wooded, gently rolling and zoned AG. According to a sign on the east boundary of the property, it is the site of a former horseback riding stable. A house, pole-barn shelter and several accessory buildings remain on the site. A ravine or drainage way crosses the property from approximately east to west, and there appears to be a pond in the interior of the property.

STREETS:

Exist. Access East 11th Street

South 161st Avenue

MSHP Design Secondary arterial MSHP R/W

Exist. # Lanes Two

100'

Secondary arterial

100'

Two

UTILITIES: The subject tract has municipal water and sewer available.

SURROUNDING AREA: The subject tract is abutted on all sides by agricultural land, open space and large-lot single-family development. A pasture with horses, lies across East 11th Street to the north, zoned CS, OL and RS-3. Staff notes that this property in this configuration was apparently zoned prior to the adoption of the current zoning map in 1970.

The properties to the west are vacant or large-lot single-family residential and zoned RS-3. Properties to the east and southeast are zoned AG and are in agricultural, vacant or in singlefamily residential, large-lot uses. On the southwestern boundary of the site is a parcel zoned RD, but is apparently not in that use. It appeared to be large-lot residential/agricultural.

RELATIONSHIP TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:

The District 17 Plan, a part of the adopted Comprehensive Plan for the Tulsa Metropolitan Area, designates this area as being Medium Intensity-No Specific land use for the five-acre node at the intersection and the remainder as Low Intensity-No Specific land use. According to the Zoning Matrix, the requested CS, Commercial Shopping, and RS-3, Residential Single-Family, zoning is found to be in accordance with the Comprehensive Plan.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

PUD 737 is proposed primarily as a residential development, with a five acre commercial node on the southeast corner of East 11th Street South and South 161st East Avenue. The tract has 2,310 feet of frontage along East 11th Street South and 2,640 feet of frontage on South 161st East Avenue. Corresponding RS-3 and CS zoning are requested in support of the proposed development.

The 140-acre site is characterized by rolling terrain with a ridgeline that runs north/south along the western half of the subject property. A smaller ridgeline, or knob, is situated in the north central portion of the site along East 11th Street South. There are three drainage ways located on-site which are proposed for three wet stormwater detention facilities.

PUD 737 proposes a total of 540 single-family residential dwellings and 108,900 square feet of commercial floor area. Three access points onto East 11th Street South and two access points onto South 161st East Avenue are proposed from the residential portion of the development. All streets are to be public. Given the size and density of the proposed PUD and per the Comprehensive Plan, a collector street is recommended through the development; as is an additional stub street to the east. Sidewalks must be provided on East 11th Street South, South 161st East Avenue and on all residential streets. Careful consideration of topography and natural features is strongly recommended, with pedestrian access assured to common recreation areas.

Staff finds the uses and intensities of development proposed and as modified by staff to be in harmony with the spirit and intent of the Code. Based on the following conditions, staff finds PUD-737 as modified by staff, to be: (1) consistent with the Comprehensive Plan; (2) in harmony with the existing and expected development of surrounding areas; (3) a unified treatment of the development possibilities of the site; and (4) consistent with the stated purposes and standards of the PUD Chapter of the Zoning Code.

Therefore, staff recommends APPROVAL of PUD- 737 subject to the following conditions:

- The applicant's Outline Development Plan and Text be made a condition of approval, unless modified herein.
- Development Standards:

Development Area A:

LAND AREA:

5.0 AC (gross)

3.99 AC (net)

-16

MINIMUM LOT WIDTH:

150 FT

PERMITTED USES:

Those uses as permitted by right in the CS district, excluding Use Unit 12a; and excluding outdoor advertising signs, only, within Use Unit 21, Business and Outdoor Advertising Signs.

MAXIMUM PERMITTED FLOOR AREA:

108,900 S.F.

MAXIMUM PERMITTED FLOOR

AREA RATIO PER LOT:

.50

MAXIMUM PERMITTED HEIGHT:

One-story not to exceed 28 feet.

MINIMUM BUILDING SETBACKS:

From centerline of East 11th Street South From centerline of South 161st East Ave.

100 feet

100 feet

From abutting RS District

50 feet

MINIMUM SETBACK FOR BULK TRASH CONTAINERS (dumpsters):

100 feet from the east boundary.

OFF-STREET PARKING:

As required by the Tulsa Zoning Code per the applicable use unit.

MINIMUM LANDSCAPED AREA:

A minimum of 10% of the net lot area shall be improved as internal landscaped open space in accord with the provisions of the Landscape Chapter of the Tulsa Zoning Code.

SCREENING AND BUFFERING:

A minimum six-foot tall masonry screening wall shall be provided along the east and south boundaries of Development Area A. A minimum 20-foot wide landscape buffer with trees shall be provided along the east boundary, design of which shall be subject to TMAPC approval at detail site plan review.

VEHICULAR ACCESS:

A maximum of two access points each onto East 11th Street South and South 161st East Avenue is permitted.

PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION:

Sidewalks shall be provided along East 11th Street South and along South 161st East Avenue. In addition, a minimum of one designated pedestrian access (i.e. paving or striping) from each arterial street sidewalk shall be provided through the parking to the interior use(s).

LIGHTING:

No light standard or building-mounted light shall exceed 20 feet in height. All lights standards shall be hooded and directed downward. Shielding of outdoor lighting shall be designed so as to prevent the light producing element or reflector of the light fixture from being visible to a person standing at ground level in nearby residential areas. Compliance with these standards shall be verified by application of the Kennebunkport Formula. Consideration of topography must be included in the calculations.

SIGNAGE:

Signage must comply with Section 1103.B.2.b of the zoning code and with the following conditions:

- (a) One ground sign per lot not to exceed a maximum of two ground signs per arterial street frontage shall be permitted. Each ground sign shall be permitted a maximum display surface area of 160 square feet and shall not exceed 25 feet in height.
- (b) Wall signs shall be permitted not to exceed two square feet of display surface area per each lineal foot of building wall to which the sign or signs are attached. No east or south-facing wall signs permitted on buildings within 150 feet of the south and east boundaries of Development Area A.
- (c) No outdoor advertising signs permitted.

Development Area B:

LAND AREA:

135.0 AC (gross)

130.38 AC (net)

PERMITTED USES:

Use Unit #6 and those uses customary and accessory thereto.

MINIMUM LAND AREA PER DWELLING UNIT:

8,400 S.F.

MAXIMUM PERMITTED DWELLING UNITS:

600

MINIMUM LOT AREA:

5,500 S.F.

MINIMUM LIVABILITY SPACE PER LOT:

2,000 S.F.

MINIMUM LOT FRONTAGE:

50 FT*

MINIMUM SETBACKS:

Front Yard 20 FT
Side Yard Abutting a Public Street 15 FT**
Side Yard 5 FT
Yard Adjacent to an Arterial 35 FT***

MAXIMUM PERMITTED HEIGHT:

35 FT

VEHICULAR ACCESS:

Access to lots shall be provided by public streets with a maximum of three access points onto East 11th Street South and two access points onto South 161st East Avenue. A collector street with a minimum 60-foot right-of-way shall be provided through the development. An additional stub street to the east and north of the planned lift station shall be provided No residential lot shall be permitted direct access onto an arterial.

PEDESTRIAN ACCESS:

Sidewalks shall be provided along all residential streets and along East 11th Street South and South 161st East Avenue. Pedestrian access shall be provided to all common areas unless the common area is dedicated solely for detention purposes.

^{*}Measured as the lot width at the building line. Lot shall have at least 30 feet of street frontage.

^{**}Garages shall be setback a minimum of 20 feet.

^{***}No front yards permitted on an arterial.

- 3. No zoning clearance permit shall be issued for a lot within the PUD until a detail site plan for the lot, which includes all buildings, parking and landscaping areas, has been submitted to the TMAPC and approved as being in compliance with the approved PUD development standards.
- 4. A detail landscape plan for each non-residential lot shall be approved by the TMAPC prior to issuance of a building permit. A landscape architect registered in the State of Oklahoma shall certify to the zoning officer that all required landscaping and screening fences have been installed or will be installed within a specified period of time in accordance with the approved landscape plan for the lot, prior to issuance of an occupancy permit. The landscaping materials required under the approved plan shall be maintained and replaced as needed, as a continuing condition of the granting of an occupancy permit.
- 5. No sign permits shall be issued for erection of a sign on a lot within the PUD until a detail sign plan for that lot has been submitted to the TMAPC and approved as being in compliance with the approved PUD development standards.
- All trash, mechanical and equipment areas, including building mounted, shall be screened from public view in such a manner that the areas cannot be seen by persons standing at ground level.
- 7. The Department of Public Works or a professional engineer registered in the State of Oklahoma shall certify to the appropriate City official that all required stormwater drainage structures and detention areas serving a lot have been installed in accordance with the approved plans prior to issuance of an occupancy permit on that lot.
- 8. A homeowners association shall be created and vested with sufficient authority and financial resources to properly maintain all common areas, including any stormwater detention areas or other commonly owned structures within the PUD.
- 9. No building permit shall be issued until the requirements of Section 1107F of the Zoning Code have been satisfied and approved by the TMAPC and filed of record in the County Clerk's office, incorporating within the restrictive covenants the PUD conditions of

approval and making the City beneficiary to said covenants that relate to PUD conditions.

- 10. Subject to conditions recommended by the Technical Advisory Committee during the subdivision platting process which are approved by TMAPC.
- 11. Entry gates or guardhouses, if proposed, must receive detail site plan approval from TMAPC, Traffic Engineering and Tulsa Fire Department, prior to issuance of a building permit for the gates or guard houses.
- 12. Approval of the PUD is not an endorsement of the conceptual layout. This will be done during detail site plan review or the subdivision platting process.
- 13. There shall be no outside storage of recyclable material, trash or similar material outside a screened receptacle, nor shall trucks or truck trailers be parked in the PUD except while they are actively being loaded or unloaded. Truck trailers and shipping containers shall not be used for storage in the PUD.

TAC comments from 2/16/07:

General: No comments.

Water: A water main extension will be required to serve the development.

<u>Fire</u>: Where a portion of the facility or building hereafter constructed or moved into or within the jurisdiction is more than 400 feet (122 m) from a hydrant on a fire apparatus access road, as measured by an approved route around the exterior of the facility or building, on-site fire hydrants and mains shall be provided where required by the fire code official.

Exceptions:

- 1. For Group R-3 and Group U occupancies, the distance requirement shall be 600 feet (183 m).
- 2. For buildings equipped throughout with an approved automatic sprinkler system installed in accordance with Section 903.3.1.1 or 903.3.1.2, the distance requirement shall be 600 feet (183 m).

<u>Stormwater</u>: The Stormwater Detention Facilities, as shown in Exhibit 'A', may conflict with public utility projects in this area. See Wastewater.

<u>Wastewater</u>: The City has completed final design of a lift station and force main that will be in the area shown as Stormwater Detention Facility on the east side, and running north from that site along the east property boundary. The Stratford Ridge project will be served by the City's project and must incorporate the City's design and easement requirements into their design.

<u>Transportation</u>: Sidewalk requirement on all street frontages is supported. Right-of-way dedications for the secondary arterials along section lines will include additional 8 ft on S. 161st E. Ave. for right turn bay onto E. 11th. Design stage will include evaluating sight distance for arterial access locations. (Additional comments presented at the meeting: Additional right-of-way in excess of 50' may be necessary for 11th Street so that its ultimate construction as a five lane secondary arterial can be accomplished without additional expense to be no steeper than 4:1 (H.V.) side slopes from pavement to sidewalk. Designer shall coordinate with Engineering Services to consider ultimate design profile and cross section. Additional stub to the east and north of the planned lift station is recommended to avoid landlocking future lots in the unplatted 330 foot-wide strip.

<u>Traffic</u>: Recommend either a N-S or an E-W Collector St be incorporated into the Preliminary Plat for this large 140 acre development. The roadway along the northeast side of the Linear Park is long and continuous. Recommend a physical break. A 58 ft R/W for a NB Rt. Turn Bay on 161 E AV and a 30ft Intersection Radius are required by the Sub. Regs. Provide adequate separation from the existing residential intersections along 161 E AV. The portion of the PUD Text regarding Access does not match the site plan. No objection to 3 Public Streets accessing 11 ST.

GIS: No comments.

Street Addressing: No comments.

County Engineer: No comments.

MSHP: East 11th Street South and South 161st East Avenue have an existing two lanes. A minimum 100 foot right-of-way should be maintained, and sidewalks should be included along East 11th Street South and South 161st East Avenue and on all internal streets per Subdivision Regulations.

<u>LRTP</u>: East 11th Street South between South 161st East Avenue and South 177th East Avenue is an existing two lane street. South 161st East Avenue between East 11th Street South and East 21st Street South is an existing two lane street. Sidewalks should be constructed if non-existing or maintained if existing.

TMP: No Comment.

<u>Transit</u>: No current or future plans for this location.

03/07/07

East 11th Street South

543 Lots -	543 Lots - 140.00 Acres
e Summary:	
Project Area	140.00 Acres (Gross)
ercial Area	5.00 Acres (Gross)
dential Area	135.00 Acres (Gross
Number of Lots	543*

Date Summary:	
Total Project Area	140.00 Acres (Gross)
	134.38 Acres (Net)
Comercial Area	5.00 Acres (Gross)
Residential Area	135.00 Acres (Gross)
Total Number of Lots	543*
Average Lot Size	52'-57 x 115'
Project Density	4.02 DU/Acre (Gross
	4.16 DU/Acre (Net)*
Open Space	18.06 Acres (12.9%)
Numbers are based on Conceptual Illustration	ual illustration.

South 161st East Avenue



Stratford Ridge

Conceptual Illustration EXHIBIT 'A'



G:106-089/PUD/Existing Conditions 06-089.dwg, 1/26/2007 - 10:19 AM







9

TULSA COUNTY R-14-E


543 Lots - 140.00 Acres















Stratford Ridge

Surrounding Zoning and Land Use Plan EXHIBIT 'C'




13

TULSA COUNTY R-14-E Section 11 543 Lots - 140.00 Acres

Stratford Ridge

G:\06-089\PUD\Proposed Zoning Plan 06-089.dwg, 1/26/2007 - 10:25 AM







STAFF RECOMMENDATION

PUD- 533-B-1

Minor Amendment – North of the Northwest Corner of East 27th Street South and South 85th East Avenue; Lot 6, Tri-Center Village, less and except "Grizzly Mountain Mercantile" Addition; Development Area B-2; CS/PUD; PD-5; CD-5; *related to L-20058*

The applicant is requesting a minor amendment to PUD 533-B to allocate floor area in conjunction with a lot-split of Lot 6, Tri-Center Village (L-20058). The proposed Tracts 'B' and 'C' are located in Development Area B-2.

Existing Allocation of Floor Area:

Maximum Building Floor Area for Development Area by	
Original PUD 533:	130,000 S.F.

Building Floor Area Allocated to Tract A (Grizzly Mountain	
Mercantile) by PUD 533-B, Development Area B-2:	12,000 S.F.

Floor Area Remaining in PUD 533-B,	
Development Area B-2:	118,000 S.F.


Proposed Allocation of Floor Area:


Maximum Building Floor Area for PUD 533-B,	
Development Area B-2:	118,000 S.F.


Tract B (as depicted on attached Exhibit)	3,000 S.F.
Tract C (as depicted on attached Exhibit)	115,000 S.F.

The cul-de-sac of South 85th East Avenue on which 'Tract B' has frontage is unimproved. Therefore, 'Tract B' does not have access to an improved public street and cannot practically be developed unless the South 85th East Avenue right-of-way is improved or mutual access through 'Tract C' is provided. Staff recommends **DENIAL** as proposed.

- - 1







TULSA METROPOLITAN AREA PLANNING COMMISSION CASE REPORT

APPLICATION: PUD-306-K

TRS 8320 Atlas 2277

CZM 56 PD-26 CD-2

TMAPC Hearing Date: March 7, 2007

Applicant: Charles E Norman **Tract Size**: 2.25± acres

ADDRESS/GENERAL LOCATION: East of northeast corner of Riverside Parkway and East

101st Street South

EXISTING ZONING: RM-1/CS/PUD EXISTING USE: Vacant

ZONING ORDINANCE: Ordinance number 15619 dated February 25, 1983, established

zoning for the subject property.

PROPOSED ZONING: RM-0/CS/PUD PROPOSED USE: Car wash

RELEVANT ZONING HISTORY:

Z-6677/PUD-306-H March 1999: All concurred in approval of a request to rezone an 8.4± tract from RM-1 to CO and a Major Amendment to PUD to permit commercial uses on property located on the southwest corner of Vensel Creek and South Riverside.

<u>PUD-306-F March 1998:</u> All concurred in approval of a request for a Major amendment to the PUD-306-E for a proposed multifamily residential development on 18.3 acres of land located at the northeast corner of S. Delaware Avenue and the Creek Turnpike and on the south side of Vensel Creek.

<u>Z-6522/PUD-306-E January 1996:</u> All concurred in approval of a request for rezoning and for a major amendment to the PUD from RM-1/RS-3/PUD to CO/PUD on 18.3 acres located at the northeast corner of S. Delaware Avenue and the Creek Turnpike and north of the subject tract. The proposal was to develop an ice sports facility.

<u>PUD-306-D November 1995:</u> All concurred in approval of a proposed Major Amendment to PUD on a 150' x 220'± square foot tract of land to add Use Unit 15 for a small storage with outdoor storage display with a condition of screening, on property located and abutting the subject property to the east.

<u>PUD-306-C February 1995:</u> All concurred in approval of a major amendment on approximately 57 acres of PUD-306 to allow a school use.

<u>PUD-306 February 1983:</u> All concurred in approval of rezoning and the proposed PUD on 273 acres which included the subject tract for a mixed use development.

m 1 2

AREA DESCRIPTION:

SITE ANALYSIS: The subject property is approximately 2.25 acres in size and is located east of northeast corner of South Delaware Avenue and East 101st Street South. The property appears to be primarily vacant, but has a cell tower and related ground equipment located at the far northeast corner. The property is zoned RM-0/CS/PUD-306.

STREETS:

Exist. Access MSHP Design MSHP R/W Exist. # Lanes
East 101st Avenue Secondary Arterial 100' 2

UTILITIES: The subject tract has municipal water and sewer available.

SURROUNDING AREA: The subject tract is abutted on the east by a landscape service business zoned RM-0/PUD 306; on the north by the Creek Turnpike zoned CS/RM-0/PUD 306; on the west by Braum's zoned CS/PUD 306; and on the south by South 101st East Avenue and a mini-storage use zoned CS.

RELATIONSHIP TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:

The District 26 Plan, a part of the adopted Comprehensive Plan for the Tulsa Metropolitan Area, designates this area as being Medium Intensity. According to the Zoning Matrix, the requested development is in accord with the District Plan.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

River Creek Village, in which the subject property is located, was platted in 1995 and is part of Development Area "J" of PUD 306 as approved in 1983. Lot 1 at the northeast corner of South Delaware Avenue and East 101st Street South was developed as a convenience store which was recently removed and a new building constructed for use as a bank. Lot 2 was subdivided into two tracts per minor amendment PUD 306-14. A Braum's store was built on the west half of Lot 2. A cell tower is located on the north-east portion of Lot 2, and an outdoor advertising sign has recently been approved near the north boundary of Lot 2. Lot 3 on the east boundary of River Creek Village was initially used as an equipment rental facility and is now a landscape service.

Lot 2 was subdivided into two tracts per minor amendment PUD 306-14 subject to the following conditions:

Tract A (Braum's): (partial listing of conditions)

Net Lot Area 74,241 SF

Maximum Building Floor Area 39,000 SF

Internal Mutual Access and Limits of No Access

Two entry drives shall be permitted corresponding to the two 40-foot access approved by TMAPC at their October 28,k 1998 meeting modifying the Plat of Record for River Creek Village. Internal mutual access shall be provided between Tract A and B. A separate instrument, amending the recorded plat, shall be filed providing internal access and circulation between all uses within Lot 2 and all Tracts created by lot-split within Lot 2.

Tract B (subject property):

Net Lot Area 77,500 SF

Maximum Building Floor Area 41,500 SF

Maximum Building Height 2 Stories

Minimum Building Setback

From the Southern Tract Boundary 50 feet

Signage

One ground sign along the East 101st Street frontage and one ground sign along the Creek Turnpike frontage. All ground, wall and business signage shall comply with the Planned Unit Development Chapter of the Tulsa Zoning Code.

Internal Mutual Access and Limits of No Access

One entry drive shall be permitted corresponding to the 40-foot access indicated on the Plat of Record for River Creek Village. Internal mutual access shall be provided between Tracts A and B. A separate instrument, amending the recorded plat, shall be filed providing internal access and circulation between all uses within Lot 2 and all Tracts created by lot-split within Lot 2.

Development Area "J" and the restrictive covenants of River Creek village limit permitted uses to those allowed by right within the CS zoning district and customarily accessory uses. PUD 306-K proposes on the east 200 feet of Lot 2 (Tract B) a fuel facility with a small service kiosk, and an auto wash on the rear half of the parcel. The fuel service facility is a use permitted as a matter of right in the CS district; however, under Use Unit 14, a gasoline service station is limited to a one-bay car wash. Therefore, the major amendment is to add a multi-bay auto wash, only, as permitted under Use Unit 17, Automotive and Allied activities. Use Unit 17 is permitted in CS districts by special exception.

Staff finds the uses and intensities of development proposed and as modified by staff to be in harmony with the spirit and intent of the Code. Based on the following conditions, staff finds PUD-306-K as modified by staff, to be: (1) consistent with the Comprehensive Plan; (2) in harmony with the existing and expected development of surrounding areas; (3) a unified treatment of the development possibilities of the site; and (4) consistent with the stated purposes and standards of the PUD Chapter of the Zoning Code.

Therefore, staff recommends APPROVAL of PUD-306-K subject to the following conditions:

- The applicant's Outline Development Plan and Text be made a condition of approval, unless modified herein.
- 2. Development Standards:

PERMITTED USES:

Principal and accessory uses permitted as a matter of right in the CS - commercial shopping district and a multi-bay auto wash only as permitted under Use Unit 17, Automotive and Allied Activities.

MAXIMUM PERMITTED FLOOR AREA 41,500 SF

MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT 2 Stories

MINIMUM BUILDING SETBACK

From the Southern Tract Boundary 50 feet

SIGNAGE

One ground sign shall be permitted along the East 101st Street frontage not to exceed 160 square feet of display surface area and 25 feet in height, and one outdoor advertising sign not to exceed 672 square feet of display surface area and 50 feet in height shall be permitted along the Creek Turnpike frontage.

INTERNAL MUTUAL ACCESS AND LIMITS OF NO ACCESS

One entry drive shall be permitted corresponding to the 40-foot access indicated on the Plat of Record for River Creek Village. Internal mutual access shall be provided between Tracts A and B of Lot 2. A separate instrument amending the recorded plat shall be filed providing internal access and circulation between all uses within Lot 2. (Mutual access currently indicated per Exhibit "B" dated 1/6/99 as part of site plan approval for Braum's, but no book or page provided.)

PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION

Sidewalks shall be provided along East 101st Street South.

LIGHTING:

No light standard or building-mounted light shall exceed 25 feet in height. All lights standards shall be hooded and directed downward. Shielding of outdoor lighting shall be designed so as to prevent the light producing element or reflector of the light fixture from being visible to a person standing at ground level in nearby residential areas. Compliance with these standards shall be verified by application of the Kennebunkport Formula. Consideration of topography must be included in the calculations.

- No zoning clearance permit shall be issued for a lot within the PUD until a detail site plan for the lot, which includes all buildings, parking and landscaping areas, has been submitted to the TMAPC and approved as being in compliance with the approved PUD development standards.
- 4. A detail landscape plan for each lot shall be approved by the TMAPC prior to issuance of a building permit. A landscape architect registered in the State of Oklahoma shall certify to the zoning officer that all required landscaping and screening fences have been installed in accordance with the approved landscape plan for the lot, prior to issuance of an occupancy permit. The landscaping materials required under the approved plan shall be maintained and replaced as needed, as a continuing condition of

the granting of an occupancy permit.

- 5. No sign permits shall be issued for erection of a sign on a lot within the PUD until a detail sign plan for that lot has been submitted to the TMAPC and approved as being in compliance with the approved PUD development standards.
- 6. All trash, mechanical and equipment areas, including building mounted, shall be screened from public view in such a manner that the areas cannot be seen by persons standing at ground level.
- 7. The Department of Public Works or a professional engineer registered in the State of Oklahoma shall certify to the appropriate City official that all required stormwater drainage structures and detention areas serving a lot have been installed in accordance with the approved plans prior to issuance of an occupancy permit on that lot.
- 8. No building permit shall be issued until the requirements of Section 1107F of the Zoning Code have been satisfied and approved by the TMAPC and filed of record in the County Clerk's office, incorporating within the restrictive covenants the PUD conditions of approval and making the City beneficiary to said covenants that relate to PUD conditions.
- Subject to conditions recommended by the Technical Advisory Committee during the subdivision platting process which are approved by TMAPC.
- Approval of the PUD is not an endorsement of the conceptual layout. This will be done
 during detail site plan review or the subdivision platting process.
- 11. There shall be no outside storage of recyclable material, trash or similar material outside a screened receptacle, nor shall trucks or truck trailers be parked in the PUD except while they are actively being loaded or unloaded. Truck trailers and shipping containers shall not be used for storage in the PUD.
- 12. Except as above modified, the development standards of PUD 628 as amended, shall remain applicable.

TAC Comments from 2/15/07:

General: No comments.

Water: A 12-inch water main exists along 101st St. S. for water service connections.

<u>Fire</u>: Where a portion of the facility or building hereafter constructed or moved into or within the jurisdiction is more than 400 feet (122 m) from a hydrant on a fire apparatus access road, as measured by an approved route around the exterior of the facility or building, on-site fire hydrants and mains shall be provided where required by the fire code official.

Exceptions:

1. For Group R-3 and Group U occupancies, the distance requirement shall be 600 feet (183 m).

2. For buildings equipped throughout with an approved automatic sprinkler system installed in accordance with Section 903.3.1.1 or 903.3.1.2, the distance requirement shall be 600 feet (183 m).

<u>Stormwater</u>: No objection to the amendment; however, runoff from the car wash operation cannot flow to the Storm Drainage System. The remainder of the stormwater drainage from the site must be collected and piped to the Public Drainage System along 101st Street South. An approved Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan is required prior to construction.

<u>Wastewater</u>: An 8-inch sanitary sewer is available along the 101st St. frontage for a service connection

Transportation: Sidewalk is shown on submittal, and the sidewalk requirement is supported.

<u>Traffic</u>: A Change of Access is required. Recommend widening the narrow, two-way aisle between the Car Wash Bay and the east property line. The tight, U-turn radius exiting the Auto Wash will discourage drivers from using the one-way aisle along the west property line thus adding additional traffic to the east aisle.

GIS: No comments.

Street Addressing: No comments.

County Engineer: No comments.

<u>MSHP</u>: East 101st Street South is an existing two-lane street. Recommend sidewalks to be included per subdivision regulations.

LRTP: East 101st Street South between South Harvard Avenue and South Lewis Avenue is planned for four lanes. Sidewalks should be constructed if non-existing or maintained if existing.

TMP: No Comments.

Transit: No current or future plans for this location.

03/07/07

CITY OF TULSA, TULSA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA 'RIVER CREEK VILLAGE' SECTION 20, T-18-N, R-13-E THE W.O. SMITH TRUST WESTER SMITH, TRUSTEE 8316 EAST 73RD STREET TULSA, OKLAHOMA 74133 PHONE: (918) 252-0508 EXHIBIT 'B' SITE PLAN FOR LOT 2 IN BLOCK **FEBRUARY 15, 2007** PRACET HAME RIVER CREEK VILLAGE—A PRACET HAME RIVER CREEK VILLAGE—A PROTED FEBRUARY 15, 2007 AT 8: 56 AM WRITE COPYRITE S-1117X S-2436C T-SAII HLIOS 3,99,91,108 NUMBER OF HANDICAP PARKING SPACES LIMITS OF NO ACCESS NUMBER OF REGULAR PARKING SPACES Δ=1.50'45" R=400.00' 12.89' 72.26 LANDSCAPED AREA TUGU '8.71 Legend -CONOCO PIPELINE EASEMENT-BOOK 5552, PAGE 5552 AND BOOK 5585, PAGE 1256 TOWER RIVER CREEK VILLAGE 150.00° S88'44'04"W EXISTING CELL LNA (3) 0 ADJUSTMENT CASE #20378 -17.5' UTUTY EASEMENT-220.00' 5°56'E CREEK TURNPIKE 18.97 18 40' ACCESS SOUTH -17.5' UTILITY EASEMENT 30, 354.65 EXISTING LIMITS OF NO ACCESS 181,29 BAYS PUMPS WASH GAS P 50 STREET CAR LIMITS OF NO ACCESS 20, 6.0 S88.44.04"W 10k401 16, 8 00 123.35 VACUUMS 58, 54, 101ST 9 BAYS 16' SOUTH UNE OF SECTION 20 GAS PUMPS 60 WASH 20, 32,83 25'58, 40 16.5 (3) OFFICE 31.38 CARWASH CETTS CARWASH EXISTING 1 10 CODE .14.29 15° ₩°£0'90'10N '88,7eS BUYNH.2 1 00 0 0 1 1 1 BOOK 1 WAEL CHEEK ANTYOE

FIESTA MART

IN PART OF

AMENDED

⊢₩Z

CITTAM TON

PROJECT SITE

Location Map

Owner



SACK AND ASSOCIATES, INC
- DIGINERING - SUNCTING - PLANNING
Sons it poet 111 Sout Det Arms, sing, debone 71210-1
PRIBLES IN ITERATION SOUTH CONTROL OF A NAME - 120, 1200

40


SCALE IN FEET

PART

1°=20° F325A

TAS






RIVER CREEK VILLAGE

And the state of the Annual Con-


SW/4 or THE SE/4 or SECTION-20, T-18-N, R-13-E A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF THE

CITY OF TULSA, TULSA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA P.U.D. NO. 306





SUBDIVISION CONTAINS 3-LOTS, 1-BLOCK BLOCK-1 CONTAINS 3-1824 ACPES LOT-1 CONTAINS 0-3930 ACMES LOT-2 CONTAINS 0-3935 ACMES LOT-2 CONTAINS 0-7976 ACMES

ENGINEER
SACE NO ASSOCIATES INC.
SAME TO GOVE
HILLS SOUR GEST ACTOR WHATE
HILLS, DELANCE INTO










POR PUD 30

APPROVED SITE PLAN

DATED





TULSA METROPOLITAN AREA PLANNING COMMISSION CASE REPORT

APPLICATION: CZ-385

TRS 1328

Atlas 0

CZM 16

PD-county

TMAPC Hearing Date: March 7, 2007

Applicant: Tuttle & Associates, Inc./Vanessa

Tract Size: 80+ acres

Conn

ADDRESS/GENERAL LOCATION: Southeast corner of East 86th Street North and North

Harvard Avenue

EXISTING ZONING: AG

EXISTING USE: Vacant

ZONING RESOLUTION: Resolution number 98254 dated September 15, 1980, established

zoning for the subject property.

PROPOSED ZONING: RS/OL/CS

PROPOSED USE: Residential & commercial

development

RELEVANT ZONING HISTORY:

CZ-306 May 2002: All concurred in rezoning a property east of the subject property and east of the Cherokee Expressway from AG to CS.

CZ-296 February 2002: All concurred in approval of a request to rezone a 15+ acre tract located east of the subject property and east of the Cherokee Expressway from AG to CS.

CZ-214 July 1994: A request to rezone a 3.8-acre tract located on the northwest corner of East 86th Street North and North Yale Avenue east of the subject property and east of the Cherokee Expressway from AG to CG. The TMAPC and Board of County Commissioners approved rezoning to CS in the alternative.

CZ-174 January 1989: An application to rezone 253.7 acres with tracts located on both the east and west side of U. S. Highway 75 and south of East 76th Street North. The request was to rezone all of this property from AG to RS. Based on earlier development patterns and the lack of public utilities in the area, staff recommended denial of RS density and recommended approval of RE zoning on all the property except the west 450' of the north 660' of the westernmost tract.

AREA DESCRIPTION:

SITE ANALYSIS: The subject property is approximately 80 acres in size and is located on the southeast corner of East 86th Street North and North Harvard Avenue. The property appears to be vacant and is zoned AG. It has heavy vegetation and a varied topography. Although adjacent to floodplain areas, this property is not within any floodplain.

STREETS:

Exist. Access MSHP Design MSHP R/W Exist. # Lanes
North Harvard Avenue Secondary arterial 100' 2

East 86th Street North Primary arterial 120' 2

UTILITIES: The subject tract has no municipal water or sewer available.

SURROUNDING AREA: The subject tract is abutted on the east by large-lot single-family residential and rural uses, zoned AG and RE; on the north by large-lot single-family residential uses, mobile homes and heavy farm equipment storage, zoned AG; on the south by large-lot residential/agricultural uses and two large water features, zoned AG; and on the west by vacant land and large-lot single-family residential uses, zoned AG.


RELATIONSHIP TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:


The North Tulsa Plan, a part of the adopted Comprehensive Plan for the Tulsa Metropolitan Area, designates this area as being Commercial-Office Medium Intensity at the ten-acre node at the intersection of North Harvard and East 86th Street North, Medium Intensity-Residential as a wrap-around surrounding the node and Residential land use adjacent to that. According to the Zoning Matrix, the requested CS/OL/RS zoning are in accord with the Zoning Matrix under the following conditions. The CS is in accord with the Zoning Matrix for the ten acres at the corner. The OL may be found in accord with the Medium Intensity designation surrounding the node, and the RS is in accord with the Residential designation on the remainder of the site. The proposed zoning pattern, as conceptually configured, meets the criteria for a Type II Node (10 acres, 660' by 660' at a corner) according to the Metropolitan Development Guidelines.


STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Based on the Comprehensive Plan and the Metropolitan Development Guidelines, staff can support the requested rezoning and recommends **APPROVAL** of the requested RS/OL/CS for CZ-385.

03/07/07







ATTORNEYS AT LAW

ELLER AND DETRICH

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION MIDWAY BUILDING, SUITE 200 2727 EAST 21ST STREET TULSA, OKLAHOMA 74114-3533

PHILIP J. ELLER
DONALD L. DETRICH
KEVIN H. WYLIE
R. LOUIS REYNOLDS
JAMES C. HODGES
SHANANN PINKHAM PASSLEY
REBECCA WOOD HUNTER
BRUCE D. SHALON
DANIEL C. CUPPS

OF COUNSEL PHILIP R. FEIST, P.C. JERRY M. SNIDER



TELEPHONE (918) 747-8900

TELECOPY (918) 747-2665

TOLL FREE (866) 547-8900

WRITER'S E-MAIL: RLREYNOLDS@ELLERDETRICH.COM

February 21, 2007

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Delise Tomlinson INCOG 201 West Fifth Street, Suite 600 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103

Re:

TMAPC Case No. Z-7051

TMAPC Case No. 696-A

South of Southwest Corner of East 91st Street and South Delaware

Dear Delise:

I am writing to you on behalf of the Applicant to withdraw the above referenced Zoning Case and PUD Major Amendment. Please take no further action on either of these matters and remove the same from the TMAPC's March 7, 2007 docket.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Yours very truly,

ELLER and DETRICH A Professional Corporation

. Louis Reynolds

RLR:kfm

cc: Mr. Danny Mitchell

I:\D & M Acquisitions, LLC\Burke-RET\N & C\Tomlinson ltr-1 (02.21.07).dcc