

AG

SUBJECT TRACT


AG

E-106th-ST-N

N-SHERIDAN-RD

AG

AG



CBOA-2869

6.1

21-13 10



**TULSA COUNTY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
CASE REPORT**

TRS: 1310

CZM: 11

CASE NUMBER: CBOA-2869

CASE REPORT PREPARED BY: Robi Jones

HEARING DATE: 01/19/2021 1:30 PM

APPLICANT: Elias Investments, LLC

ACTION REQUESTED: Variance of the side setback from 15 feet to 10 feet in an AG District (Sec. 330 Table 3).

LOCATION: 10762 N SHERIDAN RD E

ZONED: AG

FENCELINE: North Tulsa County

PRESENT USE: Horticulture Nursery

TRACT SIZE: 5 acres

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: S/2 N/2 N/2 SE SE SEC 10 21 13 5ACS,

RELEVANT PREVIOUS ACTIONS:

Subject Property: No relevant history

Surrounding Property:

CBOA-2805 March 2020: The Board approved a *Variance* of the required side yard setback from 15 feet in the AG district; and a *Use Variance* to allow Use Unit 25 for Industrial Light uses, on property located at 6312 East 106th Street North.

CBOA-2759 May 2019: The Board approved a *modification* of a previously approved site plan to include a storm shelter (CBOA-2678), on property located at 6441 East 106th Street North.

CBOA-2732 February 2019: The Board approved a *Variance* of the minimum lot width to permit a lot split, on property located north of the northeast corner of East 106th Street North and North Sheridan Road East.

CBOA-2678 May 2019 (Remand): The Board moved to confirm the submissions by Applicant related to the paper copies of the site plan shown on the poster boards that were presented at the hearing in Meeting No 457 on June 19, 2019 along with signed confirmation that the paper copies are identical representations of the exhibits shown on the poster boards that the Board required Applicant to submit as a condition or safeguard to the Board's motion approving the Special Exception in the matter, case no. CBOA-2678; and the Board moved to find that based on the evidence in the Record in Case No. CBOA-2678, including, without limitation the Application, various submittals prior to the Hearing, the Hearing that took place in Meeting no. 457 on June 19, 2018 along with the submittals and deliberations during the Hearing, the minutes of the Hearing and related transcript, and the written confirmation submitted by the Applicant at this special hearing, we find that the Special Exception will be in harmony with the spirit and intent of the Code, and will not be injurious

6.2

to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare, on property located at 6941 East 106th Street North.

CBOA-2678 June 2018: The Board **approved** a request for a *special exception* to allow a Community Services & Similar Uses (Use Unit 5) in an AG District (Section 310) to permit a child nursery/child development center, subject to the site plan as submitted at today's meeting; and **approved** the request for a *variance* of the all-weather surface material requirement for parking (Section 1340.D); the required parking spaces per Code will have hard surfaces, and the overflow parking spaces only will not be required to have hard surfaces, on property located at 6491 East 106th Street North.

ANALYSIS OF SURROUNDING AREA: The subject tract is surrounded by AG zoning with a mix of uses. There appears to be residential, agricultural, and some industrial uses in the area. The site further south on the northwest corner of E. 106th St. N. and N. Sheridan Rd. is an Early Childhood Learning Facility.

STAFF COMMENTS:

The applicant is before the Board requesting a Variance of the side setback from 15 feet to 10 feet in an AG District (Sec. 330 Table 3). The Code requires a side yard setback of 15 feet in the AG District.

According to the site plan, the proposed accessory building will be 10' from the side setback and the size of the accessory building is 17.6' x 39'. The size of the accessory building is not limited in the AG district.

The applicant has supplied the following statement of hardship: *"We cannot expand to the west as the lateral lines are located west of the building...to expand to the north, the topography isn't suitable to allow for a building...to the east is the drive into the facility. The location of our overhead door, which faces south, doesn't allow for delivery of materials. We propose to relocate the overhead door from facing south to facing the east where we can deliver materials into the storage area from the existing drive. We will not have any egress on the south of the building."*

If inclined to approve, the Board may consider any condition it deems necessary and reasonably related to the request to ensure that the proposed use and future development of the subject property is compatible with and non-injurious to the surrounding area.

Sample Motion:

"Move to _____ (approve/deny) a Variance of the side setback from 15 feet to 10 feet in an AG District (Sec. 330 Table 3).

Per the Conceptual Plan(s) shown on page(s) _____ of the agenda packet.

Subject to the following conditions, if any: _____.

Finding the hardship to be _____.

Finding that by reason of extraordinary or exceptional conditions or circumstances, which are peculiar to the land, structure or building involved, the literal enforcement of the terms of the Code would result in unnecessary hardship; that such extraordinary or exceptional conditions or circumstances do not apply generally to other property in the same use district; and that the

variance to be granted will not cause substantial detriment to the public good or impair the purposes, spirit, and intent of the Code, or the Comprehensive Plan.

a two-story metal building is erected, it would be out of character for the neighborhood. Mr. Hutchinson stated the Board would like to see a presentation of what the building is actually going to be in height, the pitch of the roof, the interior layout, the outside look of the building, etc., so a vote can be on the building and not a blanket vote of a building.

Interested Parties:

There were no interested parties present.

Comments and Questions:

None.

Board Action:

On **MOTION** of **DILLARD**, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Crall, Dillard, Hutchinson, Johnston "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Charney "absent") to **CONTINUE** the request for a Use Variance to permit Use Unit 3, Agriculture, for a Horticulture Nursery in a Residential District (Section 410, Table 1) to the April 21, 2020 Board of Adjustment meeting to allow the applicant to present more detailed drawings and information on the project; for the following property:

E 85.2 LOT 7 BLK 1, FAIRVIEW HGTS ADDN, OF TULSA COUNTY, STATE OF OKLAHOMA

NEW APPLICATIONS

FILE COPY

2805—Align Design – Kyle Gibson

Action Requested:

Variance of the required side yard setback from 15 feet in the AG District (Section 330, Table 3); Use Variance to allow Use Unit 25 for Industrial Light uses (Section 1225). **LOCATION:** 6312 East 106th Street North

Presentation:

Kyle Gibson, 551 South Quaker Avenue, Tulsa, OK; stated his client has acquired three letters of approval from his neighbors, one from the east, one from the west and one from the southeast corner of 106th Street North and Sheridan. The neighbor to the west is the neighbor that is closest to the encroachment. The existing building was constructed to not impede with existing agriculture activities, as well as being built too closely to the pond. The existing accessory building is the structure that encroaches on the setback.

Mr. Hutchinson asked staff if the setback used to be five feet years ago? Mr. Walden stated that the setback used to be 15 feet total, five feet on one side and ten feet on the other side. There are quite a few properties in Tulsa County that are closer than the five feet.

CBOA-2805

FILE COPY

Mr. Gibson stated he does not have a rendering of the building, but it is hardy flat siding, shingle roof, 10-foot side walls, 16-foot ridge line and it looks like a house; matches the neighborhood. There is another building that is 13 feet to the east of the subject structure. The first building will be used as a dry room and the second building will be used for trimming and processing of the plants. There will be no solvents, CO2 or butane used. There will be ice baths and a sieve used in the processing, and that will be compacted into hash and it will be sold commercially.

Mr. Hutchinson asked Mr. Gibson if the trimming and cutting is what he means when he says processing? Mr. Gibson answered affirmatively and there would be storage while waiting for transport to a commercial entity.

Mr. Hutchinson asked Mr. Gibson what type of equipment will be used in the processing. Mr. Gibson stated that there will be tables, scissors, small electric lights, the sieve tray for collecting product but there will be no heavy equipment and no hazardous chemicals.

Interested Parties:

There were no interested parties present.

Comments and Questions:

None.

Board Action:

On **MOTION** of **HUTCHINSON**, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Crall, Dillard, Hutchinson, Johnston "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Charney "absent") to **APPROVE** the request for a **Variance** of the required side yard setback from 15 feet in the AG District (Section 330, Table 3); **Use Variance** to allow Use Unit 25 for Industrial Light uses (Section 1225), subject to conceptual plan 3.26 of the agenda packet. The Board has found the hardship to be that the building exists and a pond that is very close by that is used by wildlife for nesting. Finding that by reason of extraordinary or exceptional conditions or circumstances, which are peculiar to the land, structure or building involved, the literal enforcement of the terms of the Code would result in unnecessary hardship; that such extraordinary or exceptional conditions or circumstances do not apply generally to other property in the same use district; and that the variance to be granted will not cause substantial detriment to the public good or impair the purposes, spirit, and intent of the Code, or the Comprehensive Plan; for the following property:

**PRT NE NE NE BEG 460W NEC THEREOF TH S659.83 W200.13 N659.84 E200.01
POB SEC 15 21 13 3.031ACS, OF TULSA COUNTY, STATE OF OKLAHOMA**

subject to conceptual plan 4.15 of the agenda packet. The Board finds the hardship to be the existence of two structures on an unusually configured lot, with each currently having separate drives and separate septic fields. The renovation of the house on the future smaller lot be completed and maintained well. The existing carport on the larger lot will be allowed to have a gravel parking surface. Finding by reason of extraordinary or exceptional conditions or circumstances, which are peculiar to the land, structure or building involved, the literal enforcement of the terms of the Code would result in unnecessary hardship; that such extraordinary or exceptional conditions or circumstances do not apply generally to other property in the same use district; and that the variance to be granted will not cause substantial detriment to the public good or impair the purposes, spirit, and intent of the Code, or the Comprehensive Plan; for the following property:

E 198 W 462 SE SE NE SEC 5-21-14, OF TULSA COUNTY, STATE OF OKLAHOMA

2759—Eller & Detrich – Lou Reynolds

FILE COPY

Action Requested:

Modification of a previously approved site plan to include a storm shelter (CBOA-2678). **LOCATION:** 6441 East 106th Street North

Tracy Pipkin, 7211 East 106th Street North, Owasso, OK; stood and stated that he has a procedural question before the case is heard. Mr. Charney allowed Mr. Pipkin to take the floor.

Mr. Pipkin stated that on June 19, 2018 Mr. Charney stated that “he was compelled to recuse himself from three items on the agenda, even though he did not have a financial or other interest in the decision being made that he or his business partners have holdings very nearby and he feels it is his duty to step aside. The standard is not that there be an actual conflict of interest or a monetary interest, sometimes even if there is a potential appearance of impropriety...”.

Mr. Charney asked if this case is the same intersection? Mr. Pipkin answered affirmatively. Mr. Pipkin stated that the case he is quoting is two lots over, the rodeo case.

Mr. Charney asked Mr. Pipkin to take a seat so he could make a comment. Mr. Charney stated there is only one case before the Board today and he knows the case before the Board today is the day care center. Mr. Charney stated he understands Mr. Pipkin's problem with his being on the Board for this today. Mr. Pipkin stood and stated there is another one.

Mr. Pipkin stated that the Code of Ethics states, “any reasonable person believing to be unbiased or impartial”, that is the question. Mr. Pipkin stated that in that same meeting Mr. Dillard misrepresented the facts in that case; the materials that were presented to

UBOA - 2759

FILE COPY

the Board were overwhelming in favor of support for that request at the time of that meeting. Mr. Dillard stated that they were balanced between the for and against, and that is no where near the case of the actual facts of what was going on. It could be that the Board only had a limited supply of information, he does not know, but he thinks it was overwhelming that it was more like ten to two, and there was a generic letter that indicated the day care was good.

Mr. Charney asked Mr. Pipkin if he was requesting that he recuse himself from the matter Mr. Reynolds is going to present to the Board today? Mr. Pipkin answered affirmatively. Mr. Charney thanked Mr. Pipkin for the request and stated that he understands the nature of the request, and he refuses to grant Mr. Pipkin's request and will sit in judgement on this matter.

Mr. Charney asked Mr. Dillard if he wished to recuse himself from the matter before the Board today? Mr. Dillard stated that he has no bias and he has not seen the property. He does not know anyone involved in the property.

Mr. Charney stated that he has spoken to the party's request relating to this request and thanked Mr. Pipkin for his appearance.

Mr. Charney stated there has been a request for two recusals, and he believes it was on matters that the Board has spoken to previously when the base case was before the Board. The Board is going to proceed to hear the case before them today, and he understands that it has to deal with the same precise property. Mr. Charney stated that he deems the Board to believe they are in full conformity with the Code of Ethics, and other Code requirement in the ability to make an impartial decision.

Presentation:

Lou Reynolds, Eller & Detrich, 2727 East 21st Street, Tulsa, OK; stated he represents the Washington County Child Care Foundation. This case is regarding an amendment to the previous site plan the Board approved a year ago to include a storm shelter. The client intends to build a 1,165 square foot storm shelter adjacent to the facility. The storm shelter will be one-story high with the same exterior and accent material as the main building.

Mr. Charney asked Mr. Reynolds if the storm shelter would conform to the same architectural style of the principal structure. Mr. Reynolds answered affirmatively. Mr. Charney asked if there would any modification to the footprint of the building. Mr. Reynolds stated there would be no modification to the original building, it is just the site plan for a storm shelter.

Mr. Reynolds stated the storm shelter will have the capacity to hold all the children attending the day care, and maybe any parent picking up children. The storm shelter has been designed for the worst-case scenario.

CBOA-2759

FILE COPY

Mr. Johnston asked Mr. Reynolds if the storm shelter will contain any other day-to-day use other than being just a storm shelter. Mr. Reynolds stated that at the present time it will not, but it might have some kind of use in the future; they are trying to be efficient with the shelter.

Interested Parties:

Carl McCarty, 10535 North Sheridan, Sperry, OK; stated he lives diagonal to the subject property. Now he has to back into his driveway to be able to get out because of the traffic on Sheridan. He found out this is a 501c3 facility and it is for rich kids, it is not for poor native American children like was presented. He has found out from the City of Owasso that this will mean the destruction of his house, because nothing about this day care center came across the City Council desk. Mr. McCarty stated that there will need to be a three-point turning at the facility, and his house will be destructed to ease the traffic. Mr. McCarty stated that is a problem because he is on a fixed income and his house is older than the State of Oklahoma. This facility has dropped the value of his house because no one wants to live next to that traffic. Mr. McCarty stated this facility should have been built in the Sheridan Crossing neighborhood not in his neighborhood. There will be traffic problems at that location because when there are 200 cars at the four-way it will be dangerous. A 501c3 should never be used to profit rich kids, it should only be used for poor children.

Mr. Charney stated that the Board is present today on a very limited matter; the expansion of a previously approved site plan by adding a storm shelter. He understands that Mr. McCarty does not like the use on the corner and he thanked Mr. McCarty for his comments. The Board's focus today is whether the Board wishes to amend a site plan to allow a storm shelter and that is the focus today.

Tracy Pipkin came forward and stated he does not think this expansion is a good idea because he believes the Board has overstepped the bounds of what could be allowed. This would continue to go against the Zoning Code that indicates, which is to encourage and protect agricultural land, this does not do that. This furthers the wasteful scavenger development in rural areas. These are two specifics items that the Board has ignored. Mr. Pipkin stated that he would be interested in understanding what the criteria for trying those two items. Mr. Pipkin stated that in CBOA-2569 Mr. Dillard stated, "this would change the resident's world ... cannot support the request". Mr. Pipkin stated nothing has changed since that hearing. Mr. Pipkin thinks his statement about being biased has already been proven that it already is. Again, the infrastructure for this is not congruent to the type of road and infrastructure that is there. There is no way to properly support additional construction. The neighborhood is adversely impacted by the noise pollution, light pollution, because the noise can be heard for miles away. This reduces wildlife and the road is disintegrating. There is no reason for a commercial environment to be in this residential area.

CBOA-2759

FILE COPY

Rebuttal:

Lou Reynolds came forward and stated this request will not increase any traffic. The entrance ramp is almost 60 feet from the intersection and the other entrance is 330 feet from the intersection.

Mr. Charney asked Mr. Reynolds if today's request does not increase the number of allowed children on the site, it is simply a storm shelter correct? Mr. Reynolds answered affirmatively.

Comments and Questions:

None.

Board Action:

On **MOTION** of **HUTCHINSON**, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Charney, Crall, Dillard, Hutchinson, Johnston "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; none "absent") to **APPROVE** the request for a Modification of a previously approved site plan to include a storm shelter (CBOA-2678). Finding the proposed modification is compatible with and non-injurious to the surrounding area and meets the previously granted Board relief or meets the zoning requirements, per code; for the following property:

SE SE SE SEC 10 21 13 10ACS, OF TULSA COUNTY, STATE OF OKLAHOMA

OTHER BUSINESS

NEW BUSINESS

None.

BOARD COMMENTS

None.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 2:44 p.m.

Date approved: _____

8/20/19

Chair

several years. Mr. Allen stated that he knows about the standing water issue and he could install a culvert to drain the water.

Comments and Questions:

None.

Board Action:

On **MOTION** of **CRALL**, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Crall, Dillard, Hutchinson, Johnston "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Charney "absent") to **APPROVE** the request for a **Variance** to reduce the minimum required street frontage from 30 feet to 0 feet in an AG District to permit a lot split (Section 207). The Board has found the hardship to be the access to the back acreage. The easement is to be filed of record and there is to be no more division of the tract; for the following property:

PRT W/2 E/2 SW BEG SWC THEREOF TH N2640.55 E659.55 S1255.22 W629.13 S1385.32 W30 TO POB SEC 10 16 13 20ACS, OF TULSA COUNTY, STATE OF OKLAHOMA

2732—Dennis Knott

FILE COPY

Action Requested:

Variance of the minimum lot width to permit a lot split (Section 330). **LOCATION:** North of the NE/c of East 106th Street North and North Sheridan Road East

Presentation:

Dennis Knott, 2405 South Sweet Gum, Broken Arrow, OK; stated he would like to split the property in a flag pole style because it is natural, primarily because there is a culvert that runs under North Sheridan that cuts into the center of the property. The tract in question is Tract 3; it falls short of the minimum lot requirement. He and his two sons would like to live on the property. The dividing line between Tract 2 and Tract 3 is the lowest point of the property where the water passes through it from the pasture to the north, that way both property owners could share in maintaining that area of drainage. The flag pole portion of the property is going to be a shared access, and he intends to create a mutual access document that will be attached to each property.

Mr. Dillard read a protestant's opposition letter, in the agenda packet, to the Board and the audience.

Interested Parties:

There were no interested parties present.

Comments and Questions:

Mr. Dillard stated that this is right on the verge of a wildcat sub-division; three houses on this acreage, and the next person has three. If there is going to be that heavy of a

CBOA-2732

FILE COPY

density, then there needs to be planned water drainage or water retention for the area. He cannot support this request.

Mr. Crall stated that he thinks this prevents a wildcat subdivision. He would not have a problem supporting this request.

Mr. Hutchinson stated he could support this request as long as water drainage is addressed, and there are no future lot splits.

Board Action:

On **MOTION** of **JOHNSTON**, the Board voted 3-1-0 (Crall, Hutchinson, Johnston "aye"; Dillard "nay"; no "abstentions"; Charney "absent") to **APPROVE** the request for a **Variance** of the minimum lot width to permit a lot split (Section 330). The approval is subject to the County addressing stormwater runoff requirements, and there are no future lot splits. The Board finds the hardship that this is a large tract of land and the property can easily accommodate three houses; for the following property:

S/2 S/2 NW SW LESS W16.5 THEREOF FOR RD SEC 11 21 13 9.875ACS, OF TULSA COUNTY, STATE OF OKLAHOMA

2733—Will Wilkins

Action Requested:

Variance to allow an accessory building to exceed 750 square feet in an RS District (Section 240). **LOCATION:** 21521 West 14th Street South

Ms. Ulmer stated that the address on the agenda is listed as West of the NW/c of West 14th Street South and South 124th Avenue West; it should be South 214th Avenue West.

Presentation:

Will Wilkens, 21521 West 14th Street South, Sand Springs, OK; stated he would like to build a 40'-0" x 50'-0" accessory building on the subject property located in Candlestick Beach. He has a total of one acre because he purchased three lots in the neighborhood and combined them into a single parcel. He is requesting relief to build a structure similar in design, size and scope to some that exist in the neighborhood in close proximity to his property. The purpose of the out building is for a camper, general storage and a workshop. The height of the structure will be 19'-0" at the peak gable, which is well under the 35-foot permissible by Code. His lot is 42,840 square feet creating a lot approximately 6.2 times the size of the minimum RS lot. The Code requirement was created in order to establish and maintain the desired development intensity and residential character of the district, specifically in terms of preserving openness between dwellings and other structures. He intends to maintain that

TULSA COUNTY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
MINUTES of Special Meeting No. 468
Monday, May 13, 2019, 1:30 p.m.
Ray Jordan Tulsa County Administration Building
500 South Denver, Room 119
Tulsa, Oklahoma

MEMBERS PRESENT MEMBERS ABSENT STAFF PRESENT OTHERS PRESENT

Charney, Chair	Dillard	S. Miller
Crall, Secretary		Ulmer
Hutchinson, V.Chair		R. Jones
Johnston		Sparger

The notice and amended agenda of said meeting were posted at the County Clerk's office, County Administration Building, 10th day of May, 2019 at 1:59 p.m., as well as in the Office of INCOG, 2 West Second Street, Suite 800.

After declaring a quorum present, Chair Charney called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m.

MINUTES

None.

Ms. Ulmer read formerly called the case for the Board of Adjustment Public Hearing.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

2678—Shane Edmondson – Remand

Action Requested:

Special Exception to allow a Community Services & Similar Uses (Use Unit 5) in an AG District (Section 310) to permit a child nursery/child development center.

LOCATION: 6441 East 106th Street North

Mr. Charney asked Mr. Reynolds to come forward. Mr. Charney stated that he understands, from the directive from the District Court on the order of remand, that the Board is to consider submissions by the applicant related to certain paper copies.

Presentation:

Lou Reynolds, Eller & Detrich, 2727 East 21st Street, Tulsa, OK; stated that he is familiar with the order of remand and he has the paper copies for submission. Mr. Reynolds submitted his copies to the Board for review. Mr. Reynolds stated that this item was actually submitted to staff, but it did not make it into the packet that was distributed to the Court for the appeal, it was a clerical oversight. The copies are of the documents that were submitted new and were also submitted to staff right after the hearing which is shown on the attachment.

Mr. Charney asked Mr. Reynolds if the paperwork was his affidavit. Mr. Reynolds stated that it is the affidavit of Shane Edmondson who appeared at the hearing and the applicant that presented the documents.

Mr. Charney asked Mr. Reynolds if they were the identical documents that were presented at the hearing and shown on the poster boards as well at the time of the hearing. Mr. Reynolds answered affirmatively.

Mr. Charney asked Mr. Reynolds if there was anything else he would like the Board to consider in regard to the item being discussed today. Mr. Reynolds stated there was not.

Interested Parties:

There were interested parties present.

Comments and Questions:

None.

Board Action:

On **MOTION** of **HUTCHINSON**, the Board moved to **CONFIRM** the submissions by Applicant related to the paper copies of the site plan shown on the poster boards that were presented at the hearing in Meeting No. 457 on June 19, 2018, along with signed confirmation that the paper copies are identical representations of the exhibits shown on the poster boards that the Board required Applicant to submit as a condition or safeguard to the Board's motion approving the Special Exception in this matter, case no. CBOA-2678; for the following property:

SE SE SE SEC 10 21 13 10ACS, OF TULSA COUNTY, STATE OF OKLAHOMA

Before the vote was taken: **Gregory Reilly**, Attorney at Law, 320 South Boston, Suite 200, Tulsa, OK; stood and stated that he would like to have the opportunity to review the documents with the people that were present at the public hearing.

Mr. Charney asked Mr. Reynolds if the affidavit had been submitted to Mr. Reilly. Mr. Reynolds stated that it had not. Mr. Charney asked Mr. Reynolds to submit the documents to Mr. Reilly.

Mr. Charney stated that in his judgment as Chair of the County Board of Adjustment the scope at this hearing was to be limited to a submission by the applicant related to the copies. It is his judgment that the applicant has done so and he would be in favor of the motion as recited by Mr. Hutchinson.

On **MOTION** of **HUTCHINSON**, the Board voted 3-0-1 (Charney, Hutchinson, Johnston "aye"; no "nays"; Crall "abstains"; Dillard "absent") moving to **CONFIRM** the submissions by Applicant related to the paper copies of the site plan shown on the poster boards that were presented at the hearing in Meeting No. 457 on June 19, 2018, along with signed confirmation that the paper copies are identical representations of the exhibits shown on the poster boards that the Board required Applicant to submit as a condition or safeguard to the Board's motion approving the Special Exception in this matter, case no. CBOA-2678; for the following property:

SE SE SE SEC 10 21 13 10ACS, OF TULSA COUNTY, STATE OF OKLAHOMA

Mr. Charney stated that the next item on the agenda is a directive from the Court that the Board can determine for the record that the matter being discussed were consistent with the standard of review, which the Board normally applies to such cases. Mr. Charney asked if there was anyone that would like to make a motion to that effect, consistent with the Court's limited mandate to the Board the Chair would entertain such a motion.

On **MOTION** of **HUTCHINSON**, the Board moved to **FIND** that based on the evidence in the Record in Case No. CBOA-2678, including, without limitation the Application, various submittals prior to the Hearing, the Hearing that took place in Meeting no. 457 on June 19, 2018 along with the submittals and deliberations during the Hearing, the minutes of the Hearing and related transcript, and the written confirmation submitted by the Applicant at this special hearing, we find that the Special Exception will be in harmony with the spirit and intent of the Code, and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare; for the following property:

SE SE SE SEC 10 21 13 10ACS, OF TULSA COUNTY, STATE OF OKLAHOMA

that would be working on Fridays and Saturdays, depending on the week. The counseling services is equine and animal assisted therapy; i.e., horses, dogs, goats, etc. Ms. Brown stated that she has spoken to two people that are north of the subject property and they have no objections to her request.

Interested Parties:

There were no interested parties present.

Comments and Questions:

None.

Board Action:

On **MOTION** of **CHARNEY**, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Charney, Dillard, Hutchinson, Johnston "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Crall "absent") to **APPROVE** the request for a Use Variance to allow for an office use (Use Unit 11) to permit a counseling service in an AG District (Section 310) with a maximum of three counselors to operate on site at one time; for the following property:

TR BEG 25S & 7W NEC SW NE TH W30 S103 E7 S862 W1289.13 S326.93 E1318.72 N1228.84 W7 N63 POB SEC 9 21 13 10.570ACS, OF TULSA COUNTY, STATE OF OKLAHOMA

NEW APPLICATIONS

2678—Shane Edmondson

Action Requested:

Special Exception to allow a Community Services & Similar Uses (Use Unit 5) in an AG District (Section 310) to permit a child nursery/child development center; Variance of the all-weather surface material requirement for parking (Section 1340.D). **LOCATION:** 6491 East 106th Street North

Presentation:

Shane Edmondson, 2910 East 88th Street South, Tulsa, OK; stated the subject site is located on the Northwest corner of 106th Street North and North Sheridan Road. Mr. Edmondson deferred his presentation.

Dominque Lewis, 13374 East 134th Street North, Collinsville, OK; stated she is the site director of the Delaware Child Development Center in Claremore. The facility is a full nurturing center. They teach the children to interact with nature. They will also serve freshly made from scratch food to the children.

CBOIA-2678
cont.

Kelsey, 1879 South Armstrong, Bartlesville, OK; stated she is the Director of Education and Training in Bartlesville. The Delaware Tribe has three campuses; Claremore, Owasso, and the main campus is located in Bartlesville. The facility believes in a natural environment for the children, so the structures are made of wood and there are agricultural animals for interaction, i.e., cows.

Tina McClintic, 419 South Moore, Dewey, OK; stated she is the Site Director in Bartlesville. The facility believes in a natural environment for the children. The proposed facility will have double fencing; a natural wood fence around the perimeter of the property with another natural wood fence around the play area. The facility is funded by the Head Start program and the Delaware Tribe. The hours of operation will be 7:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The peak times for drop off and pick up are from 7:30 A.M. to 8:30 A.M. and 4:00 P.M. to 5:00 P.M. The proposed site will be built in two phases. The first phase will be for one building, and the second phase will be for a 1,000 square foot storm cellar.

Shane Edmondson came forward and stated the building setback will be a minimum of 50 feet from the street. There will be a one-way loop drive that will be at least 350 feet from the intersection for drop off and pick up. The drop off times for the children are staggered so there should be no traffic back up. The outside deliveries, i.e., food, will be made by small trucks two or three times a month. The building will be on an aerobic system. Grounds lighting and parking lot lighting will be the minimum allowed by the Code requirements thus not causing excessive light pollution.

Interested Parties:

Tracy Pipkin, 7211 East 106th Street North, Owasso, OK; stated he lives ½ mile east of the subject property. He thinks this project could be a good fit for the corner and for the area, but he does have concerns about traffic. On March 15, 2016 the Board denied a rodeo going in. On October 18, 2016 the Board denied an RV park going in. On March 6, 2017 the road was closed for repairs and it did not last; Mr. Pipkin showed pictures of poor road conditions in the area. The road is in need of repair again and that still has not been addressed. Mr. Pipkin stated that he has heard that 116th Street North and 86th Street North are to be widened and if that does happen the traffic will use 106th Street North to get to Owasso. If the widening project does happen it will only increase the traffic and cause major traffic concerns for this dangerous intersection.

Carl McCarty, 10535 North Sheridan Road, Sperry, OK; stated he is opposed to this request. Mr. McCarty stated that 106th Street North will not support any more traffic because it is the route the emergency services use to get to both hospitals in Owasso and it causes traffic jams. Mr. McCarty stated he is also concerned about property values decreasing if this request is approved.

Bruce Hoover, 10322 North Sheridan Road, Sperry, OK; stated he is opposed to this request. Mr. Hoover believes this proposal is not a good use for the property. Mr. Hoover stated that he too has major concerns about traffic, especially an increase in the traffic.

CBOA-2678
cont.

Charles Edwards, 5911 East 106th Street North, Sperry, OK; stated he is opposed to this request. Mr. Edwards stated that he has concerns about fire and police protection in the area. There are thefts in the area and people are locking everything down and locking everything up because thievery has become rampant in the area, to the point that he had old metal piping stolen from his yard that was from a plumbing remodel in his house. Mr. Edwards stated that he is also concerned about the decrease in property values if this is allowed to go in.

Debbie King, 6804 East 106th Street North, Sperry, OK; stated her family has owned their land for over 80 years, and she has seen a lot of things happen in the area. Ms. King stated that she has serious concerns about traffic at that corner because it is very dangerous. People speed on the road, run the stop sign, and there have been serious wrecks. Ms. King believes that a commercial business and it is not the same as living in the country. Ms. King does not think this is a safe corner for children and she does not think it is a good fit for the area.

Ken Heabardin, 6250 East 106th Street North, Sperry, OK; stated the subject property is zoned as AG land and it is not intended to be for commercial use. Mr. Heabardin stated that he too has traffic concerns, because the road is not designed to handle large amounts of traffic and it is a dangerous corner.

Shawn Penn, 6410 East 106th Street North, Sperry, OK; stated this request is not a good fit for the neighborhood. He believes that property values will go down if this is allowed to be built. He also had concerns about the wildlife in the area because this proposal will be a danger to the area wildlife. Mr. Penn asked what will happen to his rights to hunt on his land if this center is allowed to be built and asked about his right to burn his trash on his land. Mr. Penn stated he is concerned about losing his personal rights if this center is allowed to go in.

Mary Odom, 10914 North Sheridan Road, Sperry, OK; stated she lives 1 ¼ mile North of the subject corner and has lived there over 40 years. During that time she thinks Sheridan Road has been resurfaced three times, and 106th Street has been resurfaced maybe once so the traffic concerns expressed are valid concerns. Ms. Odom stated that the picture she saw had 35 designated parking spaces so there must be a lot more people coming and going than what has been presented. Ms. Odom believes if this is approved this will open the door to more retail in the area and it would disrupt a style of living everyone is accustomed to.

Les Riker, 11051 North Sheridan Road, Sperry, OK; stated that he lives ½ mile north of the subject corner. Mr. Riker stated he has traffic concerns and light pollution concerns. There are many thefts in the area, so the center will eventually light up the grounds to deter theft. Mr. Riker stated if this request is approved it will be a stepping stone to other commercial businesses going in.

CB01A-2678
cont.

Jennifer Cyiza, 398449 West 4000 Road, Ramona, OK; stated she lives on 50 acres and she uses Delaware Child Development Center in Bartlesville. There is research the shows that it is important for children to have spaces where they can explore and open play. The people who will use the child care center are people that live in the area and they are already using the streets. The center is not a commercial property; the center has utilized small animals and have bought in that area, so they can bring that environment more to families. Ms. Cyiza stated that it is important that children learn how to deal with and live with wildlife from the country setting. It is important that our children cherish the Oklahoma Prairie and that is what the center is trying to teach. Ms. Cyiza stated that a commercial business will be paying taxes and will help the infrastructure. This is an added value to the community because educational facilities make property values go up not down.

Mr. Charney reminded the audience that it is the Board's responsibility to focus on land usage. He understands where the public is coming from, but he wants them to understand that the Code demands that the Board focuses upon the existing zoning and what the new use will actually be on the subject property.

Rebuttal:

Shane Edmondson came forward and stated that by Code this use is not prohibited, but as a Special Exception each request is to be taken case by case as long as it fits within agricultural and fits in with the spirit of the Code. Mr. Edmondson stated that traffic concerns are valid concerns, and he has already been asked to see what the ability is to do the infrastructure improvement. Studies show that home values do increase with educational facilities in place. This is a non-profit organization solely for the use of children, so it is not a QuikTrip. The building is not on the corner, it is set back. There are two hospitals within three miles and there will be an emergency plan in place. All personnel will have to go through training to understand what the emergency procedures are if something does happen. Safety is the number one concern at the center. The property is rural but it is very close to a hospital so that is one of the reasons it was chosen. The center will need to meet Code to receive a permit to build, so engineering will be required, and water studies will be done. The wildlife will be disturbed, and they will move out. The property will be cleaned up and will be landscaped, and there will always be animals there. Mr. Edmondson stated that it would be dangerous to have a set up that could not handle the car flow, so studies have been done on the two existing facilities and that is how the flow was determined, and the parking spaces are by Code. Occupancy requires all the parking spaces that the facility may not need. Mr. Edmondson stated there is a need for this facility because there is a lack of child care in the area.

Mr. Dillard asked Mr. Edmondson who currently owns the subject property. Mr. Edmondson stated the Delaware Child Development Center owns the property. Mr. Dillard asked Mr. Edmondson if he had stated previously that the facility is a 501-C3. Mr. Edmondson answered affirmatively.

CB04-2678
cont.

Carl McCarty came forward and stated that the traffic has become a nightmare in the area so much so that he has to back into his driveway, so he can see the traffic when leaving his property.

Mr. Charney asked Mr. Edmondson why he sought a Variance on the hard surface requirement for parking. Mr. Edmondson stated the Variance was filed with the assistance of the INCOG staff. Ms. Ulmer stated there is overflow parking designated on the site plan that did not have all-weather surface parking, so the Variance request does not cover the entire parking, only the overflow parking.

Comments and Questions:

Mr. Johnston stated that he was undecided. This area is a corner lot and one day that corner will be something. This particular use it is close to residential and it will maintain the natural amenities for the neighborhood. Traffic is traffic.

Mr. Hutchinson stated that he can support the request. This is a ten-acre tract on a hard corner. In the Comprehensive Plan almost every hard corner is commercial, and this is the most less intrusive application that can go on the subject corner. This is a main thoroughfare, and the worst part about this is being the first application for the area regarding development.

Mr. Charney stated that he has lived in the Owasso area his entire life and cares immensely for the entire community. Virtually every corner within the Comprehensive Plan shows every corner as having a potential commercial use. Traveling eastward, outside the current city limit of Owasso, the overall Comprehensive Plan has gone almost to the Port and the corner have future commercial concepts designated. It is the planning norm, that the arterial streets will have some day some sort of commercial use. The concerns can be lumped into two categories. One is changing the more rural agricultural nature of the area, and the other is significant traffic concerns. Regarding traffic, there has been a lot of good occur with a new user that has significant capacity, and there are means by which to get that addressed.

Mr. Dillard stated when monetary values are looked at regarding property that is a supposition. Property values increase when there is an educational opportunity in the area, and that has been seen over and over. This will improve the area, but it will not improve the tax base. When people start using the facility it may cause the County Commissioners to study the intersection to make it better for the traffic, because there are medical facilities close by too.

Board Action:

On **MOTION** of **CHARNEY**, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Charney, Dillard, Hutchinson, Johnston "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Crall "absent") to **APPROVE** the request for a **Special Exception** to allow a Community Services & Similar Uses (Use Unit 5) in an AG District (Section 310) to permit a child nursery/child development center, subject to the site plan as submitted at today's meeting; for the following property:

CBDA-2678
cont.

SE SE SE SEC 10 21 13 10ACS, OF TULSA COUNTY, STATE OF OKLAHOMA

On **MOTION** of **HUTCHINSON**, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Charney, Dillard, Hutchinson, Johnston "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Crall "absent") to **APPROVE** the request for a Variance of the all-weather surface material requirement for parking (Section 1340.D). The required parking spaces per Code will have hard surfaces, and the overflow parking spaces only will not be required to have hard surfaces; for the following property:

SE SE SE SEC 10 21 13 10ACS, OF TULSA COUNTY, STATE OF OKLAHOMA

2679—Jason Jacobs

Action Requested:

Special Exception to permit a firework stand (Use Unit 2) in an CS District (Section 710); Special Exception to permit an automobile sales and service use (Use Unit 17) in a CS District (Section 710). **LOCATION:** 2404 South 265th West Avenue

Presentation:

Sherry Jacobs, 5050 E. Lee Terrace, Sand Springs, OK; stated she has owned the convenience store business since 1999. She would like to convert the area adjacent to the store into the automobile sales lot and place the firework stand on the side of the building.

Interested Parties:

There were no interested parties present.

Comments and Questions:

None.

Board Action:


On **MOTION** of **CHARNEY**, to **APPROVE** the request for a Special Exception to permit a firework stand (Use Unit 2) in an CS District (Section 710); Special Exception to permit an automobile sales and service use (Use Unit 17) in a CS District (Section 710), subject to a five-year time limit, June 19, 2023; for the following property:

**PRT SW NE BEG 491.05N SWC NE TH N631.92 NELY435.36 SWLY338.99
SW255.71 SWLY214.45 POB SEC 18 19 10 3.70ACS, OF TULSA COUNTY, STATE
OF OKLAHOMA**



E 106th ST N

N SHERIDAN RD



Subject Tract

CBOA-2869

21-13 10

Note: Graphic overlays may not precisely align with physical features on the ground.

Aerial Photo Date: February 2018



6.22



Looking west from N. Sheridan Rd.



Close-up of the location of the proposed add-on


I, Tom Marquez , property owner of 10710 N Sheridan Rd Sperry, Ok 74073 grant my approval of a variance request from the existing 15' to 10' setback for my neighbor to my north on their south property line so that they can extend their building.

Tom Marquez

Tom Marquez

12-16-2020

Date




10762 NORTH SHERIDAN RD

NORTH SHERIDAN RD

6.26

10



6.27

**THIS PAGE
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK**